COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION 121 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, IL in Room 1103 Regular Meeting, 1:30 PM August 13, 2024

MEETING MINUTES

I. ROLL CALL

The Community Development Commission (CDC) Chairperson Hatten-Butler called the meeting to order at 1:32 p.m. and then undertook a call of the roll to establish the presence of a quorum.

Present

Gwendolyn Hatten-Butler, Chair Latasha Thomas, Vice Chair Grace Chan-McKibben, Secretary Ciere Boatright, DPD Commissioner Dwight Curtis Jacqueline Gomez John Zayas

Late

Leslie Davis

Absent

Robert Buford
Cornelius Griggs

A court reporter was also present to record the proceedings, and members of the public were allowed to participate in the live meeting.

II. PUBLIC COMMENTS

The CDC provided an opportunity for members of the public to submit written statements up to twenty-four (24) hours prior to the meeting through the CDC email; written public statements are on kept file with the Department of Planning and Development. The CDC provided a 30-minute period for members of the public to provide verbal statements.

III. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE JULY 9, 2024 MEETING

Chair Hatten-Butler moved passage of this item by the same roll call vote previously used to establish quorum.

Approved 7-0-0

Yay: Hatten-Butler, Thomas, Chan-McKibben, Boatright, Curtis, Gomez, Zayas

No: None Abstain: None

IV. NEW BUSINESS

A. DIVERSEY/CHICAGO RIVER REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA (WARD 32)

Accept for review the amended redevelopment plan for the proposed Diversey/Chicago River Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area Amendment No. 1, and set dates for a public meeting of the Joint Review Board and a public hearing.

William Stewart

24-CDC-31

Chair Hatten-Butler moved passage of this item by the same roll call vote previously used to establish quorum.

Approved 7-0-0

Yay: Hatten-Butler, Thomas, Chan-McKibben, Boatright, Curtis, Gomez, Zayas

No: None Abstain: None

B. CICERO/STEVENSON REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA (WARD 22)

Request authority for the Department of Housing to negotiate a redevelopment agreement with LECLAIRE PARTNERS A—NORTH JV LP or related entity for redevelopment of the property located at 4400-4458 S. Cicero Avenue in the Cicero/Stevenson Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area, and to recommend to the City Council of the City of Chicago the designation of LECLAIRE PARTNERS A—NORTH JV LP or related entity as Developer.

Dinah Wayne 24-CDC-32

Chair Hatten-Butler moved passage of this item by the same roll call vote previously used to establish quorum with the addition of Leslie Davis who joined the meeting and the exception of Jacqueline Gomez who abstained from the vote due to a potential conflict of interest.

Approved 7-0-1

Yay: Hatten-Butler, Thomas, Chan-McKibben, Boatright, Curtis, Davis, Zayas

No: None

Abstain: Gomez

C. ARCHER/CENTRAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA (WARD 13)

Request authority for the Department of Planning and Development to enter into a land sale agreement with Matthew Skowronski and Kathryn McIlvain for the disposition of the property located at 6411 S. Central Avenue in the Archer/Central Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area.

Nolan Zaroff 24-CDC-33

Chair Hatten-Butler moved passage of this item by the same roll call vote previously used to establish quorum.

Approved 8-0-0

Yay: Hatten-Butler, Thomas, Chan-McKibben, Boatright, Curtis, Davis, Gomez, Zayas

No: None Abstain: None

D. ENGLEWOOD NEIGHBORHOOD REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA (WARD 16)

Request authority for the Department of Planning and Development to enter into a land sale agreement with Steven Hunter for the disposition of the property located at 6642-44 S. Aberdeen Street in the Englewood Neighborhood Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area.

Meg Gustafson and Taylor Thompson 24-CDC-34

Chair Hatten-Butler moved passage of this item by the same roll call vote previously used to establish quorum.

Approved 8-0-0

Yay: Hatten-Butler, Thomas, Chan-McKibben, Boatright, Curtis, Davis, Gomez, Zayas

No: None Abstain: None

E. 47TH/ASHLAND REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA (WARD 16)

Request authority for the Department of Planning and Development to enter into a land sale with Luis Gomez for the disposition of the property located at 1841 W. 51st Street in the 47th/Ashland Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area.

Meg Gustafson and Taylor Thompson 24-CDC-35

Chair Hatten-Butler moved passage of this item by the same roll call vote previously used to establish quorum.

Approved 8-0-0

Yay: Hatten-Butler, Thomas, Chan-McKibben, Boatright, Curtis, Davis, Gomez, Zayas

No: None Abstain: None

V. ADJOURNMENT

Chair Hatten-Butler moved to adjourn by the same roll call vote previously used to establish quorum.

Meeting adjourned at 2:38 p.m.

1	MEETING
2	OF THE
3	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	City Hall - Room 1103
11	121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois
12	Tuesday, August 13, 2024
13	1:32 p.m.
14	
15	PRESENT:
16	GWENDOLYN HATTEN BUTLER, CHAIRPERSON
17	LATASHA THOMAS, VICE CHAIRPERSON GRACE CHAN McKIBBEN, SECRETARY
18	CIERE BOATRIGHT DWIGHT CURTIS
19	LESLIE DAVIS JACQUELINE GOMEZ
20	JOHN ZAYAS
21	
22	
23	Reported by: Nick D. Bowen
24	

Page 2

1 CHAIRWOMAN HATTEN BUTLER: Good afternoon,

- 2 everyone. Calling the meeting to order.
- Welcome to the August 13, 2024
- 4 regular meeting of the Community Development
- 5 Commission of Chicago.
- 6 I am Gwendolyn Hatten Butler, Chair7 of the CDC.
- 8 Today's meeting is being held at
- 9 City Hall, Room 1103, and is also being streamed by
- 10 the Department of Planning and Development.
- 11 The agenda for today's meeting was
- 12 posted on August 8 both on the CDC website and
- 13 physically at City Hall.
- 14 I will now call to order the
- 15 August 13th meeting of the Community Development
- 16 Commission with a call of the roll.
- 17 Commissioners, when your name is
- 18 called, please respond by saying "present." Please
- 19 note this vote will be used to establish the roll
- 20 call vote for subsequent items.
- 21 Vice Chair Thomas.
- 22 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Present.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN HATTEN BUTLER: Secretary Chan
- 24 McKibben.

- 1 verbal public comment period of the meeting.
- 2 Members of the public were able to submit written
- 3 statements to the Commission via email. There were
- 4 no written statements from the public received by
- 5 the Department of Planning and Development prior to
- 6 the established deadline of 24 hours prior to the
- 7 scheduled start of the meeting.
- 8 The verbal public comment period
- 9 will be limited to 30 minutes out of respect for
- 10 everyone's time. Speakers were able to sign up to
- 11 speak prior to the meeting and will be called in
- 12 the order they arrived.
- 13 During this public comment period,
- 14 each speaker is limited to three minutes to allow
- 15 time for as many speakers as possible. We would
- 16 ask that you keep your comments relative --
- 17 relevant to items on today's agenda.
- 18 So the first speaker that has signed
- 19 up to speak today is Mr. George Blakemore.
- 20 Mr. Blakemore, do you have any
- 21 comments you'd like to make prior to the
- 22 presentations?
- 23 MR. BLAKEMORE: Yes.
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Okay. So, Mr. Blakemore,

Page 3

- 1 COMMISSIONER CHAN McKIBBEN: Present.
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN HATTEN BUTLER: DPD Commissioner
- 3 Ciera Boatright.
- 4 COMMISSIONER BOATRIGHT: Present.
- 5 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Commissioner Robert
- 6 Buford.
- 7 (No response.)
- 8 Commissioner Curtis.
- 9 COMMISSIONER CURTIS: Present.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN HATTEN BUTLER: Commissioner
- 11 Davis.
- 12 (No response.)
- 13 Commissioner Gomez.
- 14 COMMISSIONER GOMEZ: Present.
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN HATTEN BUTLER: Commissioner
- 16 Griggs.
- 17 (No response.)
- 18 Commissioner Zayas.
- 19 COMMISSIONER ZAYAS: Present.
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: And Chair Hatten Butler
- 21 is present.
- 22 Thank you, Commissioners. We have a
- 23 quorum.
- 24 At this time we will begin the

- Page 5
 1 we're going to give you three minutes. And there
 - 2 is -- if I could just explain to everyone that's
 - 3 here. Two meetings ago we changed our procedures
 - 4 and moved the public comment period from after each
 - 5 presentation to the beginning of the meeting. And
 - 6 this coincides with the practices followed by all
 - 7 other City commissions.
 - 8 We've been challenged on that today,
- 9 and out of respect for everyone's time and also
- 10 given the fact that it's unclear as to whether that
- 11 process change has been published, Mr. Blakemore
- 12 has asked, and I have agreed as chair of the
- 13 Commission, to allow him three minutes to speak
- 14 after each presentation. So I'm making the
- 15 Commissioners aware of that. Between now and then,
- 16 we will give Mr. Blakemore the information that
- 17 he's requested we provide him that reflects our
- 18 process change. And starting the September
- 19 meeting, we'll go back to the process that we
- 20 established two meetings ago with having public
- 21 comment -- all public comments at the beginning of
- 22 the meeting.
- 23 So is the Commissioner -- are
- 24 members of the Commission okay with that?

Page 9

(No response.)

- 2 So Mr. Blakemore.
- 3 MR. BLAKEMORE: Where do you want -- right
- 4 here okay, or what?
- CHAIRWOMAN HATTEN BUTLER: Where would you
- 6 like him to stand for his comments or for any
- 7 comments?

1

- 8 THE COURT REPORTER: Fine. Right here.
- 9 MR. BLAKEMORE: Thank you. Thank you,
- 10 Chairman Butler.
- 11 My name is George Blakemore. And I
- 12 have been attending these Commission on planning --
- 13 development commission for several years. It's
- 14 very important to have public comments. And it's
- 15 very important for the public to be educated and
- 16 informed about each item.
- 17 Previously what happened here
- 18 several years ago, I attended this meeting, and as
- 19 I was speaking -- it was about Sterling Bay and
- 20 Lincoln Yard -- and I was appalled to what was
- 21 going on at that development. Our black
- 22 developers, they didn't even want poor black people
- 23 to live there. So I was challenging the
- 24 Commission. So they recessed the meeting, I think,

- 1 presentation, I -- during the time, I'm listening.
- 2 And as I listen and be informed and educated, then
- 3 I speak on that item. This is the first time I've
- 4 seen these items. I'm not informed on item --
- 5 these four items.
- So I want to be intelligent and
- 7 articulate. And it seem like the Commission would
- 8 want their speakers to be informed that you
- 9 shouldn't go back to that format. You got to
- 10 educate your people.
- 11 Thank God for you three black ladies
- 12 here today. Thank you. And I'm going to speak on
- 13 every item. And thank you, Madam Butler. Thank
- 14 you. May God bless you. I'm not your typical one.
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN HATTEN BUTLER: All right. So we
- 16 failed the technology test.
- 17 All right. The next speaker we have
- 18 is Zoe Leigh.
- 19 MS. LEIGH: Hello, everyone.
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Thank you for coming.
- 21 MS. LEIGH: Thank you for having me.
- 22 I would like to see if it could be a
- 23 meeting set up about this TIF money going to these
- 24 black communities and the percentage that we're

Page 7

Page 6

- 1 for 15 minutes. Called down to the first floor to
- 2 the police say, Don't let Mr. Blakemore back again.
- So I proceeded to come when the
- 4 meeting was open. I was thrown down and pulled
- 5 out. My head hit the marble floor. Two people
- 6 came in. A white lady and a white -- a black woman
- 7 was in the meeting say, Take these handcuffs off of
- 8 this man. Take them off now. And the attorney
- 9 then say, We might have to rush him to the
- 10 hospital.
- 11 It's very important for black people
- 12 to be in this meeting. There's two or three black
- 13 ladies on this. All others are white and most of
- 14 the people here. Racism is alive here. I came
- 15 here to make sure and see what each one of these
- 16 items was about to make sure that black people will
- 17 be included in these -- in this process, giving
- 18 these jobs through contracting service.
- 19 And to change the procedure. So if 20 it's four items or ten items, I will speak one time
- 21 three minutes on each item. It never been like
- 22 that. Each item they will make a presentation;
- 23 then the public will be able to speak on that
- 24 presentation. Because after they make the

- 1 supposed to have. Like I said, I've been
 - 2 researching this TIF money. I've talked to a few
 - 3 other states that have this TIF money. And it's
- 4 not being allocated the way it needs to be in our
- 5 communities.
- And, again, this is -- this election
- 7 is coming up less than 80 days. I've been speaking
- 8 to a lot of people. And I set up a few meetings
- 9 with a few delegates next week, very prominent
- 10 delegates, national delegates for -- during the DNC
- 11 about the black agenda, about our black economic
- 12 resources and our communities and basically
- 13 starting to get a lot of people that are my age and
- 14 younger into what we need to be voting for. And as
- 15 far as local and state, you know, a lot of our City
- 16 Council members have sold us out a long time ago.
- 17 It's a new generation. And it's
- 18 hard for us to get some of this TIF money because
- 19 it starts with our City Council members who have
- 20 sold us out when it comes to a lot of these
- 21 developers and stuff like that.
- 22 So I would like to see if I could
- 23 sit down with someone in this meeting to speak
- 24 about this TIF money when it comes to our

