POLICE BOARD CITY OF CHICAGO PUBLIC MEETING

3510 South Michigan Avenue Chicago, Illinois

Thursday, June 20, 2024 7:30 p.m.

APPEARANCES:

MEMBERS OF THE POLICE BOARD: KYLE COOPER, President PAULA WOLFF, Vice President CLAUDIA BADILLO MAREILÉ CUSACK NANETTE DOORLEY ANDREAS SAFAKAS JUSTIN TERRY

ALSO PRESENT: LARRY SNELLING, Superintendent of Police; ANDREA KERSTEN, Chief Administrator of the Civilian Office of Police Accountability; DEBORAH WITZBURG, Inspector General; TRACI WALKER, Deputy Chief of the Chicago Police Department's Bureau of Internal Affairs; SCOTT SPEARS, General Counsel to the Superintendent; MAX CAPRONI, Executive Director of the Police Board; JAZMYNE ROLLINS, Executive Administrative Assistant, Police Board; MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.

1	PRESIDENT COOPER: Good evening, everybody.
2	We are now going to get started with the June
3	20th meeting of the Police Board.
4	My name is Kyle Cooper, and I am
5	the president of the Police Board. I'm now
6	calling the Board's June 20th public meeting to
7	order.
8	We thank CAN-TV for covering this
9	meeting. It is being broadcast on Channel 27 and
10	live-streamed at CAN-TV.org and on the CAN-TV
11	app.
12	Along with myself, we have the
13	following Board members attending in person this
14	evening. Vice president Ms. Paula Wolff, new
15	member Ms. Claudia Badillo, Ms. Mareilé Cusack,
16	Ms. Nanette Doorley, new member Mr. Justin Terry,
17	and Andre Safakas.
18	In addition to the Police Board
19	members, we also have the following City
20	officials here with us tonight: Superintendent
21	of Police, Mr. Larry Snelling; Chief
22	Administrator of the Civilian Office of Police
23	Accountability, Ms. Andrea Kersten; Inspector
24	General Deborah Witzburg, who is here in place of

1	Deputy Inspector General Tobara Richardson;
2	Deputy Chief of Chicago Police Department Bureau
3	of Internal Affairs, Ms. Traci Walker, who is
4	representing Chief Yolanda Talley; General
5	Counsel to the Superintendent, Mr. Scott Spears,
6	and the Police Board Executive Director Mr. Max
7	Caproni.
8	Before we proceed to the items on
9	the meeting agenda, I want to welcome two new
10	Police Board members to their first public
11	meeting.
12	On June 12th, the City Council
13	approved the appointments of Claudia Badillo and
14	Justin Terry to the Board. I now at this time
15	would ask Ms. Badillo to introduce herself and
16	make any comments that she might wish to make.
17	BOARD MEMBER BADILLO: Thank you. Hello,
18	everybody. My name is Claudia Badillo. Thank
19	you for the introduction. I am new to the Board.
20	This is my first Board meeting. I'm very happy
21	to be here. Very happy I was selected. I think
22	it is a really important job. If you want to
23	know a little bit about me, I grew up in Chicago,
24	born and raised here. I live all the way up in

1	the 41st Ward, and I grew up in the 45th Ward.
2	Obviously, I'm an attorney. I practice consumer
3	bankruptcy in my normal life, so I help people
4	who have, you know, issues with financial
5	distress, and I really enjoy helping people.
6	Like I said, born and raised in
7	Chicago, and I'm very happy to be here. Thank
8	you.
9	PRESIDENT COOPER: Thank you, Ms. Badillo.
10	Mr. Terry.
11	BOARD MEMBER TERRY: Good evening, everybody.
12	My name is Justin Terry. I'm new to the Police
13	Board, as you just heard. Long-time
14	near-south-side resident. A resident of the 3rd
15	Ward currently. Happy to be here. I'm a
16	practicing attorney and real estate guy, and my
17	goal in being here is to, you know, help bridge
18	that gap between community and the police. And
19	humbled to be here. Thank you.
20	PRESIDENT COOPER: Thank you, Mr. Terry. We
21	will now proceed to the items on the meeting
22	agenda. We are pleased to have with us this
23	evening Jens Ludwig, the Edwin A. And Betty L.
24	Bergman Distinguished Service Professor at the

1	University of Chicago and Pritzker Director of
2	the University Chicago Crime Lab.
3	Professor Ludwig will be giving a
4	presentation on "Predicting Police Misconduct."
5	PROFESSOR LUDWIG: I'm Jens Ludwig from the
6	University of Chicago. I guess I should also say
7	I'm a south-side resident. I live a few miles
8	from here in Hyde Park. Thanks very much for
9	having me here to talk about some work that I've
10	been doing I'm going to talk about some work
11	that I've been doing with my colleagues, Greg
12	Stoddart and Dylan Fitzpatrick, at the University
13	of Chicago as part of that consent decree between
14	the Chicago Police Department and the Illinois
15	Attorney General's Office that we hope has the
16	potential to be helpful both for the community in
17	Chicago and for police officers themselves.
18	So one way to think about the
19	motivation for what we've been working on is the
20	accumulating body of knowledge that we have about
21	the effects of trauma on human beings.
22	We know that, for instance,
23	exposure to violence has massive impacts on risk
24	of all sorts of mental health problems, including

1	PTSD, but not limited to PTSD. If you look at
2	the crime statistics by neighborhood for the City
3	of Chicago, and you look at a high-violence
4	community like Englewood on the south side, you
5	can do the math and figure out what the
6	cumulative annual exposure is for Englewood
7	residents, and you can understand the massive
8	amounts of trauma, unfortunately so much of
9	untreated trauma, that community residents have
10	to deal with.
11	But it's not just community
12	residents that deal with violence exposure as
13	well.
14	If you think about the job of a
15	police officer, their job is when the call comes
16	in for a shooting to go out to the scene. So if
17	you think about the exposure of police officers
18	serving a neighborhood like Englewood over the
19	course of a single week, you can imagine the
20	massive compounding effects of repeated violence
21	exposure and traumatizing and retraumatizing
22	effects of that.
23	So what are the consequences for
~ .	

24 all of us in the City of Chicago? Well, we can

1 start with the police officers themselves. 2 The report that led to the Consent 3 Decree noted that the suicide rate among Chicago police officers is something like 60 percent 4 higher than the national average. This is 5 clearly from not doing an adequate job of 6 7 addressing trauma exposure and related problems for police officers. It's clearly bad for 8 9 Chicago police officers. It's also clearly bad 10 for the citizens that Chicago police officers 11 have to serve. 12 So, for me, one of the most heart 13 -- one of the many, many heart-breaking features, 14 but one of the key heart-breaking consequences of 15 the Jason Van Dyke shooting of Laguan McDonald was to read news accounts after the fact of the 16 17 paper trail of complaints that had been filed 18 against Officer Van Dyke before the shooting, and 19 to see the interview that his wife gave with the 20 Tribune reporting that she could tell that he was 21 changing as a person from his time on the job. 22 And the heart-breaking thing in hindsight is that 23 we didn't pay attention to the signals and do 24 something and intervene to try and prevent that

1	from happening in the first place. Maybe we
2	couldn't have prevented it, but it's not clear
3	that we did everything that we could do if tried.
4	Most of what we do right now is
5	reactive, after the fact. The tragedy happens.
6	We have disciplinary processes. We have support
7	that goes to the community. But imagine we lived
8	in a world in which we were purely reactive in
9	every domain of life. You don't think about an
10	umbrella until it is literally raining on you.
11	Right? You don't think about a snowplow until we
12	have 12 inches of snow on the ground. We can see
13	here in Chicago what we think of mayors who are
14	purely reactive to things like snowstorms. We
15	famously kicked one out of office. We don't do
16	that in something as trivial as weather. We use
17	data and information to see problems coming.
18	Right? We look at the weather report, we look at
19	the forecast to see what's coming down the road
20	and try and do something preventive in advance.
21	So at some big-picture level, the
22	work we've been doing for the Chicago Police
23	Department, the Illinois Attorney General's
24	Office, is to try and think about whether we can

1	see problems coming down the road for police
2	misconduct as well.
3	Okay. And so what we did starting
4	in 2017 is in response to a request from the
5	City, we gathered information from the Chicago
6	Police Department's administrative data systems
7	and built a statistical tool to see if we can
8	predict police misconduct in the future from
9	patterns of behavior in conduct for Chicago
10	police officers in the past.
11	So what I want to do with the rest
12	of my time is just tell you very quickly what
13	that looks like.
14	And so here's the basic intuition
15	behind the statistical work that we did for the
16	City. So the essentially, we take five years
17	of past information on a police officer, this
18	includes things like all of the complaints that
19	have been filed against an officer and for what,
20	whether they're sustained or not sustained,
21	SPARs, the City's low-level administrative
22	complaints against police, their use-of-force
23	reports, their arrest records, information on
24	
	their activity on the job and so on, you get

1 them, and then what we do is we use that pattern of information for the officer of this five-year 2 3 period to see if we can identify patterns that are predictive of officers that are going to be 4 5 at elevated risk for misconduct in the future. We look separately at both on-duty misconduct and 6 off-duty misconduct. And here is what we find 7 when we do that. So let me just talk you through 8 9 this graph.

10 So, again, we're looking backwards 11 at five years of behavioral patterns for police 12 officers, and then we're looking forward for two years to see if the officers that we think are at 13 highest risk for misconduct actually are at 14 15 highest risk. And so every officer's ranking in 16 the risk distribution is on the X axis in this 17 graph. So the officers that we predict to be at 18 highest risk are further to the right in the 19 graph. And on the Y axis, we show the fraction 20 of police officers who wind up having on-duty 21 misconduct over the next two-year period. And 22 you can see -- because the graph has a positive 23 slope, you can see that the officers that we 24 predict to be elevated risk wind up having a

1	higher misconduct rate in the future. So there
2	is some predictive signal in the data about
3	what's going to happen with the officer in the
4	future. And you can see in particular at the
5	very, very top of the predicted risk
6	distribution, especially the top one or two
7	percent of the predicted risk distribution have
8	greatly elevated rates of risk.
9	So the top 1 percent of the police
10	officers in the department, 12 percent of them
11	experience misconduct over the two-year period.
12	On average it's about 2 percent of all police
13	officers. So it's a 6-fold increase relative to
14	the average police officer.
15	The good news is that the risk
16	curve falls off very sharply. So there is a
17	relatively small number of very high-risk
18	officers, and then the curve flattens out very
19	quickly.
20	So most officers are at average or
21	below-average risk. There's so many of these
22	lower-risk officers, they wind up accounting for
23	most of the misconduct that happens at the police
24	department.

1	So huge value in trying to
2	prioritize support services to the highest risk,
3	but that's not going to be a panacea, because the
4	high risk are truly at super highly elevated
5	risk, but it's a relatively small subset.
6	The final thing that I just want to
7	tell you, and then I'll take whatever questions
8	you have and let you move on with the agenda, is
9	the last finding that I want to mention, which I
10	think is important for the work that you all do,
11	is it turns out in the data that we can see that
12	the risk of on-duty misconduct winds up being
13	very highly correlated with risk of off-duty
14	misconduct. What that means is the police
15	officers who are at risk for using force out of
16	policy against the citizen, that's the same
17	officer who is at elevated risk for getting a
18	domestic violence arrest at home or a
19	drunk-driving arrest or you can imagine, right,
20	and vice versa.
21	And the reason that I wanted to
22	mention that is because the LAPD Consent Decree
23	with the U.S. Department of Justice provides a

24 little bit of a -- kind of an aspirational ghost

1 of Christmas future about what could potentially 2 happen with the Consent Decree here in Chicago. 3 So they made a big push in L.A. as part of their Consent Decree with DOJ to focus on officer 4 wellness. You can see from this news headline 5 they went for a two-year period without a single 6 police officer suicide, which I think everyone in 7 Chicago would be amazed at if we could accomplish 8 9 that here. And it wasn't just good for police 10 officers, it was good for the community as well. 11 So this is a graph that shows you what happens to 12 suspensions to police officers as the Consent 13 Decree is happening. You can see there's a big 14 fall-off in the number of officers who were 15 suspended for misconduct. You can see there's a big fall-off in the number of police officers who 16 17 were fired for misconduct. You might worry maybe that's just an artifact of LAPD gaming the 18 19 administrative data or whatever.

20 So the last thing that I will leave 21 you with you is, this is a graph that shows the 22 blue line here is the murder rate in Los Angeles 23 per hundred thousand over time -- over the period 24 that the Consent Decree was implemented, and the

1	red line here is L.A. Times' public opinion
2	survey data about how the community feels about
3	the police department. And you can see that the
4	murder rate in L.A. is plummeting over this time
5	period at exactly the same time that community
6	support and confidence in LAPD is surging.
7	Right? I think there's very few cities in the
8	country that wouldn't look at this graph, I
9	suspect Chicago is one of them, and think, boy,
10	if we can could have that combination of outcomes
11	here as well, we would greatly welcome that.
12	Thanks very much.
13	PRESIDENT COOPER: Thank you, Professor
14	Ludwig.
15	Does anyone at the dais have any
16	questions for the professor?
17	INSPECTOR GENERAL WITZBURG: For the future
18	going the future misconduct, that two years
19	where you're looking at predictive behavior, are
20	you measuring this conduct by sustained
21	administrative findings of misconduct?
22	PROFESSOR LUDWIG: So we can do it looking at
23	sustained misconduct complaints. We can do it
24	looking at unsustained misconduct complaints, and

1	you see qualitatively similar patterns both ways.
2	INSPECTOR GENERAL WITZBURG: But presumably
3	for predicting misconduct, we would be looking at
4	what's been determined to be misconduct, right?
5	PROFESSOR LUDWIG: The challenge for the
б	challenge from a sort of a statistical data
7	perspective is that, as you all know much better
8	than I do, the investigatory process can take a
9	long time with some of these cases, and so if
10	you're predicting just sustained cases, you wind
11	up losing a lot of you have to wait a long
12	time for these cases to be sustained.
13	I think the good news is that
13 14	I think the good news is that there's information in the data, whether you want
14	there's information in the data, whether you want
14 15	there's information in the data, whether you want to focus on sustained complaints or whether you
14 15 16	there's information in the data, whether you want to focus on sustained complaints or whether you want to look at any complaint for on-duty
14 15 16 17	there's information in the data, whether you want to focus on sustained complaints or whether you want to look at any complaint for on-duty misconduct, or if you look at we're looking at
14 15 16 17 18	there's information in the data, whether you want to focus on sustained complaints or whether you want to look at any complaint for on-duty misconduct, or if you look at we're looking at any sort of on-duty complaint. You can also set
14 15 16 17 18 19	there's information in the data, whether you want to focus on sustained complaints or whether you want to look at any complaint for on-duty misconduct, or if you look at we're looking at any sort of on-duty complaint. You can also set a severity threshold and say, I only want to look
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there's information in the data, whether you want to focus on sustained complaints or whether you want to look at any complaint for on-duty misconduct, or if you look at we're looking at any sort of on-duty complaint. You can also set a severity threshold and say, I only want to look at complaints for out-of-policy use of force, for
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there's information in the data, whether you want to focus on sustained complaints or whether you want to look at any complaint for on-duty misconduct, or if you look at we're looking at any sort of on-duty complaint. You can also set a severity threshold and say, I only want to look at complaints for out-of-policy use of force, for instance. Again, you see that there's signs from