Page 10 1 communities, when it comes to the resources that we 2 need in our communities, and our 30 percent that we 3 should receive, because we were 12 percent of the 4 black community in Chicago, we should get --5 there's a lot of black contractors and developers 6 that are not on these jobs, you know. But, you 7 know, what we're looking -- you know, what has 8 happened recently in the last week and a half with 9 Home Depot getting sued, CPD getting sued by a lot 10 of illegal immigrants who came over here because 10 11 they feel like they're not getting any contract 12 work, and that's insane. You know, it -- we have 13 plenty of workers and contractors and developers in 14 our communities that are black, not black and 15 brown, that are black that are not getting the 16 services that they need. 17 So I would like to speak with 18 someone about this. If not, like I said, a lot of 19 us are politically ignorant, but we are starting to

Page 12 1 2024 as the date for the public meeting of the 2 Joint Review Board and to set October 8, 2024 as 3 the date of the public hearing convened at the CDC 4 meeting to review the proposed TIF amendment. On August 2nd, 2024, DPD placed a 6 copy of the proposed amendment to the Diversey/ 7 Chicago River redevelopment plan and eligibility 8 report on file with the City clerk's office for 9 review by the public. Additionally, Commissioners, each of 11 you have received an electronic copy of the updated 12 documents from DPD directly. Since this action is merely to 14 accept these documents for review and set a date 15 for public meetings, there will be no presentation 16 at this time. DPD staff will, however, provide a 17 full presentation on the proposed Amendment No. 1 18 of the Diversey/Chicago River TIF District at the 19 October 8th meeting of the Community Development 20 wake up and we're starting to know that we can vote 20 Commission.

21 If there are no further questions or 22 comments regarding the matter before us, I will 23 call this item to a vote. 24 (No response.)

Page 11

1 issue. It should be no problem. Thank you. 2 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Thank you. Thank you. 3 The public comment period of today's 4 meeting is now over. The first item on our agenda 6 requests approval of the minutes from our previous 7 meeting held on July 9th, 2024. The Commissioners 8 have had an opportunity to review the minutes, and 9 if there are no corrections, I move passage of this 10 item by the same roll call vote previously used to 11 establish quorum. 12 Are there any changes or corrections 13 to the minutes? 14 (No response.) 15 Hearing no corrections or 16 objections, the motion is approved. 17 Commissioners, for the first item of 18 new business on today's agenda the Department of

21 people out. We also know that we can put our own

22 people in because it's our generation, it's our time.

24 this to help educate us, and there should be no

So I need to speak to someone about

23

Page 13 The resolution before us would 2 accept for review the amended redevelopment plan 3 for the proposed Diversey/Chicago River Tax 4 Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area 5 Amendment No. 1 and set September 5th, 2024 as the 6 date for the public meeting of the Joint Review 7 Board and set October 8th, 2024 as the date of the 8 public hearing convened at the CDC meeting to 9 review the proposed TIF amendment. 10 If there are no objections, I move 11 passage of this item by the same roll call vote 12 previously used to establish quorum. Are there any 13 objections? 14 (No response.) 15 Hearing none, the motion is approved. 16 Commissioners, for the next item of 17 new business on today's agenda, the Department of 18 Housing is seeking authority to negotiate a 19 redevelopment agreement with LeClaire Partners A -20 North JV LP, or related entity, for redevelopment 21 of the property located at 4400 through 4458 South 23 Financing Redevelopment Project area and to

20 Commission accept for review the amended 21 redevelopment plan for the proposed Diversey/ 22 Chicago River Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment 23 Project Area Amendment No. 1. As part of this 24 request, DPD is also seeking to set September 5,

19 Planning and Development is requesting that the

Page 17

Page 14

1 Chicago the designation of LeClaire Partners A -

2 North JV LP, or related entity, as developer.

3 Dinah Wayne will provide a brief

4 description of this request on behalf of the

5 Department of Housing.

6 I'd like to show that Commissioner

7 Davis is here. Thank you.

8 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Thank you.

9 MS. WAYNE: Okay. Thank you, Chair Butler.

We are here to talk about 4400 to

11 4458 South Cicero Avenue, which very excitingly is

12 the first -- it will be the first building to break

13 ground in the LeClaire Courts redevelopment.

14 So you can see by that little red

15 circle on the map, it's in the 22nd Ward, the

16 Garfield Ridge community area, the Cicero/Stevenson

17 TIF, and the Southwest Planning Area.

18 The orange ish outline there

19 outlines the LeClaire Court TIF -- the entire

20 Cicero/Stevenson TIF, I should say, which was put

21 into place for the benefit of the redevelopment of

22 the LeClaire Courts site, the CHA project site.

The buildings we're going to talk

24 about are where the N for north is and the S for

1 A summary of the project. The

2 applicant is The Habitat Company and Cabrera

3 Capital Partners. It's a joint venture. And the

4 CLIA a related entity of theirs is going to have

4 CHA, a related entity of theirs, is going to have

5 15 percent ownership in the managing member.

6 Again, it's the mixed-use

7 redevelopment of a portion of LeClaire Courts

8 north. There are going to be, as part of this

9 partnership, 160 units of which 110 will be in the

10 north building and 50 in the south building. The

11 income mix, you'll see there, it's one unit at 30

12 percent AMI or below, 33 units at 40 percent AMI,

13 76 at 60 percent, 34 units at 80 percent or below,

14 and 16 market-rate units. We're going to use

15 income averaging, and that allows for the units to

16 be as high as 80 percent for the LIHTC units.

17 They're coming to the City and to

18 this body with a request of \$5.5 million in TIF.

19 And you can see the total budget cost of

20 approximately 97.2 million.

We want to get this through City

22 Council this year because the two TIFs that are

23 going to -- the money is going to be ported from,

24 the Midway Industrial TIF and the Cicero/Archer

Page 15

1 south. The south building is along 45th Street on

2 the south and Cicero on the east. And the north

3 building, again, Cicero on the east and 44th Street 4 on the north.

This is what it looks like now. If

6 you go to Midway Airport and you get off at 55, you

7 see a lot actually kind of pleasant, a lot of green

8 space with a fence that's along Cicero Avenue.

9 This is the entire master plan for 10 this site. Obviously there were -- there was a lot

11 on LeClaire Courts. The last buildings were torn

12 down in 2011. But it was built in 1960, I believe,

13 and had over 700 residential units. Again, the

14 last ones were torn down, and it's been a vacant

15 site waiting for redevelopment since then.

16 And just to say it was actually 1950

17 that the original project was built.

18 The two buildings we'll talk about

19 today are in orange there, and then the rest

20 illustrates future phases.

21 A close-up of the site plan. The

22 building with Martin Luther King on it will be the

23 building on the north. And this building will --

24 shown here is the building on the south.

Page 1 TIF, expire at the end of this year. So we want to

2 get this through City Council. But closing on the

3 whole project is anticipated for April of 2025 with

4 construction beginning a year after. And as you

5 can see, completing it then in September of 2026.

The development team, again, I've

7 mentioned Habitat and Cabrera. The architect of

8 record Solomon Cordwell Buenz. George Sollitt

9 Construction Company is the GC. Applegate Thorne-

10 Thomsen is the attorney. And they're still working

11 out the lender.

12 In terms of the project -- again,

13 that's a little small. Again, we're -- the City is

14 being asked to provide the \$5.5 million in TIF.

15 They're also coming to the City for a little bit

16 of -- a little over 9 million for a City Multi-

17 family Loan. IHDA is taking a very large financing

18 portion of this project. They're providing bond

19 financing which will generate over \$30 million and

20 4 percent tax credits. They're also providing a

21 subordinate loan of some sort about \$10.8 million

22 and tax credits for the project as well. And you

23 can see other financing, including a very major CHA

24 capital loan of \$27 million. So really all of the

1 agencies are working together to make this a

2 reality.

3 Again, the TIF funds, which we're

4 suggesting be disbursed at 30 percent, 90 percent,

5 and 100 percent completion.

The project will provide 80

7 replacement CHA units and 64 additional affordable 8 units.

Overall in terms of tax revenue, thedeveloper had projections done which estimate that

11 4.2 million just for this LeClaire North project

12 alone will be generated.

And then, again, the customary MBE,

14 WBE, and city residency rules will be followed.

15 So finally, DOH and DPD recommend

16 that the CDC recommend to the City Council

17 designation of LeClaire Partners A - North JV LP,

18 or a related party, as the developer for the

19 development of the mixed-use affordable housing at

20 4400 to 4458 South Cicero Avenue.

21 I'm happy to take any questions.

22 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Thank you so much.

23 If present, I would like to

24 recognize Alderman Rodriguez and ask -- and invite

Page 20

1 MS. WAYNE: Thanks. I guess you could just

2 stay there and speak loudly. Thank you.

3 MR. MEVIS: Do you mind if I ask you to

4 repeat the question?

5 COMMISSIONER CHAN McKIBBEN: Yes. The

6 question was, you know, about the distribution of

7 the -- how you arrived at those percentages, how

8 you arrived at the numbers.

MR. MEVIS: Yeah. Well --

10 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: You have to introduce

11 yourself, please; your name and where you're from.

12 MR. SCHENN: Okay. Thank you. Good

13 afternoon. My name is David Mevis. I'm a vice

14 president of community development for The Habitat

15 Company.

21

16 As was mentioned, the LeClaire

17 Courts redevelopment is a joint venture between The

18 Habitat Company and Cabrera Capital Partners with

19 Habitat leading on the residential and Cabrera

20 Capital leading on the commercial redevelopment.

So to your question as to the

22 distribution of units. From the very onset of the

23 project, it's always been conceived as a mixed-

24 income project. In fact, the CHA, when they put it

Page 19

1 them to make a statement on this project. Is the

2 alderman here or anyone from their office?

3 (No response.)

4 Okay. Commissioners, do you have

5 any questions for Dinah Wayne regarding this6 request by the Department of Housing?

7 COMMISSIONER CHAN McKIBBEN: Hi.

8 Commissioner McKibben here.

9 I wanted to see if you or the

10 developers can explain how you arrived at the

11 distribution of the 30 percent, 40 percent, 60

12 percent, 80 percent, and market rate. I was struck

13 by the fact that there was only one unit that was

14 at 30 percent.

15 MS. WAYNE: 30 percent.

16 COMMISSIONER CHAN McKIBBEN: Yes.

17 MS. WAYNE: You know, I neglected to mention

18 that I'm joined by Cory Schenn and Christina

19 Martinez-Sahagun from CHA, Dave Mevis -- they're

20 waving -- from The Habitat Company, Manny Perez

21 from Cabrera.

22 I don't know, Dave, if you'd like to

23 speak to how the unit mix was developed.

24 MR. MEVIS: Sure.

Page 21

1 out for RFP, incentivized, you know, a mixed-income

2 project. Just recently the state, IHDA, has been

3 allowing mixed-income projects which allows some of

4 the units -- some of the tax credit units to go up

5 to 80 percent of area median instead of the typical

6 60 percent cap, which frankly enables us to borrow

7 a little bit more first mortgage proceeds because

8 we generate a little bit more rent on those units.

9 It's not a huge difference, but every little bit.

The other thing is in applying for

11 the tax credits with IHDA, we are also incentivized

12 through the scoring system to have different, you

13 know, groupings and sort of income bands along the

14 way so it's not a traditional hundred percent at 60

15 percent of AMI.