1	INSPECTOR GENERAL WITZBURG: Is the best way
2	to understand this that a certain behavioral
3	pattern over five years historically predicts the
4	accrual of complaints rather than it predicts
5	future misconduct?
6	PROFESSOR LUDWIG: Say that one more time.
7	INSPECTOR GENERAL WITZBURG: Is the best way
8	to understand this that what's being predicted is
9	not necessarily misconduct but rather complaints
10	of misconduct?
11	PROFESSOR LUDWIG: No. So let's go back to
12	imagine that you look at the version of the
13	results that has sustained complaints on the
14	left-hand side, and imagine that you are looking
15	at sustained complaints for out-of-policy use of
16	force. Okay. What we're telling you is that we
17	can look at the last five years of data on a
18	police officer and figure out who the 1 percent
19	of police officers are who are at greatly
20	elevated risk for eventually sometime over the
21	next two years having a sustained complaint for
22	out-of-policy use of force. And one of the
23	strongest predictors is not having something
24	really serious in the past, but just the accrual

1 of complaints in the past turns out to be a very 2 strong predictor of something even really serious 3 in the future. Does that make sense? So it's worth a lot to be able to see like this is a very 4 bad projectory, both for the community and for 5 the police officer themselves, given the 6 consequences that can result from this. 7 Thank you for the VICE PRESIDENT WOLFF: 8 9 presentation and the research that went behind 10 it. 11 In L.A., was there kind of 12 treatment or protocol that they use with the 13 police officers who are at risk, or did they 14 counsel them out of the department or both? 15 PROFESSOR LUDWIG: Because this is a -- well, I think that the first line of defense, or 16 17 whatever you'd want to call it, is support for police officers, and I think LAPD was really 18 19 ahead of the curve in thinking about how to 20 support police officers. And so, you know, 21 they've got protocol for supervisors for what to 2.2 do. And then I think they were also trying to be very thoughtful in -- you know, mental health is 23 24 a stigmatized problem in the United States in

1 general, and there's certainly no reason to think it's less so among police officers, and lots of 2 3 reasons to think that it might be even more so among police officers. And so they did what are, 4 to me, pretty clever foresighted things like 5 embedding mental health treatment providers in 6 district station houses, so they would just have 7 lots of informal interactions with police 8 9 officers over time, which I think they believe --10 they believe increased the willingness of police 11 officers to then get help. And the hope would be 12 that the supports would then be useful, and you wouldn't need -- but you wouldn't need to take 13 14 disciplinary action against the police officer, 15 because you wouldn't see a recurring pattern of misconduct in the future. 16

17 VICE PRESIDENT WOLFF: And just quickly, is 18 there data about how many of those officers 19 actually left the department and how that could 20 account for the drop?

21 PROFESSOR LUDWIG: The -- well, you can see 22 that the number of -- the number of terminations 23 is going down over time. So it's not a in --24 it's not a termination story.

1	VICE PRESIDENT WOLFF: Even if it weren't a
2	termination, somebody chooses to leave. I don't
3	know how you're defining termination, not in
4	terms
5	PROFESSOR LUDWIG: Sorry, sorry. The
6	technical I think the technical you can see
7	here, "Terminated, resigned, or retired."
8	VICE PRESIDENT WOLFF: Okay. I can't see.
9	PROFESSOR LUDWIG: Sorry, sorry. I
10	mistakenly verbally described it as terminated,
11	but it's "terminated, resigned, retired." Sorry.
12	My fault.
13	BOARD MEMBER SAFAKAS: Thank you. Wonderful
14	presentation. I appreciate the use of your
15	predictive analytics.
16	Now, I am curious about one thing.
17	I know obviously you are tasked with
18	understanding what's going on in CPD, but you did
19	provide some comparative information with the
20	LAPD.
21	So I'm curious if there has been or
22	if there will be any sort of analysis done in
23	terms of comparison between other major police
24	departments that have a similar profile, i.e.,

population. I'm curious what in L.A. the calls per capita are per officer. Perhaps what the arrest rate versus incarceration rate is. I think some of those things, perhaps, factor in, too. We won't include weather obviously. But these are just some things I'm curious if your team is looking into as well.

PROFESSOR LUDWIG: Yeah. We do a fair amount 8 9 of cross-city comparisons. Maybe the one thing I 10 would say is it certainly seems true that places 11 like L.A. and New York are almost like other 12 planets compared to Chicago. It's easy to draw 13 that inference. But if you rewind the clock to as recently as like 1991, the murder rate per 14 15 capita in Chicago, LA, and New York was almost 16 identical.

17 And so I'm old enough to remember 18 1991 like it was yesterday. And so the cities 19 have different weather patterns, they have 20 different geographies, they have different 21 population densities, they have different, you 22 know, governmental structures in terms of which 23 level of government is responsible for what. 24 But as recently as 1991, things

1	from a very important sort of criminal justice
2	perspective looked identical.
3	And so I think, you know, you might
4	look at that and think maybe there's a little bit
5	more commonality to these cities than you might
6	initially believe. And if you can see things
7	that are working in that seem to be working in
8	other cities, maybe that gives us some hope that
9	some version of whatever they did can potentially
10	be used here, would be the hypothesis.
11	BOARD MEMBER SAFAKAS: Thank you.
12	SUPERINTENDENT SNELLING: Yes, I have a quick
13	question. So when you looked at this because,
14	obviously, you mentioned the mental health aspect
15	of this. Are we looking at where those officers
16	were assigned, what part of the City they were
17	assigned, what type of jobs they were handling?
18	And along with that, when we look at complaints,
19	whether they were sustained or not sustained,
20	obviously, you're looking at complaints and maybe
21	just allegations at this point. It is a
22	complaint against an officer. Are we looking at
23	patterns where those patterns are similar, the
24	complaints are kind of along the same lines? So

1	let's say you see multiple use-of-force
2	complaints or some other pattern of behavior, are
3	you looking at those things and taking them into
4	consideration?
5	PROFESSOR LUDWIG: Yeah. So we're definitely
6	accounting for where police officers are
7	assigned, so what district they're working in and
8	what their job classification is.
9	So if you're on a tact team versus
10	patrol versus working at a desk, so we can
11	account for that.
12	Thank you for the question. I
13	should clarify that the statistical model that
14	we're building is not the researchers at the
15	University of Chicago forming a guess about what
16	behavioral patterns mitigate and would be
17	predictive, but it's rather using the like
18	using the latest statistical methods to let the
19	data tell us what the patterns are that are most
20	predictive, if that makes sense. So it turns out
21	that it's not so much what the previous
22	complaints were for necessarily, but rather the
23	number of complaints that an officer has had in
24	the past turns out to be the thing that's most

1	predictive of the sort of misconduct things that
2	we want to prevent in the future. It didn't need
3	to be that way. It easily could have turned out
4	that maybe it's a very reasonable hypothesis
5	to think like it's really a pattern of same sort
6	of behavior being mentioned over and over or
7	escalating behavior that's the worrisome sign.
8	It turns out in the data that doesn't seem to be
9	the case.
10	SUPERINTENDENT SNELLING: Thanks.
11	PRESIDENT COOPER: Great presentation. Happy
12	to entertain questions from the public.
13	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The misconduct that
14	you are looking at, do you look at the suicide
15	prevention? Are you tying that in with
16	misconduct on one end and one on the other end?
17	Are you looking and comparing that?
18	PROFESSOR LUDWIG: So the question because
19	is are we comparing suicide prevention and
20	misconduct? Statistically, we're not looking at
21	suicides in the data. They're way, way, way too
22	common from sort of a public policy, public
23	health, and human perspective. But,
24	statistically, we just can't predict which

1	specific officers are going to experience suicide
2	or suicide attempts.
3	So what we're doing instead is
4	we're looking at things like an officer is
5	getting arrested repeatedly for drunk driving or
6	driving while on drugs or domestic violence or
7	whatever it is, and we're using that as kind of a
8	proxy for officers who seem to be in some sort of
9	like clearly some sort of like problem
10	behavior and maybe due partly to some sort of
11	mental distress that we haven't we sort of
12	collectively haven't identified and helped them
13	with.
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.
15	PRESIDENT COOPER: Thank you, Professor
16	Ludwig, for this great presentation. Really
17	appreciate it.
18	(AUDIENCE APPLAUD.)
19	PRESIDENT COOPER: The next item on the
20	agenda is the minutes. Is there a motion to
21	approve the drafts of the minutes of the Board's
22	public and closed meeting held on May 16th?
23	VICE PRESIDENT WOLFF: So moved. Paula
24	Wolff.

1	BOARD MEMBER CUSACK: Second. Mareilé
2	Cusack.
3	PRESIDENT COOPER: Thank you, Ms. Cusack.
4	All in favor, please raise your hand and say aye.
5	(CHORUS OF AYES.)
6	PRESIDENT COOPER: Any opposed?
7	(NO RESPONSE.)
8	PRESIDENT COOPER: Seeing none, the motion
9	passes.
10	The next item is the report on
11	minutes and recordings.
12	Earlier today, the Board, as
13	required by the Illinois Open Meetings Act, met
14	and considered minutes and recordings of all past
15	closed meetings to determine whether the need for
16	confidentiality exists. The Board members
17	unanimously agreed that it continues to be
18	necessary to keep confidential the recordings of
19	its past closed meetings and portions of the
20	minutes.
21	The Board makes publicly available
22	portions of its closed-meeting minutes. These
23	minutes are included in the "Blue Book" that's
24	posted on the Board's website in advance of each

1 public meeting. 2 The Open Meetings Act permits the 3 public bodies to dispose of these recordings of past closed meetings after 18 months. Is there a 4 motion to approve the disposal of the recordings 5 of past closed meetings that took place more than 6 7 18 months ago? VICE PRESIDENT WOLFF: So moved. Paula 8 9 Wolff. 10 BOARD MEMBER CUSACK: Second. Mareilé 11 Cusack. 12 PRESIDENT COOPER: All in favor, please raise 13 your hands and say aye. 14 (CHORUS OF AYES.) 15 PRESIDENT COOPER: Any opposed? 16 (NO RESPONSE.) 17 PRESIDENT COOPER: Hearing, seeing none, the 18 motion passes. 19 The next regular public meeting of 20 the Police Board will take place Thursday, July 21 18th, at 7:30 p.m. right here at the police 22 headquarters on 35th and Michigan Avenue. 23 The Police Board meets in executive 24 session to discuss various matters. Those

1	discussions are closed to the public as
2	authorized by Section 2(c)(1), (4), (11), and
3	(21) of the Illinois Open Meetings Act.
4	Information on matters discussed in the executive
5	sessions is included in minutes of the meetings
6	posted on our website. Is there a motion to
7	close a series of future executive sessions as
8	authorized by these sections of the Open Meetings
9	Act?
10	VICE PRESIDENT WOLFF: So moved. Paula
11	Wolff.
12	BOARD MEMBER CUSACK: Second. Mareilé
13	Cusack.
14	PRESIDENT COOPER: All in favor, please raise
15	your hand and say aye.
16	(CHORUS OF AYES.)
17	PRESIDENT COOPER: Any opposed?
18	(NO RESPONSE.)
19	PRESIDENT COOPER: Seeing and hearing none,
20	the motion passes.
21	The next item on the agenda is to
22	provide the public with update on the
23	arbitration. That's okay. Going off script.
24	So as many of you know, that there's

1 currently ongoing litigation between the FOP and 2 the City of Chicago with respect to whether or 3 not police officers accused of serious misconduct are allowed to have their cases heard before an 4 arbitrator or whether those cases need to be 5 heard before the Police Board. 6 At the end of June, the record on 7 appeal is to be submitted, and at some point in 8 9 mid July, the FOP is supposed to file its opening 10 brief. When the FOP files its opening brief, we 11 will make the public aware of it and provide most 12 likely a link to it on the Board's website. 13 The next item on the agenda is 14 disciplinary cases. The Police Board hears cases 15 when the Superintendent files charges recommending that an officer be discharged from 16 17 the Chicago Police Department for violating CPD's rules of conduct. 18 19 The Board, as authorized by the Open 20 Meetings Act, has discussed in a closed meeting 21 two police disciplinary cases that we will now be 22 taking final action on. 23 Regarding case number 23 PB 3027, 24 the Superintendent filed charges against Police

1	Officer Hutchinson recommending that he be
2	discharged from the Chicago Police Department for
3	conduct during off-duty domestic incidents.
4	The Superintendent subsequently
5	moved to withdraw these charges because the
6	parties agreed to settle the case and have the
7	Superintendent suspend Officer Hutchinson for one
8	year. Is there a motion to reject the settlement
9	agreement and deny the Superintendent's motion?
10	VICE PRESIDENT WOLFF: So moved. Paula
11	Wolff.
12	BOARD MEMBER CUSACK: Second. Mareilé
13	Cusack.
14	PRESIDENT COOPER: I will now call on members
15	of the Board for their votes. Vice President Ms.
16	Paula Wolff.
17	VICE PRESIDENT WOLFF: Aye.
18	PRESIDENT COOPER: Ms. Badillo.
19	BOARD MEMBER BADILLO: Aye.
20	PRESIDENT COOPER: Ms. Cusack.
21	BOARD MEMBER CUSACK: Aye.
22	PRESIDENT COOPER: Ms. Doorley.
23	BOARD MEMBER DOORLEY: Aye.
24	PRESIDENT COOPER: Mr. Safakas.

1	BOARD MEMBER SAFAKAS: Aye.
2	PRESIDENT COOPER: Mr. Terry.
3	BOARD MEMBER TERRY: Aye.
4	PRESIDENT COOPER: I also vote in favor of
5	the motion.
6	Voting in favor are Board Members
7	Wolff, Badillo, Cusack, Doorley, Safakas, Terry,
8	and myself. The motion passes by a vote of 7 to
9	zero.
10	Regarding case number 23 PB 3026,
11	the Superintendent filed charges against Sergeant
12	Edward Leighton recommending that he be
13	discharged from the Chicago Police Department for
14	conduct unbecoming a police officer while on
15	duty. The Superintendent subsequently moved to
16	withdraw these charges because the parties agreed
17	to settle the case and have the Superintendent
18	suspend Officer Leighton for one year.
19	Is there a motion to approve the
20	settlement agreement and grant the
21	Superintendent's position?
22	VICE PRESIDENT WOLFF: So moved. Paula
23	Wolff.
24	BOARD MEMBER CUSACK: Second. Mareilé

1 Cusack. PRESIDENT COOPER: I will now call on members 2 3 of the Board for their votes. Ms. Paula Wolff. VICE PRESIDENT WOLFF: 4 Aye. PRESIDENT COOPER: Ms. Badillo. 5 BOARD MEMBER BADILLO: 6 Aye. 7 PRESIDENT COOPER: Ms. Cusack. 8 BOARD MEMBER CUSACK: Aye. 9 PRESIDENT COOPER: Ms. Doorley. 10 BOARD MEMBER DOORLEY: Aye. 11 PRESIDENT COOPER: Mr. Safakas. 12 BOARD MEMBER SAFAKAS: Aye. 13 PRESIDENT COOPER: Mr. Terry. 14 BOARD MEMBER TERRY: Aye. 15 PRESIDENT COOPER: I also vote in favor of the motion. 16 17 Voting in favor are Board Members 18 Wolff, Badillo, Cusack, Doorley, Safakas, Terry, 19 and myself. The motion passes by a vote of 7 to 20 zero. 21 The written orders for these two 22 cases will be entered as of today's date, issued 23 to the parties, and then promptly posted on the 24 Board's website.