The CHA has an interest and a

17 mandate in having some portion of market-rate

18 units. Generally we don't see that being a lot

19 greater than 10 percent because, quite honestly,

20 those units don't pay for themselves because the

21 rents would have to be much higher in order to

22 support the cost of construction. But it is an

23 important dynamic of the project. But we don't

24 generate tax credits off of those units. We don't

Page 22

1 generate HOME funds or any of those others. So

- 2 that's usually going to be a little bit smaller
- 3 percentage.
- And then, you know, at the other end
- 5 we try to maximize points by having extremely low
- 6 income households, which is not my term, that's a
- 7 legal term, for 30 percent of AMI or below. We
- 8 have a number of units that IHDA requires to be
- 9 housed under the State Referral Network, and those
- 10 units can go as low as 15 percent of AMI. So we
- 11 actually will have a small number of 15 percent and
- 12 30 percent.
- 13 And then -- I'm throwing a lot of
- 14 stats at you, and hopefully you can follow. The
- 15 CHA units, the RAD project-based vouchers, and
- 16 those units technically could go as high as 80
- 17 percent AMI and still qualify under the tax credit
- 18 program. But what the experience has been is that
- 19 the average median income of those households tends
- 20 to be closer to 30 percent. So even though we're
- 21 not restricting those units to 30 percent, that's
- 22 who actually on average winds up, you know,
- 23 residing in those units. So we actually wind up
- 24 with quite a wide diversity between as low as 15,

- Page 24 1 percent will be in the neighborhood of 30 percent
- 2 AMI or below. So it actually looks much worse than
- 3 it is. And, you know, we want to give ourselves,
- 4 you know, the flexibility as we move forward, for
- 5 instance, at some point in the future maybe a State
- 6 Referral Network program where the rent subsidy
- 7 that goes along with that -- well, actually there
- 8 isn't a rent subsidy that goes along with it. You
- 9 have to go out and find rent subsidy for those
- 10 units because those households are not generally
- 11 employed. They're either disabled or otherwise
- 12 unemployable and living off of, you know, Social
- 13 Security or something where they couldn't afford
- 14 even a kind of restricted rent. So they require a
- 15 rent subsidy.
- 16 A portion of those are subsidized
- 17 through the CHA, usually about half of the SRN
- 18 units, but a portion of them would have to go out
- 19 and find other rent subsidy sources. Oftentimes it
- 20 would be like the Chicago CLIHTF, the Chicago
- 21 Low-Income Housing Trust Fund, which most of you
- 22 are probably familiar with or at least have heard
- 23 of.
- 24 So I'm not sure -- I mean, Dinah and

Page 23

- 1 but really kind of 30, 60 to 80, and then a small 1 I may need to talk offline. That one unit at 30
- 2 number that may be a little bit above that that are
- 3 the unrestricted.
- 4 I'll stop talking because I may have
- 5 overexplained.
- COMMISSIONER CHAN McKIBBEN: I was
- 7 particularly struck by how few units were on the
- 8 lower income spectrum, one unit at 30, 40. I think
- 9 you explained overall how you arrived at the mix.
- 10 But I was just particularly interested in how you
- 11 arrived at the number on the lower end.
- 12 MR. MEVIS: Right. So in doing that, we tend
- 13 to -- once we make a declaration of what the income
- 14 limit is, we, you know, obviously must abide by
- 15 that for 30 or 40 years. And so if a household is
- 16 housed under this State Referral Network, the fact
- 17 is that they're going to be 30 percent or below
- 18 regardless of what our regular board agreement
- 19 says. So it's kind of like there's more than one
- 20 document that governs what the AMI of those units
- 21 is. And similarly, although the CHA units aren't
- 22 restricted to 30 percent, we know that a great bulk
- 23 of the households that reside here probably -- you
- 24 know, I don't know, if I had to guess, 30 to 40

- 2 percent, we do have two buildings that we're
- 3 building, and there's certainly 30 percent units in
- 4 the other building as well. There's A North and A
- 5 South. We're primarily focused on A North here
- 6 today because that's the building that's going to
- 7 receive the TIF funds. But the two -- you know,
- 8 they only work together. It's a twin financing
- 9 structure. We can't do one without the other.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: So -- this is Chair
- 11 Hatten Butler.
- 12 As a follow-up to Secretary Chan
- 13 McKibben's question, drilling down a little bit
- 14 more on some of the -- some of your comments. We
- 15 see what the project summary says. When you did
- 16 the financial projection for revenue and expenses
- 17 related to this particular phase of this
- 18 redevelopment, what did you pencil in with respect
- 19 to the number of units at 30, 40 percent AMI versus
- 20 what we see there?
- 21 MS. MARTINEZ-SAHAGUN: Good afternoon. Thank
- 22 you. My name is Christina Martinez-Sahagun, and
- 23 I'm with the Chicago Housing Authority. We're
- 24 working in tandem with The Habitat Company and

Page 26

1 Cabrera Capital on the redevelopment of LeClaire

2 Courts.

3 I wanted to address a couple of

4 clarification points with respect to the

5 development and the unit mix. I think it's

6 important to clarify that phase 1 residential of

7 LeClaire -- of the LeClaire redevelopment is a

8 mixed-income project. And so to take on the type

9 of project this is with the magnitude of this

10 redevelopment, we need to access different sources

11 of financing as you know in your role as members of

12 the CDC.

13 And so really the only way that we

14 can develop a project like this is with the ability

15 to access Low-Income Housing Tax Credits, certainly

16 public financing, to leverage private financing.

17 And as part of the requirement in using public

18 financing, we have to structure the transaction in

19 the unit mix at various income levels, right, to

20 make the financing work.

21 And so it's really a matter of how

22 many units can we have at what AMI at the end of

23 the day really. And just to clarify, with the

24 Chicago Housing Authority, what we can do is our

1 MR. MEVIS: No. Not only --

2 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: You have to introduce

3 yourself --

4 MR. MEVIS: Oh, each time?

5 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Yes.

6 MR. MEVIS: Okay. Dave Mevis, The Habitat

7 Company.

8 Not only will there not be a

9 discernible difference, there will not be a

10 difference in the amenities for the market-rate and

11 the affordable units. They will be well

12 amenitized, and the building itself, the common

13 areas, a desirable amenity package that are all

14 available to everybody without regard to, you know,

15 income.

16 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Secretary Chan McKibben.

17 COMMISSIONER CHAN McKIBBEN: So my -- the

18 intent of my question is to see if you can answer

19 the question of whether you think you're maximizing

20 the number of units that are offered to the lowest

21 income people. And that's what, you know -- you

22 kept answering the question as if I'm more

23 concerned about whether they're market rate. I'm

24 less concerned that there are units for people that

Page 27

1 residents -- we were able to go up to 80 percent of

2 AMI. And so while they pay no more than 30 percent

3 of their income towards their rent, a good number

4 of our residents are making more than 30 percent of

5 the area median income, but they're still eligible6 to be CHA residents. And so I do want to clarify

7 that point.

8 And, again, phase 1 residential,

9 A North and A South, are both mixed-income

10 transactions. So we will have market-rate units.

11 We will have affordable tax credit units as well as

12 CHA.

13 And then the target -- I know that

14 your initial question was about how the units would

15 be distributed. And so they will be distributed

16 throughout the building. So we won't have one tier

17 of just CHA and one tier of just market-rate units.

18 They will be distributed throughout the building

19 and among both buildings.

20 CHAIRWOMAN HATTEN BUTLER: So Chair Hatten

21 Butler with a follow-up.

22 On the market-rate units, will there

23 be a discernible difference in the amenities in the

24 market-rate units versus the other units?

Page 29 1 can afford market rate. I'm more concerned whether

2 there's enough units given that there are -- it's

3 rare that we have a development as this large in an

4 area that's low that it will -- it will be very

5 desirable to have the largest number of units for

6 people with the lowest income. I think that's my 7 point.

8 MS. MARTINEZ-SAHAGUN: Okay. Thank you.

9 Thank you for clarifying your question. I --

10 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: I'm sorry. I need for

11 you to for purposes of the record --

12 MS. MARTINEZ-SAHAGUN: My name is Christina

13 Martinez-Sahagun with the Chicago Housing

14 Authority.

15 I think, number one, that's the

16 goal. Right? Number one.

7 I think the second piece is this is

18 just the first phase of residential. We expect and

19 anticipate that there will be future phases of

20 residential housing to provide additional

21 opportunity for maximizing assets for low-income

22 families to be able to live at LeClaire Courts.

23 I think one of the primary goals as

24 well for the project as well as for CHA is to

Page 30

1 ensure the right of return residents that were once

- 2 living here to be able to access housing again.
- 3 And so our goal, along with Habitat and Cabrera, is
- 4 to ensure -- and the alderman's office as well to
- 5 ensure that we allow opportunities for low-income
- 6 families to be able to live in this area.
- 7 I think one of the ways that we do
- 8 that obviously is through the project-based voucher
- 9 program. That program allows very low-income
- 10 families the ability to rent housing and pay no
- 11 more than 30 percent of their income towards the
- 12 rent. The difference or the bulk of the rent is
- 13 picked up through the voucher program.
- 14 So I think that this will be an
- 15 opportunity to allow access to low-income families
- 16 to be able to live in a brand new unit at LeClaire
- 17 Courts. That's our goal.
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN HATTEN BUTLER: Okay. So -- I'm
- 19 sorry. Commissioner -- Vice Chair Thomas and then
- 20 Commissioner Davis.
- 21 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I wanted to know if
- 22 this was a RAD or a new market tax credit deal with
- 23 all of the different funding sources?
- 24 MS. WAYNE: It's a -- it's just RAD. I'm

Page 32 1 be structured this way such that you couldn't have

- 2 done it differently so that you already at this
- 3 phase have additional housing? That's a little bit
- 4 of a difference -- I think you may have answered,
- 5 but I didn't understand the answer to that.
- 6 MR. MEVIS: Dave Mevis, The Habitat Company.
- 7 I have my computer open now and a
- 8 little bit more information. I actually tried to
- 9 print this stuff out old fashioned before I came,
- 10 and that did not work.
- 11 So it actually is better than, with
- 12 all due respect, what Dinah was showing, and that's
- 13 more on us than anything because the way we look at
- 14 the underwriting is based on the income of those
- 15 units. So even -- or, I mean, sorry, the rent of
- 16 those units. So even though there may be units at
- 17 30 percent, the rent is based on the -- whether
- 18 it's a CHA-subsidized unit or whether it's -- the
- 19 subsidy comes from CLIHTF, that rent is closer to
- 20 FMR, fair market rent, than it is to the rent that
- 21 an unsubsidized household at 15 or 30 percent would
- 22 have to pay would be a very small number, like 4 or
- 23 \$500; you know, rents we haven't seen in the city
- 24 in decades.

Page 31

1 sorry. Oh.

- 2 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Before you speak, you
- 3 need to announce who you are.
- 4 MS. WAYNE: Okay. So I'm Dinah Wayne with
- 5 the Department of Housing.
- 6 Here's the project budget. It
- 7 doesn't show, but the CHA capital loan, capital
- 8 money, and then the subsidy will be RAD subsidies,
- 9 and there are no New Market Tax Credits in this10 deal.
- 11 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Thank you.
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Any additional questions,
- 13 Vice Chair Thomas?
- 14 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: No. I understand it's
- 15 a RAD deal, and I can see from the stack it's more
- 16 like a RAD deal.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Commissioner Davis.
- 18 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: So my question is not to
- 19 go back, but just for me to understand a little bit
- 20 better. When you said that -- and I heard what you
- 21 said, but when you said that it doesn't look --
- 22 it's not actually as bad as it looks. What I want
- 23 to understand is why it is that it can't be better
- 24 than it is already? Like why is it that it has to

- So I do want to refresh some numbers
- 2 for you because I don't want you to leave here
- 3 thinking that we haven't maximized this. I think
- 4 this deal will compare very favorably to other
- 5 deals that are getting financed in the city in
- 6 terms of the income mix.
- 7 Okay. We've got 15 units are State
- 8 Referral Network units. So that's 15 units at 30
- 9 percent or less AMI. But they're showing up in a
- 10 different bucket because of the FMR rent that we
- 11 will receive on those units. So it's actually --
- 12 and Dinah's not wrong to have reflected it the way
- 13 she has. We could have probably connected on this
- 14 a little bit. But the optics are not great.
- 15 But that's actually a really large
- 16 number. We maximize. We have never done a deal
- 17 with 15 SRN units. You may have heard of Ogden
- 18 Commons in North Lawndale on Ogden Avenue. We are
- 19 leasing up the first building and closing on the
- 20 financing for the second one in the fall. And we
- 21 don't have 15 SRN units in those two buildings
- 00 samplinged the many like 40 and 0. Co this is
- 22 combined. It's more like 10 or 12. So this is

23 kind of a high watermark for us.