1	The next item on the agenda is an
2	appeals by disqualified applicants to become
3	Chicago police officers.
4	When a person who applies to become
5	a Chicago police officer is disqualified and
6	removed from the eligibility list due to the
7	results of a background examination, that person
8	has a right to appeal to the Police Board. The
9	Board, as authorized by the Open Meetings Act,
10	has discussed in a closed meeting several
11	appeals. We will now take final action. Is
12	there a motion to affirm disqualification
13	decisions for the following appeals: 24 AA 13
14	and 15, and reverse the disqualification decision
15	for the following appeal 24 AA 11?
16	VICE PRESIDENT WOLFF: So moved. Paula
17	Wolff.
18	BOARD MEMBER CUSACK: Second. Mareilé
19	Cusack.
20	PRESIDENT COOPER: I will now call on members
21	of the Board for their votes.
22	Ms. Paula Wolff.
23	VICE PRESIDENT WOLFF: Aye.
24	PRESIDENT COOPER: Ms. Badillo.

1	BOARD MEMBER BADILLO: Aye.
2	PRESIDENT COOPER: Ms. Cusack.
3	BOARD MEMBER CUSACK: Aye.
4	PRESIDENT COOPER: Ms. Doorley.
5	BOARD MEMBER DOORLEY: Aye.
6	PRESIDENT COOPER: Mr. Safakas.
7	BOARD MEMBER SAFAKAS: Aye.
8	PRESIDENT COOPER: Mr. Terry.
9	BOARD MEMBER TERRY: Aye.
10	PRESIDENT COOPER: I also vote in favor of
11	the motion.
12	Voting in favor are Board Members
13	Wolff, Badillo, Block, Cusack, Doorley, Safakas,
14	Terry, and myself. Excuse me. Block is not
15	here. The motion passes. The written decisions
16	of these appeals will be entered as of today's
17	date, sent to the parties and promptly posted on
18	the Board's website.
19	At last month's meeting, we
20	announced and posted on our website for public
21	comment a draft policy that will require the
22	Police Board to provide the Deputy Inspector
23	General for Public Safety access to information
24	and not interfere with the exercise of the

1	Deputy's discretionary or oversight
2	responsibilities.
3	The Board, after posting these
4	comments, received one public comment on the
5	draft policy. It was from the Consent Decree
6	Monitor who suggested minor revisions to the text
7	and striking a footnote. We have revised the
8	policy in response to this comment.
9	Is there a motion to adopt the
10	revised policy that has been posted on the
11	Board's website?
12	VICE PRESIDENT WOLFF: So moved. Paula
13	Wolff.
14	BOARD MEMBER CUSACK: Second. Mareilé
15	Cusack.
16	PRESIDENT COOPER: All in favor, please raise
17	your hand and say aye.
18	(CHORUS OF AYES.)
19	PRESIDENT COOPER: Any opposed?
20	(NO RESPONSE.)
21	PRESIDENT COOPER: Seeing and hearing none,
22	the motion passes.
23	The next item on the agenda is a
24	policy on training. Paragraph number 542 of the

1	Consent Decree on policing in Chicago requires
2	the creation of a training policy for Police
3	Board members and hearing officers.
4	We will post a draft policy in the
5	Alerts section the Board's website with the
6	Chicago.gov/Police Board, along with information
7	on how to provide comments on the draft. Public
8	comments are due by Monday, July 15th.
9	At this time, I would now one
10	second. Before we get to the Superintendent's
11	comment, we will make going to make two quick
12	announcements about disagreement cases. We have
13	on the agenda announcements of four rulings on
14	matters on which the Chief Administrator of the
15	Civilian Office of Police Accountability and the
16	Superintendent of Police did not agree regarding
17	the discipline of a police officer.
18	For each of these matters, a member
19	of the Police Board was randomly selected to
20	review and rule on the disagreement, and the
21	Board member's written opinion will be posted on
22	the Board's website.
23	In Request for Review number 24-04,
24	which I reviewed, the Chief Administrator

1	recommended that Field Training Officer John
2	Dalcason be suspended without pay for 90 days for
3	use of excessive force.
4	The Superintendent did not agree
5	with this recommendation and proposed that the
6	allegations be classified as Exonerated.
7	After considering this matter, it is
8	my opinion that the Superintendent did not meet
9	its burden in overcoming the Chief
10	Administrator's recommendation for discipline.
11	Request for Review number 24-03,
12	which Board Member Steven Block reviewed, also
13	involves Field Training Officer Dalcason. The
14	Chief Administrator recommended that he be
15	suspended without pay for 90 days for use of
16	excessive force on a different occasion. The
17	Superintendent also did not agree with this
18	recommendation and proposed findings of not
19	sustained for the excessive force allegation.
20	Board Member Block ruled that the
21	Superintendent did not meet the burden of
22	overcoming the Chief Administrator's
23	recommendation for discipline.
24	Board Members Doorley and Safakas
1	will make the next two announcements.
--	---
2	BOARD MEMBER SAFAKAS: Good evening,
3	everyone. In Request for Review number 24-02,
4	the Chief Administrator recommended that Police
5	Officer Roberto Gomez be discharged from the
6	Chicago Police Department for using deadly force
7	in violation of CPD policy.
8	The Interim Superintendent
9	disagreed stating that the preponderance of the
10	evidence did not support the finding that Officer
11	Gomez used deadly force in violation of the CPD
12	policy.
13	After considering this matter, it
13 14	After considering this matter, it is my opinion that the Superintendent did not
14	is my opinion that the Superintendent did not
14 15	is my opinion that the Superintendent did not meet the burden of overcoming the Chief
14 15 16	is my opinion that the Superintendent did not meet the burden of overcoming the Chief Administrator's recommendation for discipline;
14 15 16 17	is my opinion that the Superintendent did not meet the burden of overcoming the Chief Administrator's recommendation for discipline; however, with this ruling, I am not saying that
14 15 16 17 18	is my opinion that the Superintendent did not meet the burden of overcoming the Chief Administrator's recommendation for discipline; however, with this ruling, I am not saying that the Chief Administrator's recommendation is
14 15 16 17 18 19	is my opinion that the Superintendent did not meet the burden of overcoming the Chief Administrator's recommendation for discipline; however, with this ruling, I am not saying that the Chief Administrator's recommendation is correct and that the Superintendent's response is
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is my opinion that the Superintendent did not meet the burden of overcoming the Chief Administrator's recommendation for discipline; however, with this ruling, I am not saying that the Chief Administrator's recommendation is correct and that the Superintendent's response is incorrect, rather I'm saying that a hearing that
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is my opinion that the Superintendent did not meet the burden of overcoming the Chief Administrator's recommendation for discipline; however, with this ruling, I am not saying that the Chief Administrator's recommendation is correct and that the Superintendent's response is incorrect, rather I'm saying that a hearing that provides due process to all parties is necessary

1	PRESIDENT COOPER: Thank you. Ms. Doorley.
2	BOARD MEMBER DOORLEY: Good evening. In
3	Request for Review number 24-01, the Chief
4	Administrator recommended that Police Officer
5	Noah Ball be discharged from the Chicago Police
6	Department for discharging his firearm in
7	violation of CPD policy. The Superintendent
8	disagreed, stating that the preponderance of
9	evidence did not support the finding that Officer
10	Ball discharged his firearm in violation of CPD
11	policy.
12	After considering this matter, it
13	is my opinion that the Superintendent met the
14	burden of proof of overcoming the Chief
15	Administrator's recommendation for discipline.
16	Thank you.
17	PRESIDENT COOPER: Thank you, Ms. Doorley.
18	At this time, I will now call on the
19	Superintendent of Police, Mr. Larry Snelling, to
20	give his report.
21	SUPERINTENDENT SNELLING: Good evening,
22	everyone. And good evening, President Cooper.
23	Thank you. Thank you to all the Board Members
24	and congratulations to our newly appointed Board