24 And in addition to that, because of

Page 34

1 the income averaging, although we do have some tax

- 2 credit units at 80 percent, which may make people
- 3 feel uncomfortable like maybe that's not what the
- 4 subsidy is really for, the flip side to that is
- 5 that enables us -- we're -- where the word
- 6 "averaging" comes in is the averaging comes --
- 7 still has to be 60 percent or less. So that means
- 8 for every unit we want to do at 80, we've got to do
- 9 a unit at 40 because 80 and 40 equal 60. Right?
- 10 So we actually have a very high number of units at
- 11 40 percent AMI, which is very close to 30 percent
- 12 AMI, and those are unsubsidized units.
- 13 So these are for households that are
- 14 making less than or equal to 40 percent of the area
- 15 median income. That's a very low number, and those
- 16 rents are going to be very, very affordable.
- 17 So when I add those units to the SRN
- 18 units, there's a really high number. I would stack
- 19 it up against any deal being built in the city
- 20 right now in terms of units that are 40 percent or
- 21 less AMI unless you're looking at a straight 100
- 22 percent permanent supportive housing deal.
- 23 I'm just trying to explain. I don't
- 24 mean to sound too defensive. But I wanted to

- 1 Authority.
- 2 I think part of the driver as well
- 3 for why the project is structured this way has a
- 4 lot to do with program requirements for the
- 5 financing that we're utilizing. And so when you
- 6 asked the question why did we structure it this
- 7 way, the driver is the underwriting criteria for
- 8 the financing that's available and the project
- 9 funds that are available for affordable housing.
- 10 And I know you hear this often, that
- 11 resources are dwindling and it's very difficult to
- 12 develop affordable housing. And so developers need
- 13 to be creative in making a project that makes sense
- 14 that maximizes resources and meets objectives of
- 15 the project and the programs that were utilized.
- 16 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Commissioner Davis.
- 17 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: That's all. Thank you.
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Thank you.
- 19 Commissioner Boatright.
- 20 COMMISSIONER BOATRIGHT: Yes. Commissioner
- 21 Boatright, DPD.
- 22 One suggestion I can make. I think
- 23 just for presentation purposes, because you did do
- 24 a phenomenal job explaining the breakout of AMI,

age 35

- 1 reassure you that, you know, we're doing everything
- 2 we can. And why not more? Because every unit that
- 3 we add that adds to that increases the amount of
- 4 subsidy that we need. And as you can see from the
- 5 slide that Dinah showed earlier, we're getting a
- 6 lot of subsidy. We've got IDHA maxed out at 10
- 7 percent of total development cost. We're taking -- 8 we're hoping -- we're asking for every TIF dollar
- 9 remaining in this TIF area which has been declining
- o remaining in this the area which has been deciming
- 10 over the last year as we've worked on this deal
- 11 from 10 to 9 to 6 to 5-1/2. That's why we're
- 12 trying to, you know, put a stake in the ground
- 13 there.
- 14 HOME funds, you know. And then, of
- 15 course, the bonds and the tax credits. And so
- 16 that's kind of why there's not more. But we also
- 17 don't -- we're not apologetic about the mix that we
- 18 do have. We think it's actually really, really
- 19 good. So ...
- 20 MS. MARTINEZ-SAHAGUN: I'd like to just make
- 21 one other statement.
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN HATTEN BUTLER: Could you --
- 23 MS. MARTINEZ-SAHAGUN: I'm Christina
- 24 Martinez-Sahagun. I'm with the Chicago Housing

- Page 37 1 Perhaps our next presentation you can kind of show
- 2 a table that lists the different AMIs, how many
- 3 units. So a list we can read that truly captures
- 4 the breadth of the diversity of income and unit mix
- 5 throughout. Just something that we can do to kind
- 6 of make the presentation a little smoother and
- 7 easier for the Commissioners to read.
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Any additional questions
- 9 from members of the Commission?
- 10 (No response.)
- 11 Mr. Blakemore. Mr. Blakemore, would
- 12 you mind coming to the table, please?
- 13 MR. BLAKEMORE: This is CHA land, public
- 14 land. And they're getting out of houses black
- 15 people. I'm particularly here playing the race
- 16 card. CHA is government housing. Most of the
- 17 people historically live in public housing was
- 18 black people. Now the government is getting out
- 19 of that going into an agreement with the city and
- 20 county.
- 21 And this location is in a
- 22 predominant, out by the airport, Hispanic area.
- 23 I play race. I use the race card. Why you do
- 24 this, Mr. Blakemore? Because I can.

Page 41

Page 38

- 1 And I'm advocating for black people.
- 2 And when I read up here, I'm making statements.
- 3 MBE, WBE, Habitat, and all of that. I think
- 4 Valerie Jordan got a big percent of interest in one
- 5 of these.
- 6 Anyway, the bottom line, the bottom
- 7 line is economic development for black people. I'm
- 8 connecting the dots. That's the bottom line. How
- 9 many people going to be working? How many black
- 10 engineers and architects and plumbers? I'm
- 11 bringing it down simple. I'm not playing no game
- 12 with you white folks and you black folks that's
- 13 sitting on this committee.
- 14 It's about economic development for
- 15 our people. And when we being gentrified out of
- 16 this city -- and I've seen other ethnic groups and
- 17 that finance with that Mexican company or
- 18 something. This is blood, sweat, and tears money,
- 19 black folks' money.
- 20 And I have to go to the Building
- 21 Commission. So I would love to clone Mr. Blakemore,
- 22 to clone him. I want to go across the street, and
- 23 the same mess is going on over there that's going
- 24 on over here. So we are black faces here.

- 1 old format because I still need to be educated.
- 2 But I know the basics. And it's just another dog
- 3 and pony show. Government -- the public housing,
- 4 the federal government is getting out of it,
- 5 housing black people.
- So you were so gracious to let me,
- 7 but I want to go to the Building Commission.
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Thank you, Mr. Blakemore.
- 9 MR. BLAKEMORE: And thank you for just
- 10 another game that's being played.
- 11 CHAIRWOMAN HATTEN BUTLER: If there are no
- 12 further questions or comments regarding the matter
- 13 before us, I will call this item to a vote.
- 14 The resolution before us will grant
- 15 the Department of Housing authority to negotiate a
- 16 redevelopment agreement with LeClaire Partners A -
- 17 North JV LP, or related entity, for redevelopment
- 18 of the property located at 4400 through 4458 South
- 19 Cicero Avenue in the Cicero/Stevenson Tax Increment
- 20 Financing Redevelopment Project Area, and to
- 21 recommend to the City Council of the City of
- 22 Chicago the designation of LeClaire Partners A -
- 23 North JV LC, or related entity, as developer.
- 24 If there are no objections, I move

Page 39

- So the bottom line is poor black
- 2 people are not receiving housing.
- Now, another demographic of people
- 4 coming is Hispanics. They're going to be in public
- 5 housing and finance.
- 6 So, again, it's 2:18 now. I gotta
- 7 run over there. And nobody want to see me, and
- 8 nobody want to hear me, the Hispanics. I look at
- 9 race in a racist country and a racist city. Black
- 10 faces in high places selling the people out for
- 11 that MBE and WBE. I've been seeing this do-do
- 12 going on for years. When it come back, I'll look
- 13 at who's gonna be doing this work, how much money
- 14 and economic development going to come to the black
- 15 people. These showers are blessed. When they get
- 16 down to us, they're gone showers. We get nothing.
- 17 Nothing.
- 18 So, again, you was so eloquent,
- 19 Ms. Butler, to kind of change your format and to
- 20 allow me to speak on each item. And the way I can
- 21 speak intelligent about these items is that I'm
- 22 informed once you make your presentation. Once you
- 23 make your presentation, I was listening. If I ever
- 24 spoke on three minutes for all, no. Keeping this

1 passage of this item by the same roll call vote

- 2 previously used to establish quorum.
- 3 I understand we have an abstention.
- 4 COMMISSIONER GOMEZ: Yes. Abstain.
- 5 CHAIRWOMAN HATTEN BUTLER: Commissioner Gomez 6 abstains.
- 7 Are there any additional abstentions
- 8 or objections?
- 9 (No response.)
- 10 Hearing no additional abstentions or
- 11 objections, the motion is approved. Thank you.
- 12 MR. MEVIS: Thank you, Commissioners.
- 13 MS. MARTINEZ-SAHAGUN: Thank you very much.
- 14 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Commissioners, for the
- 15 next item of new business on today's agenda, the
- 16 Department of Planning and Development is seeking
- 17 authority to enter into a land sale agreement with
- 18 Matthew Skowronski and Kathryn McIlvain for the
- 19 disposition of the property located at 6411 South
- 20 Central Avenue in the Archer/Central Tax Increment
- 21 Financing Redevelopment Project Area.
- 22 Nolan Zaroff will present a brief
- 23 description of this request on behalf of the
- 24 Department of Planning and Development.

Page 42

- MR. ZAROFF: Thank you. Good afternoon,
- 2 Chairwoman, members of the Commission. Again,
- 3 for the record, my name is Nolan Zaroff with the
- 4 Department of Planning and Development.
- 5 The resolution before you requests a
- 6 recommendation to the City Council to authorize the
- 7 disposition of City-owned property located at 6411
- 8 South Central Avenue within the Archer/Central
- 9 redevelopment area to Matthew Skowronski and
- 10 Kathryn McIlvain.
- 11 6411 South Central Avenue is a
- 12 decommissioned Chicago Fire Department firehouse
- 13 located in the 13th Ward represented by Alderman
- 14 Martin Quinn in the Clearing community area. It is
- 15 in the Southwest Planning Region in the Archer/
- 16 Central TIF District.
- 17 This TIF district was established in
- 18 2000 with some of the goals being to stabilize and
- 19 enhance the real estate tax base, improve property
- 20 through building renovation and restoration, and
- 21 reduce or eliminate the conditions that qualify the
- 22 area as a conservation area.
- The alderman is supportive of the
- 24 project and has provided a letter of support.

1 The total project cost is estimated

- 2 to be \$305,440 and will take approximately six
- 3 months to complete upon closing.
 - The development team includes the
- 5 applicants, Matthew Skowronski and Kathryn
- 6 McIlvain, CJ Architects, and Ujamaa Construction.
- 7 The project will be financed through
- 8 a mix of equity, private bank loans, and an
- 9 environmental escrow to offset the cost of
- 10 remediation. The project is not receiving TIF
- 11 funds or other City financial assistance.
- 12 Here is a conceptual rendering of
- 13 the final exterior of the building. And here is a
- 14 rendering of the proposed interior floor plan.
- 15 DPD has thoroughly reviewed the
- 16 proposed project, its public benefits, and the
- 17 project's conformance with the redevelopment plan.
- 18 DPD recommends that the CDC approve the sale of the
- 19 parcel located at 6411 South Central Avenue to
- 20 Matthew Skowronski and Kathryn McIlvain so that the
- 21 project can advance to City Council.
- 22 Thank you for your time. And myself
- 23 and the applicant are here and available to answer
- 24 any questions you might have.

Page 43

- 1 Here is an aerial view -- hard to
- 2 see, but here's an aerial view of the neighborhood.
- 3 The subject property located in red on the map is
- 4 on the east side of Central Avenue between 64th
- 5 Street and 64th Place two and a half blocks south
- 6 of Midway Airport.
- 7 Here is a view showing the exterior
- 8 condition of the building both from the street and
- 9 from the alley behind. The property includes the
- 10 area you see enclosed by the fence.
- 11 Through our land sale process, the
- 12 application submitted by Matthew Skowronski and
- 13 Kathryn McIlvain was selected. The applicant
- 14 proposes to redevelop the firehouse into a single-
- 15 family residence that will include three bedrooms,
- 16 a kitchen, dining room, and living room on the
- 17 second floor and a two-car garage, storage, and
- 17 Scoona noor and a two oar garage, storage, an
- 18 home office on the first floor.
- 19 The applicant is not seeking any
- 20 City financial assistance. The Department of
- 21 Planning and Development has agreed to put the
- 22 purchase price into an environmental escrow to
- 23 reimburse the applicant for environmental testing
- 24 and remediation work on the property.

- Page 45 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Great. Thank you.
- 2 If present, I would like to
- 3 recognize Alderman Quinn and invite them or someone
- 4 from their office to make a statement on the
- 5 project. Is anyone here from the alderman's
- 6 office?

1

14

23

24

- 7 MR. ZAROFF: The alderman was not able to
- 8 attend, but he did provide a letter of support.
- 9 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Okay. Great.
- 10 Commissioners, do you have any
- 11 questions for Nolan Zaroff or the purchaser
- 12 regarding this request by the Department of
- 13 Planning and Development?
 - (No response.)
- 15 Actually I have a question. What is
- 16 the sale price for the property, and was it based
- 17 on an appraisal?
- 18 MR. ZAROFF: It is. It's \$65,000, and it was
- 19 based on an appraisal.
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: And is that the market
- 21 value per the appraisal?
- 22 MR. ZAROFF: Yes.
 - CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Thank you.
 - Commissioners, again -- Commissioner

Page 46

1 Curtis.

2 COMMISSIONER CURTIS: Thank you.

3 I just had a comment to make. It

4 looks like a great facility. Looks like a nice

5 home. The project cost is about \$305,000. That's

6 terrific.

7 The last project, the low-income

8 housing, we were looking at was \$607,000 per unit.

9 It looks like that we should be able to get about

10 twice as many units out of the other project as we

11 are out of what we have budgeted. I think the

12 concept of low-income housing is terrific. I just

13 think we ought to be able to get twice as many

14 homes out of the amount that we're investing. This

15 is a \$305,000 home. It looks like a very nice

16 home. That's all I have. Thank you.

17 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Yes. Thank you,

18 Commissioner Curtis. I think that that's a subject

19 that we've visited just about every meeting.

20 COMMISSIONER CURTIS: And I probably will

21 continue.

22 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: That's fine. I mean,

23 it's a reflection of the cost of affordable

24 housing.

1 Matthew Skowronski and Kathryn McIlvain for the

2 disposition of the property located at 6411 South

3 Central Avenue in the Archer/Central Tax Increment

4 Financing Redevelopment Project Area.

If there are no objections, I move

6 passage of this item by the same roll call vote

7 previously used to establish quorum. Are there any

8 objections or abstentions?

9 (No response.)

Hearing none, the motion is approved.

11 MR. ZAROFF: Thank you.

12 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Thank you.

Commissioners, for the next item of

14 new business on today's agenda, the Department of

15 Planning and Development is seeking authority to

16 enter into a land sale agreement with Steven Hunter

17 for the disposition of the property located at 6642

18 through 6644 South Aberdeen Street in the Englewood

19 Neighborhood Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment

20 Project Area.

10

13

21 Taylor Thompson will provide a brief

22 description of this request on behalf of the

23 Department of Planning and Development.

MR. THOMPSON: Good afternoon, Chairwoman

Page 47

1 COMMISSIONER BOATRIGHT: Soft costs.

2 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CURTIS: I think that -- I think

4 that you're probably right. But I think there

5 ought to be a solution that needs to be found so

6 that we can provide more homes than what we are.

7 And I think that you're right, there may be reasons

8 for that, maybe complicated reasons. But I think

9 that to continue to provide half as many homes as

10 we should for the investments that we're making, I

11 think is just an issue. Thank you for listening.

12 CHAIRWOMAN HATTEN BUTLER: Thank you. I

13 think everyone on the Commission and in the

14 Department of Planning and Development and

15 Department of Housing agrees with that statement.

16 Any other additional comments or

17 questions from members of the Commission? 18 (No response.)

19 If there are no further questions or

20 comments regarding the matter before us, I will

21 call this item to a vote.

22 The resolution before us will grant

23 the Department of Planning and Development

24 authority to enter into a land sale agreement with

Page 49 1 Butler and members of the Commission.

For the record, my name is Taylor

3 Thompson, and I'm representing the Department of

4 Planning and Development.

This project is the open space land

6 sale of 6642 and 6644 South Aberdeen Street to

7 Steven Hunter. The City parcel is located within

8 the Englewood Neighborhood TIF redevelopment area,

9 with the 16th Ward -- within the 16th Ward with the

10 support of Alderwoman Stephanie Coleman.

CDC approval is required for the

12 sale of the property located within the redevelopment

13 area.

14 This slide provides an overview of

15 the land sale project. The purchaser is Steven

16 Hunter, who lives on the block at 6601 South

17 Aberdeen Street, and proposes to create a community

18 garden to share the produce within the neighborhood.

19 His garden partner is his father and has activated

20 many gardens throughout the city. With the recent

21 closure of the Whole Foods grocer in Englewood,

22 they have a renewed focus on finding creative

23 solutions for the food desert circumstances. His

24 budget is approximately \$9,000.

Page 50 Page 52 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: I'm sorry. Commissioner Open space land sales are sold at 10 1 2 percent of market value, and the combined sale 2 Davis. 3 price of the two parcels is \$3,139. 3 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Yes. Thank you. 4 The two properties completed an Is Steven Hunter a lawyer? 5 environmental phase 1 study and have environmental 5 MR. THOMPSON: I do not believe so. He's not 6 clearance for sale. 6 a lawyer. This slide shows the location of the 7 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I just wanted to make 8 disposition parcel within Englewood and within the 8 sure I didn't know Steven Hunter. 9 Englewood Neighborhood TIF redevelopment area. The CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Okay. If there are no 10 TIF district was created in 2001, and its 10 further questions or comments regarding the matter 11 before us, I will call this item to a vote. 11 redevelopment objectives included encouraging 12 12 private investment and redevelopment of under-The resolution before us will grant 13 utilized properties. 13 the Department of Planning and Development 14 The above map identifies the City 14 authority to enter into a land sale agreement with 15 parcels at 6642 and 6644 South Aberdeen Street. 15 Steven Hunter for the disposition of the property 16 This slide is the Google Street 16 located at 6642 through 6644 South Aberdeen Street 17 view, the site photo and plans, and the budget 17 in the Englewood Neighborhood Tax Increment 18 Financing Redevelopment Project Area. 18 breakdown. 19 19 In summary, the Department of If there are no objections or 20 Planning and Development has thoroughly reviewed 20 abstentions, I move passage of this item by the 21 the proposal for the sale of City property located 21 same roll call vote previously used to establish 22 quorum. 22 at 6642 and 6644 South Aberdeen Street to Steven 23 Hunter. The department has reviewed its public 23 Are there any objections or 24 benefits and the project's conformance with the 24 abstentions? Page 51 Page 53 1 redevelopment area plan. 1 (No response.) 2

The department recommends that the

3 CDC recommend to the City Council the approval of 4 the City's disposition of property.

5 That concludes my presentation on 6 this item.

7 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Thank you.

If present, I would like to

9 recognize Alderwoman Stephanie Coleman and invite

10 her to make a statement on the project. Is the

11 alderwoman here or anyone from her office present?

12 MR. THOMPSON: They were not able to make it,

13 but she did provide a letter of support.

14 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Great. Thank you.

15 Commissioners, do you have any

16 questions for Taylor Thompson regarding this

17 request by the Department of Planning and

18 Development?

19 (No response.)

20 If there are no further questions or

21 comments regarding the matter before us, I will

22 call this item to a vote.

23 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I have one quick

24 question.

Hearing none, the motion is approved.

3 Commissioners, for the last item of

4 new business on today's agenda, the Department of

5 Planning and Development is seeking authority to

6 enter into a land sale with Luis Gomez for the

7 disposition of the property located at 1841 West

8 51st Street in the 47th/Ashland Tax Increment

9 Financing Redevelopment Project Area.

10 Taylor Thompson will provide a brief

11 description of this request on behalf of the

12 Department of Planning and Development.

13 MR. THOMPSON: For the record, my name

14 is Taylor Thompson, and I'm representing the

15 Department of Planning and Development.

16 This project is the proposed open

17 space land sale of 1841 West 51st Street to Luis

18 Gomez.

19 The City parcel is located within

20 the 47th and Ashland TIF redevelopment area, within

21 16th Ward with the support of Alderwoman Stephanie

22 Coleman.

23 This slide provides an overview of

24 the land sale project. The purchaser is Luis

Page 54 Page 56 1 Gomez, who has lived on the block at 1849 West 51st 1 The resolution before us, the 2 Street for over ten years. He plans to create a 2 Department of Planning and Development is seeking 3 fenced community plaza and garden that will be open 3 authority to enter into a land sale with Luis Gomez 4 to the neighborhood. His family owns a landscaping 4 for the disposition of the property located at 1841 5 company that will maintain the property. They plan 5 West 51st Street in the 47th/Ashland Tax Increment 6 to add a 200-gallon water tank, native plants, and 6 Financing Redevelopment Project Area. 7 seating. His budget is approximately \$26,000. If there are no objections or 8 The property completed an 8 abstentions, I move passage of this item by the 9 environmental phase 1 study -- excuse me -- phase 1 9 same roll call vote previously used to establish 10 study and has environmental clearance for sale. 10 quorum. 11 11 This slide shows the location of the Any objections or abstentions? 12 disposition parcel within New City and within the 12 (No response.) 13 13 47th and Ashland TIF redevelopment area. The TIF Hearing none, the motion is approved. 14 district was created in 2002, and its redevelopment 14 Commissioners, that was the last 15 objectives included encouraging private investment 15 item on today's agenda. If there are no further 16 and redevelopment of underutilized properties. 16 questions or comments, I move to adjourn the 17 August 13th, 2024 meeting of the Community 17 The above map shows the --18 identifies the City parcel at 1841 West 51st 18 Development Commission by the same roll call vote 19 Street. previously used to establish quorum. 20 20 This slide is the Google Street (No response.) 21 view, the site photos -- site photo and plans, and 21 Hearing none, we are adjourned. (The proceedings adjourned at 22 the budget breakdown. 22 23 In summary, the Department of 23 2:38 p.m.) 24 Planning and Development has thoroughly reviewed 24 Page 55 Page 57 1 the proposal for the sale of the City parcel 1 2 located at 1841 West 51st Street to Luis Gomez. REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 3 The department has reviewed its public benefits and 4 the project's conformance with the redevelopment I, Nick D. Bowen, do hereby certify that 5 area plan. The department recommends that the CDC 5 I reported in shorthand the proceedings of said 6 recommend to the City Council their approval for 6 hearing as appears from my stenographic notes so 7 the City's disposition of property. 7 taken and transcribed under my direction. 8 That concludes my presentation. 9 CHAIRWOMAN HATTEN BUTLER: Thank you. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 10 Taylor, you indicated that hand and affixed my seal of office at Chicago, 11 Alderwoman Coleman supports this project? Illinois, this 27th day of August 2024. 12 MR. THOMPSON: Yes. She supports both 12 13 13 projects. 14 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Do we have a letter on 14 15 record from her? 15 16 MR. THOMPSON: Yes. We have two letters. 16 17 CHAIRWOMAN BUTLER: Thank you. 17 18 Commissioners, do you have any 18 19 questions for Taylor regarding this request by the 19 20 Department of Planning and Development? 20 21 (No response.) 21 22 If there are no further questions or 22 23 comments regarding the matter before us, I will 23 24 call this item to a vote. 24

	2001 50:10	5th 13:5
	2002 54:14	
\$10.8 17:21	2011 15:12	6
\$26,000 54:7	2024 2:3 11:7 12:1,2,5 13:5,7	6 35:11
\$27 17:24	56:17	60 16:13 19:11 21:6,14 23:1 34:7,9
\$3,139 50:3	2025 17:3	64 18:7
\$30 17:19	2026 17:5	6411 41:19 42:7,11 44:19 48:2
\$305,000 46:5,15	22nd 14:15	64th 43:4,5
\$305,440 44:2	24 4:6	6601 49:16
\$5.5 16:18 17:14	2:18 39:6	6642 48:17 49:6 50:15,22 52:16
\$500 32:23	2:38 56:23	6644 48:18 49:6 50:15,22 52:16
\$607,000 46:8	2nd 12:5	
\$65,000 45:18	3	7
\$9,000 49:24		700 15:13
	30 4:9 10:2 16:11 18:4 19:11,14,15	76 16:13
1	22:7,12,20,21 23:1,8,15,17,22,24 24:1 25:1,3,19 27:2,4 30:11 32:17,	
1 11:23 12:17 13:5 26:6 27:8 50:5	21 33:8 34:11	8
54:9	33 16:12	8 2:12 12:2
10 21:19 33:22 35:6,11 50:1	34 16:13	80 9:7 16:13,16 18:6 19:12 21:5
100 18:5 34:21	4	22:16 23:1 27:1 34:2,8,9
110 16:9		8th 12:19 13:7
1103 2:9	4 17:20 32:22	
12 10:3 33:22	4.2 18:11	9
13 2:3	40 16:12 19:11 23:8,15,24 25:19	9 17:16 35:11
13th 2:15 42:13 56:17	34:9,11,14,20	90 18:4
15 7:1 16:5 22:10,11,24 32:21 33:7,	4400 13:21 14:10 18:20 40:18	97.2 16:20
8,17,21	4458 13:21 14:11 18:20 40:18	9th 11:7
16 16:14	44th 15:3	
160 16:9	45th 15:1	Α
16th 49:9 53:21	47th 53:20 54:13	Abardon 40.40 40.0 47.50.45 00
1841 53:7,17 54:18 55:2 56:4	47th/ashland 53:8 56:5	Aberdeen 48:18 49:6,17 50:15,22 52:16
1849 54:1	5	abide 23:14
1950 15:16		ability 26:14 30:10
1960 15:12	5 11:24	Abstain 41:4
	5-1/2 35:11	abstains 41:6
	50 16:10	abstention 41:3
200-gallon 54:6	51st 53:8,17 54:1,18 55:2 56:5	abstentions 41:7,10 48:8 52:20,
2000 42:18	55 15:6	24 56:8,11

accept 11:20 12:14 13:2 **access** 26:10,15 30:2,15

action 12:13

activated 49:19

add 34:17 35:3 54:6

addition 33:24

additional 18:7 29:20 31:12 32:3 37:8 41:7.10 47:16

Additionally 12:10

address 26:3 adds 35:3

....