1 Members. Welcome aboard. I want to begin by asking everyone 2 3 in the City to keep the family of seven-year-old Jai'mani Amir Rivera in your thoughts. 4 Jai'mani's life was tragically taken in an act of 5 senseless violence on Tuesday. This kind of 6 violence, one that takes the life of an innocent 7 child right in front of his parents, needs to 8 9 come to an end. It is not acceptable, and no 10 family should have to go through what Jai'mani's 11 family is going through right now. 12 Earlier today, a 73-year-old retired 13 Chicago police officer, Larry Neuman, was killed 14 in an act of gun violence. He spent his entire 15 career safeguarding our City and spent his retirement as a reverend, speaking out against 16 17 the violent crimes plaquing our neighborhoods. 18 Please keep his family in your thoughts. 19 Shootings like these leave a lasting 20 imprint of trauma in our communities. 21 At CPD, we're working to prevent and 22 address this violent type of crime, but we're 23 also working to support all those who have been affected by it. Crime victim services are always 24

available to any Chicagoan who needs additional 1 2 support. 3 This summer, we're broadening Each Wednesday this summer, our Crime outreach. 4 5 Victim Service Unit will be providing resources at various Chicago public libraries throughout 6 the City. This is CPD meeting our community 7 members where they are and raising awareness 8 9 around the resources available to them. As we do 10 this, our officers will continue working in all 11 of our neighborhoods to address this violent 12 crime that's harming our communities. Our summer 13 safety plan is rooted in being flexible and 14 adjusting to what we're seeing in real-time. 15 Our detectives are continuing to 16 investigate these crimes and hold these offenders 17 accountable. We have to take violent offenders 18 off the streets so that they can no longer 19 continue to harm and destroy families. We're 20 doing everything in our power to do this, but 21 everyone has a responsibility, from our 22 government partners to our elected officials and 23 community partners. All of you here tonight can 24 help us in this effort, even if it is just

1 letting your fellow residents know about our tip 2 line at CPDtip.com. CPDtip.com. We need people 3 to come forward with information that can bring justice to the families who have been victimized 4 5 by violent crime. 6 I'd like to say that I always 7 appreciate everyone who shows up to these meetings, because it shows that you care about 8 9 what's going on in your communities. It shows 10 that you have a concern about what's happening in 11 our streets right now. And when we look at the 12 City of Chicago and the narrative that's wrapped 13 around our City, we have to change that. We have 14 to change the narrative of outside of our City 15 where people make statements like they don't --16 they would love to come and see Chicago, but they 17 don't want to be shot. That is the narrative 18 that's wrapped around the City of Chicago. And I know seeing you here how much you care about your 19 20 city, because I love it just as much. This is 21 where we all live. This is where we all want to 22 continue to live. But we want to live here 23 safelv. There are too many great people in this 24 City to allow this type of violence to continue

1 without being confronted. So I will tell you this right now, 2 3 I'm making an effort here -- an effort to reach out to all of those who want to reach back to 4 make sure that we can stand together, work 5 together to get to the bottom of this violent 6 crime, and hold violent offenders accountable, 7 hold repeat offenders accountable, and protect 8 9 our children and our elderly in this City. 10 We can no longer continue to allow 11 this type of behavior to destroy families. 12 So when you see the type of trauma 13 that this causes, I understand why everyone here understands that there needs to be a call to 14 15 action. And thank you for being here. 16 PRESIDENT COOPER: Thank you, Superintendent. 17 (AUDIENCE APPLAUSE.) PRESIDENT COOPER: I now like to call on the 18 19 Chief Administrator of COPA, Andrea Kersten, to 20 give her report. 21 CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR KERSTEN: Good evening, 22 and thank you also, President Cooper, for being 23 able to be here before the Board tonight and 24 congratulations and welcome to our two newest

1 Board members.

I thought tonight's presentation 2 3 from the University of Chicago Crime Lab was particularly interesting. Obviously, it talked a 4 lot about the work of the Civilian Office of 5 Police Accountability with respect to officer 6 misconduct and the number of complaints that we 7 receive every year, and the thought that we could 8 9 start looking at some of the things that aren't 10 in the four corners of any given misconduct 11 investigation and try to understand better the 12 dynamics that are going on I think are concepts 13 that are very familiar to our Superintendent and 14 the Chicago Police Department and also the work 15 that we're trying to do at COPA. 16 I often report out on the 17 numbers -- the numbers of complaints that we 18 receive. Many of you are familiar with the fact 19 that we get around 6,000 complaints or so a year 20 against Chicago police officers. But I think 21 it's really critically important to understand, 2.2 first of all, not every complaint -- the point 23 the Superintendent was making earlier, not nearly 24 every complaint becomes an allegation, and not

1	nearly every allegation becomes a sustained
2	allegation; however, I think before I move on,
3	I think it's important to understand only around
4	15 percent or so of COPA's concluded
5	investigations actually involve sustained
6	allegations of misconduct against Chicago Police
7	Department members.
8	So a relatively small portion of
9	the complaints that we receive.
10	Some of them reflect some of the
11	most significant misconduct; however, it's a
12	really small percentage of the overall complaints
13	that we receive.
13 14	that we receive. However, what I think we have not
14	However, what I think we have not
14 15	However, what I think we have not always done a great job of as a City, but this
14 15 16	However, what I think we have not always done a great job of as a City, but this includes the oversight entities as well as the
14 15 16 17	However, what I think we have not always done a great job of as a City, but this includes the oversight entities as well as the Police Department, is trying to understand what's
14 15 16 17 18	However, what I think we have not always done a great job of as a City, but this includes the oversight entities as well as the Police Department, is trying to understand what's going on in those complaints, even the ones that
14 15 16 17 18 19	However, what I think we have not always done a great job of as a City, but this includes the oversight entities as well as the Police Department, is trying to understand what's going on in those complaints, even the ones that don't get into this process. They never make it
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	However, what I think we have not always done a great job of as a City, but this includes the oversight entities as well as the Police Department, is trying to understand what's going on in those complaints, even the ones that don't get into this process. They never make it to a sustained allegation. There's no suspension
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	However, what I think we have not always done a great job of as a City, but this includes the oversight entities as well as the Police Department, is trying to understand what's going on in those complaints, even the ones that don't get into this process. They never make it to a sustained allegation. There's no suspension that we're discussing. There's no possible

1	community and the officers that were involved.
2	And that is something that I think we could all
3	learn a lot of lessons from either looking at it
4	from a data perspective or looking at it more
5	qualitatively from the experiences that officers
б	are having day in and day out when they have to
7	respond to these calls, as well as community
8	members who are day in and day out having to
9	interact with the Chicago Police Department,
10	sometimes in ways that aren't positive or
11	productive.
12	I really, really appreciated the
13	overarching framework of this work that was
14	presented to us being about trauma. I think
15	there's one thing that we can all agree on at
16	this table, and it's many of us that are
17	committed to trying to better increase our public
18	safety is that trauma plays a huge role in all of
19	these incidents on all ends. And so the Police
20	Department is, I'm sure, deeply rooted in trying
21	to address how trauma affects its officers. It's
22	something that COPA pays attention to, even in
23	the way that we conduct our interviews with
24	officers, wanting to make sure that we use

Γ

1	trauma-informed interview techniques, not just
2	for complainants and community members but also
3	for the officers, because it's very clear that
4	having to do this job has a lasting effect. It
5	builds up over time.
6	So I'm excited to learn more about
7	and appreciate the Inspector General's questions
8	about sort of the details behind what these
9	statistics and what this predictive methodology
10	may be able to point us in the direction of.
11	But one thing that made me
12	encouraged was the fact that the success that
13	they were measuring in the Los Angeles Police
14	Department was accompanied by successful
15	compliance with their own Consent Decree.
16	So as we continue to work as a City
17	towards better, fuller, more meaningful
18	compliance with our own Consent Decree, I'm
19	hopeful and optimistic that we, too, will be able
20	to see a lot of improvements that the study was
21	able to point out for L.A. That's what I wanted
22	to speak on tonight. Thank you. And thank you
23	for having that speaker tonight. It was very
24	helpful.