adjourn 56:16

adjourned 56:21,22

advance 44:21

advocating 38:1

aerial 43:1,2

afford 24:13 29:1

affordable 18:7,19 27:11 28:11 34:16 36:9,12 46:23

afternoon 2:1 20:13 25:21 42:1 48:24

age 9:13

agencies 18:1

agenda 2:11 4:17 9:11 11:5,18 13:17 41:15 48:14 53:4 56:15

agreed 5:12 43:21

agreement 13:19 23:18 37:19 40:16 41:17 47:24 48:16 52:14

agrees 47:15

airport 15:6 37:22 43:6

alderman 18:24 19:2 42:13,23 45:3,7

alderman's 30:4 45:5

alderwoman 49:10 51:9,11 53:21 55:11

alive 7:14

alley 43:9

allocated 9:4 allowing 21:3

amended 11:20 13:2

amendment 11:23 12:4,6,17 13:5,

amenities 27:23 28:10

amenitized 28:12

amenity 28:13

AMI 16:12 21:15 22:7,10,17 23:20 24:2 25:19 26:22 27:2 33:9 34:11, 12,21 36:24

AMIS 37:2

amount 35:3 46:14

announce 31:3

answering 28:22

anticipate 29:19

anticipated 17:3

apologetic 35:17

appalled 6:20

Applegate 17:9

applicant 16:2 43:13,19,23 44:23

applicants 44:5

application 43:12

applying 21:10

appraisal 45:17,19,21

approval 11:6 49:11 51:3 55:6

approve 44:18

approved 11:16 13:15 41:11

48:10 53:2 56:13

approximately 16:20 44:2 49:24 54:7

April 17:3

Archer 42:15

Archer/central 41:20 42:8 48:3

architect 17:7

architects 38:10 44:6

area 11:23 13:4,23 14:16,17 21:5 27:5 29:4 30:6 34:14 35:9 37:22 40:20 41:21 42:9,14,22 43:10 48:4, 20 49:8,13 50:9 51:1 52:18 53:9,20 54:13 55:5 56:6

areas 28:13

arrived 4:12 19:10 20:7,8 23:9,11

articulate 8:7

Ashland 53:20 54:13

assets 29:21

assistance 43:20 44:11

attend 45:8

attended 6:18

attending 6:12

attorney 7:8 17:10

August 2:3,12,15 12:5 56:17

authority 13:18 25:23 26:24 29:14 36:1 40:15 41:17 47:24 48:15

52:14 53:5 56:3

authorize 42:6

Avenue 13:22 14:11 15:8 18:20 33:18 40:19 41:20 42:8,11 43:4 44:19 48:3

average 22:19,22

averaging 16:15 34:1,6

aware 5:15

В

back 5:19 7:2 8:9 31:19 39:12

bad 31:22

bands 21:13

bank 44:8

base 42:19

based 32:14,17 45:16,19

basically 9:12

basics 40:2

Bay 6:19

bedrooms 43:15

begin 3:24

beginning 5:5,21 17:4

behalf 14:4 41:23 48:22 53:11

benefit 14:21

benefits 44:16 50:24 55:3

big 38:4

bit 17:15 21:7,8,9 22:2 23:2 25:13 31:19 32:3,8 33:14

black 6:21,22 7:6,11,12,16 8:11,24 9:11 10:4,5,14,15 37:14,18 38:1,7,

9,12,19,24 39:1,9,14 40:5

Blakemore 4:19,20,23,24 5:11,16 6:2,3,9,11 7:2 37:11,13,24 38:21 40:8.9

bless 8:14

blessed 39:15

block 49:16 54:1

blocks 43:5

blood 38:18

board 12:2 13:7 23:18

Boatright 3:3,4 36:19,20,21 47:1

body 16:18

bond 17:18

bonds 35:15

borrow 21:6

bottom 38:6,8 39:1

brand 30:16

breadth 37:4

break 14:12

breakdown 50:18 54:22

breakout 36:24

bringing 38:11

brown 10:15

bucket 33:10

budget 16:19 31:6 49:24 50:17

54:7,22

budgeted 46:11

Buenz 17:8

Buford 3:6

building 14:12 15:1,3,22,23,24 16:10 25:3,4,6 27:16,18 28:12 33:19 38:20 40:7 42:20 43:8 44:13

buildings 14:23 15:11,18 25:2 27:19 33:21

built 15:12,17 34:19

bulk 23:22 30:12

business 11:18 13:17 41:15 48:14

53:4

Butler 2:1,6,23 3:2,5,10,15,20 4:24 6:5,10 8:13,15,20 11:2 14:9 18:22 20:10 25:10,11 27:20,21 28:2,5,16 29:10 30:18 31:12,17 35:22 36:16,

18 37:8 39:19 40:8,11 41:5,14 45:1,9,20,23 46:17,22 47:2,12 48:12 49:1 51:7,14 52:1,9 55:9,14, 17

C

Cabrera 16:2 17:7 19:21 20:18,19 26:1 30:3

call 2:14,16,20 11:10 12:23 13:11 40:13 41:1 47:21 48:6 51:22 52:11, 21 55:24 56:9,18

called 2:18 4:11 7:1

Calling 2:2

cap 21:6

capital 16:3 17:24 20:18,20 26:1 31:7

captures 37:3

card 37:16,23

CDC 2:7,12 12:3 13:8 18:16 26:12 44:18 49:11 51:3 55:5

Central 41:20 42:8,11,16 43:4 44:19 48:3

CHA 14:22 16:4 17:23 18:7 19:19 20:24 21:16 22:15 23:21 24:17 27:6,12,17 29:24 31:7 37:13,16

CHA-SUBSIDIZED 32:18

chair 2:6,21 3:20 5:12 14:9 25:10 27:20 30:19 31:13

Chairman 6:10

Chairwoman 2:1,23 3:2,5,10,15, 20 4:24 6:5 8:15,20 11:2 18:22 20:10 25:10 27:20 28:2,5,16 29:10 30:18 31:12,17 35:22 36:16,18 37:8 40:8,11 41:5,14 42:2 45:1,9, 20,23 46:17,22 47:2,12 48:12,24 51:7,14 52:1,9 55:9,14,17

challenged 5:8

challenging 6:23

Chan 2:23 3:1 19:7,16 20:5 23:6 25:12 28:16,17

change 5:11,18 7:19 39:19

changed 5:3

Chicago 2:5 10:4 11:22 12:7 14:1 24:20 25:23 26:24 29:13 35:24 40:22 42:12

Christina 19:18 25:22 29:12 35:23

Cicero 13:22 14:11 15:2,3,8 18:20 40:19

Cicero/archer 16:24

Cicero/stevenson 13:22 14:16, 20 40:19

Ciera 3:3

circle 14:15

circumstances 49:23

city 2:9,13 5:7 9:15,19 12:8 13:24 16:17,21 17:2,13,15,16 18:14,16 32:23 33:5 34:19 37:19 38:16 39:9 40:21 42:6 43:20 44:11,21 49:7,20 50:14,21 51:3 53:19 54:12,18 55:1,

City's 51:4 55:7

City-owned 42:7

CJ 44:6

clarification 26:4

clarify 26:6,23 27:6

clarifying 29:9

clearance 50:6 54:10

Clearing 42:14

clerk's 12:8

CLIHTF 24:20 32:19

clone 38:21,22

close 34:11

close-up 15:21

closer 22:20 32:19

closing 17:2 33:19 44:3

closure 49:21

coincides 5:6

Coleman 49:10 51:9 53:22 55:11

combined 33:22 50:2

comment 4:1,8,13 5:4,21 11:3 46:3

comments 4:16,21 5:21 6:6,7,14 12:22 25:14 40:12 47:16,20 51:21 52:10 55:23 56:16

commercial 20:20

commission 2:5,16 4:3 5:13,24 6:12,13,24 8:7 11:20 12:20 37:9

38:21 40:7 42:2 47:13,17 49:1 56:18

Commissioner 2:22 3:1,2,4,5,8,9, 10,13,14,15,18,19 5:23 14:6,8 19:7,8,16 20:5 23:6 28:17 30:19, 20,21 31:2,11,14,17,18 36:16,17, 19,20 41:4,5 45:24 46:2,18,20 47:1,3 51:23 52:1,3,7

Commissioners 2:17 3:22 5:15 11:7,17 12:10 13:16 19:4 37:7 41:12,14 45:10,24 48:13 51:15 53:3 55:18 56:14

commissions 5:7 committee 38:13

common 28:12

Commons 33:18

communities 8:24 9:5,12 10:1,2, 14

community 2:4,15 10:4 12:19 14:16 20:14 42:14 49:17 54:3 56:17

company 16:2 17:9 19:20 20:15, 18 25:24 28:7 32:6 38:17 54:5

compare 33:4 complete 44:3

completed 50:4 54:8

completing 17:5

completion 18:5

complicated 47:8

computer 32:7

conceived 20:23

concept 46:12 conceptual 44:12

concerned 28:23,24 29:1

concludes 51:5 55:8

condition 43:8

conditions 42:21

conformance 44:17 50:24 55:4

connected 33:13 connecting 38:8 conservation 42:22

construction 17:4,9 21:22 44:6

continue 46:21 47:9

contract 10:11

contracting 7:18

contractors 10:5,13

convened 12:3 13:8

copy 12:6,11

Cordwell 17:8

corrections 11:9,12,15

Cory 19:18

cost 16:19 21:22 35:7 44:1,9 46:5, 23

costs 47:1

Council 9:16,19 13:24 16:22 17:2 18:16 40:21 42:6 44:21 51:3 55:6

country 39:9 county 37:20 couple 26:3

Court 6:8 14:19

Courts 14:13,22 15:11 16:7 20:17 26:2 29:22 30:17

CPD 10:9

create 49:17 54:2

created 50:10 54:14

creative 36:13 49:22

credit 21:4 22:17 27:11 30:22 34:2

credits 17:20,22 21:11,24 26:15 31:9 35:15

criteria 36:7

Curtis 3:8,9 46:1,2,18,20 47:3

customary 18:13

D

date 12:1,3,14 13:6,7

Dave 19:19,22 28:6 32:6

David 20:13

Davis 3:11 14:7,8 30:20 31:17,18 36:16,17 51:23 52:2,7

day 26:23 days 9:7

deadline 4:6

deal 30:22 31:10,15,16 33:4,16 34:19,22 35:10

deals 33:5

decades 32:24

declaration 23:13

declining 35:9

decommissioned 42:12

defensive 34:24 delegates 9:9,10

demographic 39:3

department 2:10 4:5 11:18 13:17 14:5 19:6 31:5 40:15 41:16,24 42:4,12 43:20 45:12 47:14,15,23 48:14,23 49:3 50:19,23 51:2,17 52:13 53:4,12,15 54:23 55:3,5,20 56:2

Depot 10:9

description 14:4 41:23 48:22 53:11

desert 49:23

designation 14:1 18:17 40:22

desirable 28:13 29:5develop 26:14 36:12developed 19:23

developer 14:2 18:10,18 40:23

developers 6:22 9:21 10:5,13 19:10 36:12

development 2:4,10,15 4:5 6:13, 21 11:19 12:19 17:6 18:19 20:14 26:5 29:3 35:7 38:7,14 39:14 41:16,24 42:4 43:21 44:4 45:13 47:14,23 48:15,23 49:4 50:20 51:18 52:13 53:5,12,15 54:24 55:20 56:2,18

difference 21:9 27:23 28:9,10 30:12 32:4

differently 32:2

difficult 36:11

Dinah 14:3 19:5 24:24 31:4 32:12 35:5

Dinah's 33:12 **dining** 43:16

directly 12:12 disabled 24:11 disbursed 18:4 discernible 27:23 28:9 disposition 41:19 42:7 48:2,17 50:8 51:4 52:15 53:7 54:12 55:7 56:4

distributed 27:15,18 distribution 19:11 20:6,22 district 12:18 42:16,17 50:10 54:14

Diversey 11:21 12:6

Diversey/chicago 12:18 13:3

diversity 22:24 37:4

DNC 9:10 do-do 39:11 document 23:20 documents 12:12,14

dog 40:2 DOH 18:15 dollar 35:8 dots 38:8

DPD 3:2 11:24 12:5,12,16 18:15 36:21 44:15,18

drilling 25:13 driver 36:2,7 due 32:12

dwindling 36:11 dynamic 21:23

Ε

earlier 35:5 easier 37:7 east 15:2,3 43:4

economic 9:11 38:7,14 39:14

educate 8:10 10:24 **educated** 6:15 8:2 40:1

election 9:6 electronic 12:11 eligibility 12:7 eligible 27:5 eliminate 42:21 eloquent 39:18

email 4:3

employed 24:11 **enables** 21:6 34:5 **enclosed** 43:10

encouraging 50:11 54:15 **end** 17:1 22:4 23:11 26:22

engineers 38:10

Englewood 48:18 49:8,21 50:8,9 52:17

enhance 42:19 **ensure** 30:1,4,5

enter 41:17 47:24 48:16 52:14 53:6 56:3

entire 14:19 15:9

entity 13:20 14:2 16:4 40:17,23 **environmental** 43:22,23 44:9

50:5 54:9,10 equal 34:9,14 equity 44:8

escrow 43:22 44:9

establish 2:19 11:11 13:12 41:2 48:7 52:21 56:9,19

established 4:6 5:20 42:17

estate 42:19 estimate 18:10 estimated 44:1 ethnic 38:16

everyone's 4:10 5:9 excitingly 14:11

excuse 54:9
expect 29:18
expenses 25:16
experience 22:18

expire 17:1

explain 5:2 19:10 34:23

explained 23:9
explaining 36:24
exterior 43:7 44:13

extremely 22:5

F

faces 38:24 39:10 facility 46:4

fact 5:10 19:13 20:24 23:16

failed 8:16 fair 32:20 fall 33:20 familiar 24:22

families 29:22 30:6,10,15

family 17:17 43:15 54:4

fashioned 32:9 father 49:19 favorably 33:4 federal 40:4

feel 10:11 34:3 **fence** 15:8 43:10

file 12:8 final 44:13 finally 18:15

fenced 54:3

finance 38:17 39:5 **financed** 33:5 44:7

financial 25:16 43:20 44:11

financing 11:22 13:4,23 17:17,19, 23 25:8 26:11,16,18,20 33:20 36:5, 8 40:20 41:21 48:4,19 52:18 53:9

56:6

find 24:9,19 finding 49:22 fine 6:8 46:22 Fire 42:12

firehouse 42:12 43:14

flexibility 24:4

flip 34:4

floor 7:1,5 43:17,18 44:14

FMR 32:20 33:10

focus 49:22

focused 25:5

folks 38:12

folks' 38:19

follow 22:14

follow-up 25:12 27:21

food 49:23

Foods 49:21

format 8:9 39:19 40:1

forward 24:4

found 47:5

frankly 21:6

full 12:17

Fund 24:21

funding 30:23

funds 18:3 22:1 25:7 35:14 36:9

44:11

future 15:20 24:5 29:19

G

game 38:11 40:10

garage 43:17

garden 49:18,19 54:3

gardens 49:20

Garfield 14:16

GC 17:9

generally 21:18 24:10

generate 17:19 21:8,24 22:1

generated 18:12

generation 9:17 10:22

gentrified 38:15

George 4:19 6:11 17:8

give 5:1,16 24:3

giving 7:17

goal 29:16 30:3,17

goals 29:23 42:18

God 8:11,14

Gomez 3:13,14 41:4,5 53:6,18

54:1 55:2 56:3

good 2:1 20:12 25:21 27:3 35:19

42:1 48:24

Google 50:16 54:20

gotta 39:6

government 37:16,18 40:3,4

governs 23:20

gracious 40:6

grant 40:14 47:22 52:12

great 23:22 33:14 45:1,9 46:4

51:14

greater 21:19

green 15:7

Griggs 3:16

grocer 49:21

ground 14:13 35:12

groupings 21:13

groups 38:16

guess 20:1 23:24

Gwendolyn 2:6

Н

Habitat 16:2 17:7 19:20 20:14,18, 19 25:24 28:6 30:3 32:6 38:3

half 10:8 24:17 43:5 47:9

Hall 2:9,13

handcuffs 7:7

happened 6:17 10:8

happy 18:21

hard 9:18 43:1

Hatten 2:1,6,23 3:2,10,15,20 6:5 8:15 25:11 27:20 30:18 35:22

40:11 41:5 47:12 55:9

head 7:5

hear 36:10 39:8

heard 24:22 31:20 33:17

hearing 11:15 12:3 13:8,15 41:10

48:10 53:2 56:13,21

held 2:8 11:7

high 16:16 22:16 33:23 34:10,18

39:10

higher 21:21

Hispanic 37:22

Hispanics 39:4,8

historically 37:17

hit 7:5

home 10:9 22:1 35:14 43:18 46:5,

15.16

homes 46:14 47:6,9

honestly 21:19

hoping 35:8

hospital 7:10

hours 4:6

housed 22:9 23:16

household 23:15 32:21

households 22:6,19 23:23 24:10

34:13

houses 37:14

housing 13:18 14:5 18:19 19:6 24:21 25:23 26:15,24 29:13,20

30:2,10 31:5 32:3 34:22 35:24 36:9,12 37:16,17 39:2,5 40:3,5,15

46:8,12,24 47:15

huge 21:9

hundred 21:14

Hunter 48:16 49:7,16 50:23 52:4,8,

15

1

identifies 50:14 54:18

IDHA 35:6

ignorant 10:19

IHDA 17:17 21:2,11 22:8

illegal 10:10

illustrates 15:20

immigrants 10:10

important 6:14,15 7:11 21:23 26:6

improve 42:19

incentivized 21:1,11

include 43:15

included 7:17 50:11 54:15

includes 43:9 44:4

including 17:23

income 16:11,15 20:24 21:13 22:6,19 23:8,13 26:19 27:3,5 28:15,21 29:6 30:11 32:14 33:6 34:1,15 37:4

increases 35:3

Increment 11:22 13:4,22 40:19 41:20 48:3,19 52:17 53:8 56:5

Industrial 16:24

information 5:16 32:8

informed 6:16 8:2,4,8 39:22

initial 27:14 insane 10:12 instance 24:5

intelligent 8:6 39:21

intent 28:18

interest 21:16 38:4

interested 23:10

interior 44:14

introduce 20:10 28:2

investing 46:14

investment 50:12 54:15

investments 47:10

invite 18:24 45:3 51:9

issue 11:1 47:11

item 6:16 7:21,22 8:3,4,13 11:5,10, 17 12:23 13:11,16 39:20 40:13 41:1,15 47:21 48:6,13 51:6,22 52:11,20 53:3 55:24 56:8,15

items 2:20 4:17 7:16,20 8:4,5 39:21

J

job 36:24 jobs 7:18 10:6 joined 19:18 joint 12:2 13:6 16:3 20:17

Jordan 38:4 July 11:7

JV 13:20 14:2 18:17 40:17,23

K

Kathryn 41:18 42:10 43:13 44:5, 20 48:1

Keeping 39:24

kind 15:7 23:1,19 24:14 33:23 35:16 37:1,5 39:19

King 15:22 kitchen 43:16

L

ladies 7:13 8:11

lady 7:6

land 37:13,14 41:17 43:11 47:24 48:16 49:5,15 50:1 52:14 53:6,17, 24 56:3

landscaping 54:4

large 17:17 29:3 33:15

largest 29:5

Lawndale 33:18

lawyer 52:4,6

LC 40:23

leading 20:19,20

leasing 33:19

leave 33:2

Leclaire 13:19 14:1,13,19,22 15:11 16:7 18:11,17 20:16 26:1,7 29:22 30:16 40:16,22

legal 22:7

Leigh 8:18,19,21

lender 17:11

letter 42:24 45:8 51:13 55:14

letters 55:16 levels 26:19 leverage 26:16

LIHTC 16:16

limit 23:14

limited 4:9,14

Lincoln 6:20

list 37:3

listen 8:2

listening 8:1 39:23 47:11

lists 37:2

live 6:23 29:22 30:6,16 37:17

lived 54:1 lives 49:16

living 24:12 30:2 43:16

loan 17:17,21,24 31:7

loans 44:8 local 9:15

located 13:21 40:18 41:19 42:7,13 43:3 44:19 48:2,17 49:7,12 50:21 52:16 53:7,19 55:2 56:4

location 37:21 50:7 54:11

long 9:16

lot 9:8,13,15,20 10:5,9,18 15:7,10 21:18 22:13 35:6 36:4

loudly 20:2 love 38:21

low 22:5,10,24 29:4 34:15

low-income 24:21 26:15 29:21

30:5,9,15 46:7,12

lower 23:8,11

lowest 28:20 29:6

LP 13:20 14:2 18:17 40:17

Luis 53:6,17,24 55:2 56:3

Luther 15:22

M

Madam 8:13

magnitude 26:9

maintain 54:5

major 17:23

make 4:21 7:15,16,22,24 18:1 19:1 23:13 26:20 34:2 35:20 36:22 37:6 39:22,23 45:4 46:3 51:10,12 52:7

makes 36:13

making 5:14 27:4 34:14 36:13 38:2 47:10

man 7:8

managing 16:5

mandate 21:17

Manny 19:20

map 14:15 43:3 50:14 54:17

marble 7:5

market 19:12 28:23 29:1 30:22 31:9 32:20 45:20 50:2

market-rate 16:14 21:17 27:10,17, 22,24 28:10

Martin 15:22 42:14

Martinez-sahagun 19:19 25:21, 22 29:8,12,13 35:20,23,24 41:13

master 15:9

matter 12:22 26:21 40:12 47:20 51:21 52:10 55:23

Matthew 41:18 42:9 43:12 44:5,20 48:1

maxed 35:6

maximize 22:5 33:16

maximized 33:3 maximizes 36:14

maximizing 28:19 29:21

MBE 18:13 38:3 39:11

Mcilvain 41:18 42:10 43:13 44:6, 20 48:1

Mckibben 2:24 3:1 19:7,8,16 20:5 23:6 28:16.17

Mckibben's 25:13

means 34:7

median 21:5 22:19 27:5 34:15

meeting 2:2,4,8,11,15 4:1,7,11 5:5,19,22 6:18,24 7:4,7,12 8:23 9:23 11:4,7 12:1,4,19 13:6,8 46:19 56:17

meetings 5:3,20 9:8 12:15

meets 36:14 **member** 16:5

members 4:2 5:24 9:16,19 26:11

37:9 42:2 47:17 49:1

mention 19:17

mentioned 17:7 20:16

mess 38:23

Mevis 19:19,24 20:3,9,13 23:12 28:1,4,6 32:6 41:12

Mexican 38:17

Midway 15:6 16:24 43:6

million 16:18,20 17:14,16,19,21,24 18:11

mind 20:3 37:12

minutes 4:9,14 5:1,13 7:1,21 11:6, 8,13 39:24

mix 16:11 19:23 23:9 26:5,19 33:6 35:17 37:4 44:8

mixed- 20:23

mixed-income 21:1,3 26:8 27:9

mixed-use 16:6 18:19

money 8:23 9:2,3,18,24 16:23 31:8 38:18,19 39:13

months 44:3

mortgage 21:7

motion 11:16 13:15 41:11 48:10 53:2 56:13

move 11:9 13:10 24:4 40:24 48:5 52:20 56:8,16

moved 5:4

Multi- 17:16

Ν

national 9:10

native 54:6

neglected 19:17

negotiate 13:18 40:15

neighborhood 24:1 43:2 48:19 49:8,18 50:9 52:17 54:4

Network 22:9 23:16 24:6 33:8

nice 46:4,15

Nolan 41:22 42:3 45:11

north 13:20 14:2,24 15:2,4,23 16:8,10 18:11,17 25:4,5 27:9 33:18

40:17,23

note 2:19

number 22:8,11 23:2,11 25:19 27:3 28:20 29:5,15,16 32:22 33:16 34:10,15,18

numbers 20:8 33:1

0

objections 11:16 13:10,13 40:24 41:8,11 48:5,8 52:19,23 56:7,11

objectives 36:14 50:11 54:15

October 12:2,19 13:7

offered 28:20

office 12:8 19:2 30:4 43:18 45:4,6

51:11

offline 25:1

offset 44:9

Oftentimes 24:19

Ogden 33:17,18

onset 20:22

open 7:4 32:7 49:5 50:1 53:16 54:3

opportunities 30:5

opportunity 11:8 29:21 30:15

optics 33:14 **orange** 15:19

orange'ish 14:18

order 2:2,14 4:12 21:21

original 15:17

outline 14:18

outlines 14:19

overexplained 23:5

overview 49:14 53:23

ownership 16:5

owns 54:4

Ρ

p.m. 56:23

package 28:13

parcel 44:19 49:7 50:8 53:19 54:12,18 55:1

parcels 50:3,15

part 11:23 16:8 26:17 36:2

partner 49:19

Partners 13:19 14:1 16:3 18:17

20:18 40:16,22

partnership 16:9

party 18:18

passage 11:9 13:11 41:1 48:6

52:20 56:8

pay 21:20 27:2 30:10 32:22

pencil 25:18

people 6:22 7:5,11,14,16 8:10 9:8, 13 10:21,22 28:21,24 29:6 34:2 37:15,17,18 38:1,7,9,15 39:2,3,10,

15 40:5

percent 10:2,3 16:5,12,13,16 17:20 18:4,5 19:11,12,14,15 21:5, 6,14,15,19 22:7,10,11,12,17,20,21 23:17,22 24:1 25:2,3,19 27:1,2,4 30:11 32:17,21 33:9 34:2,7,11,14, 20,22 35:7 38:4 50:2

percentage 8:24 22:3

percentages 20:7

Perez 19:20

period 4:1,8,13 5:4 11:3

permanent 34:22

phase 25:17 26:6 27:8 29:18 32:3

50:5 54:9

phases 15:20 29:19

phenomenal 36:24

photo 50:17 54:21

photos 54:21

physically 2:13

picked 30:13

piece 29:17

place 14:21 43:5

places 39:10

plan 11:21 12:7 13:2 15:9,21

44:14,17 51:1 54:5 55:5

planning 2:10 4:5 6:12 11:19 14:17 41:16,24 42:4,15 43:21 45:13 47:14,23 48:15,23 49:4 50:20 51:17 52:13 53:5,12,15