Γ

1	PRESIDENT COOPER: Thank you, Chief
2	Administrator.
3	Next we have so at this point,
4	we will now turn to the public comment section of
5	the agenda. And the first individual on the list
6	who signed up to speak is Niena Feme. Apologize
7	if I pronounced your name incorrectly.
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: She'll be there
9	shortly.
10	PRESIDENT COOPER: Kelly Moore is the next
11	speaker on the list.
12	MS. FEMA: Good evening, Board members and
13	community members in attendance. My name is
14	Niena Feme, and I am a resident of the 7400 Block
15	of Calumet and also the Block Club president. We
16	share the 3rd and 6th District boundaries on 75th
17	Street, and I would like to thank both districts
18	for their past public safety efforts.
19	We are here today to improve public
20	safety for our residents in the area. My concern
21	is about two businesses on 75th Street, Solomon &
22	Solomon Custom Shoe Repair at 340 East 75th
23	Street and Calumet Avenue. They have an outdoor
24	party every year in front of their business. I'm

1	not certain if they have a sidewalk permit;
2	however, there's usually tables on the public
3	walkway, loud music past 11:00 p.m., and traffic
4	on 75th Street is tied up all day. This party is
5	disruptive to our blocks, and it's impossible for
6	residents to rest or travel safely down 75th
7	Street.
8	We've addressed this problem with
9	our local district; however, we fear this
10	activity will visit us again if not monitored by
11	a police district.
12	My second business of concern is
13	Margarita's Pizza at 346 East 75th Street and
14	Calumet Avenue. They do not control the
15	late-night activities of their customers who
16	blast their music while waiting for their orders.
17	At various times, we see car washing and heavy
18	loitering in their parking lot. We think maybe
19	signage could possibly help that situation.
20	Being a good business neighbor
21	means being aware of the fact that their business
22	are located within a residential community of
23	mostly senior citizens and homeowners. We need
24	to experience quiet enjoyment and quality of life

1	is that it for me in their home. We pay
2	taxes for this consideration.
3	Along with these businesses, the
4	liquor establishments on 75th Street should be
5	encouraged to monitor their clientele for
6	loitering, after hours, and focus on being good
7	business neighbors within our community.
8	I want to thank you all for letting
9	me speak. Thank you.
10	PRESIDENT COOPER: Well, thank you for your
11	comment. I'm sure if you stick around after the
12	meeting, that a member of the law enforcement
13	will be happy to speak with you about your
14	concerns.
15	Next person I would like to call is
16	Ms. Kelly Moore.
17	MS. MOORE: Going to pass.
18	PRESIDENT COOPER: Ms. Cece Edwards.
19	MS. EDWARDS: That's me. Before I start, I
20	want to make sure that you get these documents.
21	Okay? I'm going to start here, because the
22	Superintendent will know what this is. And then
23	we can pass it. And then I will start there with
24	the photos. And these are the pictures, and

1 there's enough for everybody. So good evening, Board Members. 2 My 3 name is Cece Edwards, and I'm a life-long resident of Park Manor, Chatham, and Greater 4 5 Grand Crossing. The Grand Crossing community worked over 14 months with BACP and our police 6 district, 3rd and 6th, to finalize four 7 corrective action plan agreement for bars on 8 9 75th, from State to Cottage Grove. We want to 10 thank our police district commanders for 11 assisting us to regain public safety in this 12 area. 13 We are also here today because our 14 community requests continued support from you, 15 Superintendent, to enforce public safety and

issue violation citations as warranted in the 16 17 area. We also want officers to get out of their vehicles and make certain that citizens are not 18 19 retaliated against for making calls for public 20 safety. We also request our 3rd and 6th 21 Districts to increase their numbers maybe with 22 new recruits because response time to 911 calls 23 is, again, an issue. Violators also include 24 illegal tow trucks without license or tags. So

1 they're back.

2	Summer is here, as been mentioned
3	earlier. We also strongly support the closings
4	of Happy Liquors located at 7901 South Cottage
5	Grove. I did pass out the agreement so you can
6	see how long those agreements go back to 2011.
7	And we noticed that the BACP violations are
8	severe, and they warrant permanent closure.
9	We stand behind Commander Tate on
10	this issue. Please see the corrective action
11	plans that you have now. They date back to 2011.
12	And they did not comply with anything in there.
13	Okay? Very little. Very little.
14	Finally, please take a look at the
15	photos of our 3rd and 6th District officers. At
16	this point we have some photos but I you have
17	other photos that are showing you the activity
18	that's going on in the cul-de-sacs and on 75th
19	Street near Langley and up to Cottage Grove and
20	down all the way down to King Drive. So we do
21	need consistent enforcement in that area.
22	And, finally, we want to say it's
23	been great police work working together with the
24	community. And we do support our officers. We

1 ask, again, for consistent enforcement between 2 King Drive and Cottage Grove. The enforcement we 3 feel will protect our public safety for our seniors, homeowners, and residents during this 4 5 summer and during the D & C convention. We are very concerned about how we're going to remain 6 7 protected. Thank you so much. PRESIDENT COOPER: Thank you, Ms. Edwards. 8 9 MS. EDWARDS: If you have any questions about 10 the photographs, they're located on 75th and 11 Langley. That's where those photos come from. 12 And the agreement is Happy Liquors and BACP 13 agreement. The attorneys in the room can 14 understand that that's a firm agreement with the 15 City, and it's grandfathered, so whoever buys the 16 location has to remain with those conditions. 17 They have to fulfill those. 18 PRESIDENT COOPER: Great. Thank you, Ms. 19 Edwards. 20 The next speaker I'd like to call 21 is Ms. Marlin Howell. 22 MS. HOWELL: Good evening. And thank you for 23 letting me present my issue to the Board. Μv 24 name is Marlin Howell. I live in the 6th

1 District, beat 624, and I'm a member of the 7500 Eberhart Block Club. And I'm here today to ask 2 3 what are my rights as a homeowner in preventing the people that live across the street from me 4 5 from burning down my home? For 30 years I've asked them to not direct their aerial firework 6 displays at my two-flat building that has a flat 7 roof. I call the police yearly. I email the 8 Office of Community Policing, my alderman. 9 Last 10 year I emailed the mayor and the governor but to 11 no avail. This display of their fireworks they 12 stage in the middle of the street on Eberhart, 13 and they align the fireworks directly towards my 14 building where when the sparks are emitted, they 15 fall on my building. The place of their fireworks are in the middle of the street, and 16 17 they're basically projecting at my building. But I also have a two-flat on the south side of me 18 and two two-flats with flat roofs on the north 19 20 side of me, which, too, are impacted.

The people that do these fireworks yearly do not place any of the fireworks where any of the sparks are emitted on their property. But to me, this is an indication that they are

1 going to protect theirs but not concerned about 2 others. 3 With the help of family and friends, we have been able to get email 4 5 communications going with Andrew McGill, which is the Chicago fire prevention inspector, Commander 6 William B. Epps from the Fire Prevention Bureau, 7 and Chatty Green, who have indicated to us that 8 9 on the local level, they have no enforcement, 10 unless the fireworks used are commercial grade, 11 and there's substantial proof that the fireworks 12 they are using are commercial grade. 13 We are very appreciative that they 14 did take the time and concern to listen to our 15 complaint and suggest that the height of the firework display needs to be viewed before they 16 17 can have any type of enforcement. 18 So this year, we are planning to 19 basically take videos and provide those videos 20 for the Fire Department and the Chicago Police. 21 I'm hopeful while we are gathering 22 this information this year -- this will be the 23 fourth year -- that I am hopeful they don't 24 destroy my building. We plan to have that video

1 and maybe provide it to the Chicago Police and to 2 the Fire Department so we can get some type of 3 relief. Placing their fireworks I think on 4 the City streets of Eberhart should merit some 5 type of police attention, but in the years past 6 7 nothing has happened. I have exhausted every avenue which constantly directs me back to the 8 9 Chicago Police. What can we do with this point? 10 We are going again another year in hopes that 11 their fun of displaying fireworks do not destroy 12 our homes. Thank you very much. 13 PRESIDENT COOPER: Thank you, Ms. Howell. We 14 really appreciate your comment. If you could stick around after the meeting, I am sure 15 somebody will be happy to talk with you to help 16 17 address this issue. 18 The next person I would like to 19 call is Marquetta Williams. 20 Good evening, everyone. MS. WILLIAMS: My 21 name is Marquetta Blake. I'm just going to be 22 before you briefly in regards to parking issues 23 that we endure, especially during the warmer 24 months on 76th and 75th and Langley. Many of you