54:24 55:20 56:2

plans 50:17 54:2,21

plants 54:6

play 37:23

played 40:10

playing 37:15 38:11

plaza 54:3

pleasant 15:7

plenty 10:13

plumbers 38:10

point 24:5 27:7 29:7

points 22:5 26:4

police 7:2

politically 10:19

pony 40:3

poor 6:22 39:1

ported 16:23

portion 16:7 17:18 21:17 24:16,18

posted 2:12

practices 5:6

predominant 37:22

present 2:18,22 3:1,4,9,14,19,21

18:23 41:22 45:2 51:8,11

presentation 5:5,14 7:22,24 8:1 12:15,17 36:23 37:1,6 39:22,23

51:5 55:8

presentations 4:22

president 20:14

previous 11:6

previously 6:17 11:10 13:12 41:2

48:7 52:21 56:9,19

price 43:22 45:16 50:3

primarily 25:5

primary 29:23

print 32:9

prior 4:5,6,11,21

private 26:16 44:8 50:12 54:15

problem 11:1

procedure 7:19

procedures 5:3

proceeded 7:3

proceedings 56:22

proceeds 21:7

process 5:11,18,19 7:17 43:11

produce 49:18

program 22:18 24:6 30:9,13 36:4

programs 36:15

project 11:23 13:4,23 14:22 15:17 16:1 17:3,12,18,22 18:6,11 19:1 20:23,24 21:2,23 25:15 26:8,9,14 29:24 31:6 36:3,8,13,15 40:20 41:21 42:24 44:1,7,10,16,21 45:5 46:5,7,10 48:4,20 49:5,15 51:10 52:18 53:9,16,24 55:11 56:6

project's 44:17 50:24 55:4

project-based 22:15 30:8

projection 25:16

projections 18:10

projects 21:3 55:13

prominent 9:9

properties 50:4,13 54:16

property 13:21 40:18 41:19 42:7, 19 43:3,9,24 45:16 48:2,17 49:12 50:21 51:4 52:15 53:7 54:5,8 55:7

56:4

proposal 50:21 55:1

proposed 11:21 12:4,6,17 13:3,9

44:14,16 53:16

proposes 43:14 49:17

provide 5:17 12:16 14:3 17:14 18:6 29:20 45:8 47:6,9 48:21 51:13

53:10

provided 42:24

providing 17:18,20

public 4:1,2,4,8,13 5:4,20,21 6:14, 15 7:23 11:3 12:1,3,9,15 13:6,8 26:16,17 37:13,17 39:4 40:3 44:16 50:23 55:3

published 5:11

pulled 7:4

purchase 43:22

purchaser 45:11 49:15 53:24

purposes 29:11 36:23

put 10:21 14:20 20:24 35:12 43:21

Q

qualify 22:17 42:21

question 20:4,6,21 25:13 27:14 28:18,19,22 29:9 31:18 36:6 45:15 51:24

questions 12:21 18:21 19:5 31:12 37:8 40:12 44:24 45:11 47:17,19 51:16,20 52:10 55:19,22 56:16

quick 51:23

Quinn 42:14 45:3

quorum 3:23 11:11 13:12 41:2 48:7 52:22 56:10,19

R

race 37:15.23 39:9

Racism 7:14 racist 39:9

RAD 22:15 30:22.24 31:8.15.16

rare 29:3

rate 19:12 28:23 29:1

read 37:3,7 38:2

real 42:19

reality 18:2

reasons 47:7,8

reassure 35:1

receive 10:3 25:7 33:11

received 4:4 12:11

receiving 39:2 44:10

recent 49:20

recently 10:8 21:2

recessed 6:24

recognize 18:24 45:3 51:9

recommend 13:24 18:15,16 40:21

51:3 55:6

recommendation 42:6

recommends 44:18 51:2 55:5

record 17:8 29:11 42:3 49:2 53:13 55:15

red 14:14 43:3

redevelop 43:14

redevelopment 11:21,22 12:7 13:2,4,19,20,23 14:13,21 15:15 16:7 20:17,20 25:18 26:1,7,10 40:16,17,20 41:21 42:9 44:17 48:4, 19 49:8,12 50:9,11,12 51:1 52:18 53:9,20 54:13,14,16 55:4 56:6

reduce 42:21

Referral 22:9 23:16 24:6 33:8

reflected 33:12 reflection 46:23

reflects 5:17 refresh 33:1

regard 28:14

Region 42:15

regular 2:4 23:18

reimburse 43:23

related 13:20 14:2 16:4 18:18

25:17 40:17,23

relative 4:16

relevant 4:17

remaining 35:9

remediation 43:24 44:10

rendering 44:12,14

renewed 49:22

renovation 42:20

rent 21:8 24:6,8,9,14,15,19 27:3 30:10,12 32:15,17,19,20 33:10

rents 21:21 32:23 34:16

repeat 20:4

replacement 18:7

report 12:8

REPORTER 6:8

represented 42:13

representing 49:3 53:14

request 11:24 14:4 16:18 19:6 41:23 45:12 48:22 51:17 53:11 55:19

requested 5:17

requesting 11:19

requests 11:6 42:5

require 24:14

required 49:11

requirement 26:17

requirements 36:4

requires 22:8

researching 9:2

reside 23:23

residence 43:15

residency 18:14

residential 15:13 20:19 26:6 27:8

29:18,20

residents 27:1,4,6 30:1

residing 22:23

resolution 13:1 40:14 42:5 47:22

52:12 56:1

resources 9:12 10:1 36:11,14

respect 4:9 5:9 25:18 26:4 32:12

respond 2:18

response 3:7,12,17 6:1 11:14 12:24 13:14 19:3 37:10 41:9 45:14 47:18 48:9 51:19 53:1 55:21 56:12,

20

rest 15:19

restoration 42:20

restricted 23:22 24:14

restricting 22:21

return 30:1

revenue 18:9 25:16

review 11:8,20 12:2,4,9,14 13:2,6,

9

reviewed 44:15 50:20,23 54:24

55:3

RFP 21:1

Ridge 14:16

River 11:22 12:7,18 13:3

Robert 3:5

Rodriguez 18:24

role 26:11

roll 2:16,19 11:10 13:11 41:1 48:6

52:21 56:9,18

room 2:9 43:16 rules 18:14 run 39:7 rush 7:9

S

sale 41:17 43:11 44:18 45:16 47:24 48:16 49:6,12,15 50:2,6,21 52:14 53:6,17,24 54:10 55:1 56:3

sales 50:1 scheduled 4:7 Schenn 19:18 20:12 scoring 21:12 seating 54:7

Secretary 2:23 25:12 28:16

Security 24:13

seeking 11:24 13:18 41:16 43:19 48:15 53:5 56:2

selected 43:13 selling 39:10 sense 36:13

September 5:18 11:24 13:5 17:5

service 7:18 services 10:16

set 8:23 9:8 11:24 12:2,14 13:5,7

share 49:18

show 14:6 31:7 37:1 40:3

showed 35:5

showers 39:15,16

showing 32:12 33:9 43:7

shown 15:24

shows 50:7 54:11,17

side 34:4 43:4 sign 4:10 signed 4:18 similarly 23:21

simple 38:11

single- 43:14

sit 9:23

site 14:22 15:10,15,21 50:17 54:21

sitting 38:13

Skowronski 41:18 42:9 43:12 44:5,20 48:1

slide 35:5 49:14 50:7,16 53:23 54:11,20

small 17:13 22:11 23:1 32:22

smaller 22:2 smoother 37:6 Social 24:12 Soft 47:1

sold 9:16,20 50:1

Sollitt 17:8 **Solomon** 17:8 **solution** 47:5 **solutions** 49:23 **sort** 17:21 21:13

sound 34:24

sources 24:19 26:10 30:23

south 13:21 14:11 15:1,2,24 16:10 18:20 25:5 27:9 40:18 41:19 42:8, 11 43:5 44:19 48:2,18 49:6,16

50:15,22 52:16

Southwest 14:17 42:15 **space** 15:8 49:5 50:1 53:17

speak 4:11,19 5:13 7:20,23 8:3,12 9:23 10:17,23 19:23 20:2 31:2 39:20,21

speaker 4:14,18 8:17 speakers 4:10,15 8:8 speaking 6:19 9:7 spectrum 23:8 spoke 39:24

SRN 24:17 33:17,21 34:17

stabilize 42:18 **stack** 31:15 34:18

staff 12:16 **stake** 35:12 **stand** 6:6 **start** 4:7 starting 5:18 9:13 10:19,20

starts 9:19

state 9:15 21:2 22:9 23:16 24:5 33:7

statement 19:1 35:21 45:4 47:15 51:10

statements 4:3,4 38:2

states 9:3 **stats** 22:14 **stay** 20:2

Stephanie 49:10 51:9 53:21

Sterling 6:19

Steven 48:16 49:7,15 50:22 52:4, 8,15

stop 23:4 storage 43:17 straight 34:21 streamed 2:9

street 15:1,3 38:22 43:5,8 48:18 49:6,17 50:15,16,22 52:16 53:8,17 54:2,19,20 55:2 56:5

struck 19:12 23:7

structure 25:9 26:18 36:6

structured 32:1 36:3 **study** 50:5 54:9,10 **stuff** 9:21 32:9

subject 43:3 46:18

submit 4:2 submitted 43:12

subordinate 17:21 subsequent 2:20

subsidies 31:8 subsidized 24:16

subsidy 24:6,8,9,15,19 31:8 32:19 34:4 35:4,6

sued 10:9

suggesting 18:4
suggestion 36:22

summary 16:1 25:15 50:19 54:23

support 21:22 42:24 45:8 49:10

51:13 53:21

supportive 34:22 42:23

supports 55:11,12

supposed 9:1

sweat 38:18

system 21:12

Т

table 37:2.12

taking 17:17 35:7

talk 14:10,23 15:18 25:1

talked 9:2

talking 23:4

tandem 25:24

tank 54:6

target 27:13

tax 11:22 13:3,22 17:20,22 18:9 21:4,11,24 22:17 26:15 27:11 30:22 31:9 34:1 35:15 40:19 41:20 42:19 48:3,19 52:17 53:8 56:5

Taylor 48:21 49:2 51:16 53:10,14

55:10.19

team 17:6 44:4

tears 38:18

technically 22:16

technology 8:16

ten 7:20 54:2

tend 23:12

term 22:6,7

terms 17:12 18:9 33:6 34:20

terrific 46:6,12

test 8:16

testing 43:23

thing 21:10

thinking 33:3

Thomas 2:21,22 30:19,21 31:2,11,

13,14 52:3

Thompson 48:21,24 49:3 51:12, 16 52:5 53:10,13,14 55:12,16

Thomsen 17:10

Thorne- 17:9

throwing 22:13

thrown 7:4

tier 27:16,17

TIF 8:23 9:2,3,18,24 12:4,18 13:9 14:17,19,20 16:18,24 17:1,14 18:3 25:7 35:8,9 42:16,17 44:10 49:8 50:9,10 53:20 54:13

TIFS 16:22

time 3:24 4:10,15 5:9 7:20 8:1,3 9:16 10:22 12:16 28:4 44:22

today 4:19 5:8 8:12 15:19 25:6

today's 2:8,11 4:17 11:3,18 13:17 41:15 48:14 53:4 56:15

torn 15:11,14

total 16:19 35:7 44:1

traditional 21:14

transaction 26:18

transactions 27:10

Trust 24:21

twin 25:8

two-car 43:17

type 26:8

typical 8:14 21:5

U

Ujamaa 44:6

unclear 5:10

uncomfortable 34:3

under- 50:12

understand 31:14,19,23 32:5 41:3

underutilized 54:16

underwriting 32:14 36:7

unemployable 24:12

unit 16:11 19:13,23 23:8 25:1 26:5, 19 30:16 32:18 34:8,9 35:2 37:4

46:8 **units** 15:13 16:9,12,13,14,15,16 18:7,8 20:22 21:4,8,18,20,24 22:8, 10,15,16,21,23 23:7,20,21 24:10,

18 25:3,19 26:22 27:10,11,14,17, 22,24 28:11,20,24 29:2,5 32:15,16 33:7,8,11,17,21 34:2,10,12,17,18,

20 37:3 46:10

unrestricted 23:3

unsubsidized 32:21 34:12

updated 12:11

utilized 36:15 50:13

utilizing 36:5

٧

vacant 15:14

Valerie 38:4

venture 16:3 20:17

verbal 4:1.8

versus 25:19 27:24

vice 2:21 20:13 30:19 31:13

view 43:1,2,7 50:17 54:21

visited 46:19

vote 2:19,20 10:20 11:10 12:23 13:11 40:13 41:1 47:21 48:6 51:22

52:11,21 55:24 56:9,18

voting 9:14

voucher 30:8,13

vouchers 22:15

W

waiting 15:15

wake 10:20

wanted 19:9 26:3 30:21 34:24 52:7

Ward 14:15 42:13 49:9 53:21

water 54:6

watermark 33:23

waving 19:20

Wayne 14:3,9 19:5,15,17 20:1

30:24 31:4

ways 30:7

WBE 18:14 38:3 39:11

website 2:12

week 9:9 10:8

West 53:7,17 54:1,18 55:2 56:5

white 7:6,13 38:12

Zoe 8:18

wide 22:24 wind 22:23 winds 22:22 woman 7:6 word 34:5 work 10:12 25:8 26:20 32:10 39:13 43:24 **worked** 35:10 **workers** 10:13 working 17:10 18:1 25:24 38:9 worse 24:2 written 4:2,4 wrong 33:12 Υ Yard 6:20 year 16:22 17:1,4 35:10 **years** 6:13,18 23:15 39:12 54:2 younger 9:14 Ζ **Zaroff** 41:22 42:1,3 45:7,11,18,22 48:11 **Zayas** 3:18,19