1 have viewed the photos, and you see tons of cars. 2 They're obstructing the sidewalk. They take over 3 the alleys, all up and down the block. We come home, our children work nights or later shifts, 4 5 they have to circle around for hours or illegally park in a garage so they can come home and come 6 in the house. 7 But today I just want to bring 8 9 light to what seems to be an increasingly 10 overlooked issue of what might seem to be a small 11 caveat to the amount of violence our community 12 faces on a daily basis. The things that me and 13 my neighbors endure night after night, especially Thursday through Sunday, is a brewing pot of 14 15 destruction awaiting to happen when things as simple as enforcing standard safety parking laws 16 17 get swept underneath the rug. We contact the 18 police night after night for no one to come to 19 assist us. 20 Residents of 76th and Langley have 21 reported frequent issues including, but not 22 limited to, illegal parking in the alleys,

23 obstructing walkways, vehicles parked illegally

24 near fire hydrants posing safety hazards. We

1	have a lot of elders on the block, and we have a
2	cul-de-sac, so if the Fire Department or
3	emergency EMS have to come down the block, all of
4	the cars are impacted in the cul-de-sac, and they
5	can't gain access to that.
6	Effective enforcement of permit
7	parking and illegal sidewalk parking is essential
8	for maintaining safety and accessibility in our
9	cul-de-sac amongst 76th and 75th and Langley.
10	By implementing a comprehensive
11	enforcement plan that includes dedicated
12	resources, technology, strict penalty, and
13	community engagement, and ongoing evaluations on
14	ways we can work together with effectively
15	addressing this issue, we can significantly
16	reduce parking violations and improve the quality
17	of life for our residents and the safety, and, in
18	addition, not set up a perfect environment for
19	something to break out or crime to happen. And
20	those are my comments.
21	PRESIDENT COOPER: Thank you, Marquetta.
22	Again, if you can stay after the meeting, I am
23	sure somebody will be happy to speak with you.
24	MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

PRESIDENT COOPER: The next speaker I'd like
 to call is Mr. Matt Brandon.

3 I'd first like to welcome the MR. BRANDON: two new members. Welcome to the Board. And to 4 Kersten and Inspector General, President, Vice 5 President. Welcome back, Super. Where you been? 6 To the Board. I come here tonight -- I was a 7 little disappointed in the report that was given 8 by the UIC. I thought that they missed some key 9 10 -- some key predictive markers that could have 11 helped that report, specifically when he started 12 out and talked about -- and I was encouraged when 13 he talked about the suicide rate comparative, and 14 I thought that that's where that report would go, 15 how we can help the police officers and address suicide rate. So I've asked him for some 16 17 information. I want to see his report, and I will be better able to comment on it next time. 18 19 But great question, Supe. I was thinking the 20 same things you were asking. 21 I've got a little bit of a

22 complaint here. And this goes to the issue of 23 community and police working together. And half 24 of this is my problem, half of this is the police

officer's problem. So I take my share of the 1 I went to a restaurant in a district and 2 blame. 3 parked on the street, walked in, placed my order. Police officer pulled up in front of me on the 4 wrong side of the street, walked in, placed an 5 order, got the order, walked out, went in her car 6 and sat down. I saw her get a ticket book out. 7 She got -- walked around the back of my car, 8 9 looked at my plate, started writing out a ticket. 10 I walked outside, "Officer, are you writing me a ticket?" She said, "Yeah. You're too close to 11 12 the bus stop." I said, "The bus stop is clearly 13 identified by two signs. One that says where the 14 bus stops begins and one says where it is." And 15 she said, "Well, you are getting a ticket." I said, "Okay." She said, "And you should be lucky 16 17 because I was going to write you for no front 18 plate." She was absolutely right. I got no 19 complaint about that. So I told her, "Thank you 20 very much." I took the ticket, and I went to go back in and she said, "Hey," she said, "Move your 21 22 car." I said, "I just want to get my food like 23 you got your food. You already gave me a ticket. 24 Why can't I get my food?" She said, "No. If you

1 don't move your car, I'm going to write you
2 another ticket." So I said, "You go right ahead
3 and write the ticket."

Now, I talked to the lieutenant, 4 and she said, Did you tell them you are a former 5 police officer? Did you tell them -- no. I 6 wanted to see how she treated her community and 7 what she did. She didn't even see the irony in 8 9 her pulling up on the wrong side of the street at 10 a bus stop to get her food and then telling me 11 you're getting a ticket because I want to get my 12 food.

13 So those are the little things that bring mistrust from the community to the police. 14 15 You know I support the police 100 percent. I've 16 already talked to the commander. We're going to 17 sit down, and I'm going to talk to the officer. 18 I'm just going to tell them my disappointment. 19 I'm going to pay for the front plate, but I am 20 going to contest the bus stop. Thank you. 21 PRESIDENT COOPER: Thank you, Mr. Brandon. 2.2 The next speaker I'd like to call is Ms. June 23 Norfleet.

24

MS. NORFLEET: Every time I come to these

Γ

1	Police Board meetings, my intention is always to
2	say nothing, but you always do something, throw
3	something in the works that make me change my
4	mind.
5	The presentation by the University
6	of Chicago is what prompted me to say something.
7	I'm wondering, and it's just a question, and
8	hopefully it's Professor Ludwig he left.
9	Okay.
10	PRESIDENT COOPER: We will be happy to give
11	you his information.
12	MS. NORFLEET: Very good. I'm wondering
13	whether or not the information in the model that
14	he's creating can be a predictive model that the
15	Police Department might be able to use even in
16	their hiring practice, or is this just basically
17	post exposure to police life where these various
18	elements are then assessed and become predictors?
19	And since I'm here, and I've spent
20	the whole day on 71st and Jeffrey, 71st and
21	Merrill, 71st and whatever else is on 71st, as
22	you know, it is a very challenging area. We've
23	had quite a few shootings and several fatalities
24	within the last I don't think it's been two

1	weeks. Has it been two weeks? I'm not sure.
2	And as you look at all of those massive
3	multi-unit apartment units over there, and you
4	all know the history of what has transformed that
5	once gem of Chicago, that area that was once
6	certainly a brilliant, resplendent part of our
7	City into something that is not recognizable
8	really for human habitation almost and
9	consumption.
10	So my question is, can we get a
11	substation maybe in that area? Because just
12	having that area as a part of the 3rd and another
13	I'm not sure. I gather it's the 4th District.
14	I'm not sure, Superintendent Snelling, what the
15	boundaries are over there, but they need
16	additional help. And thank you very much.
17	Again, welcome. You got your work cut out for
18	you.
19	PRESIDENT COOPER: Thank you, Ms. Norfleet.
20	The next speaker, and five more
21	speakers left, is Mr. Michael Rindsey.
22	MR. LINDSEY: Michael Lindsey.
23	PRESIDENT COOPER: Sorry about that.
24	MR. LINDSEY: That's fine. I stand before

1	you as a park supervisor of Hamilton Park
2	Cultural Center located in Englewood. And on May
3	25th, we had an Englewood safe summer kickoff
4	event that was roughly 400 in attendance. We had
5	food, T-shirts, games, we had kids and teens out
6	there. It was a great event to promote peace,
7	love, and humanity within the community.
8	And, Superintendent, I want to
9	thank you for coming by. The kids, the youth,
10	the teens, it was great to see you there. They
11	was admired by your attire and also your
12	presence, so thank you for coming out. I wanted
13	to make sure I get that on the record.
14	Also, I want us not to forget that
15	the people that are inside of those communities,
16	the kids, the youth, the teens, the young adults,
17	adults are also victims themselves of individuals
18	who perpetrate those crimes upon those people
19	inside of those communities.
20	This evening I was in the park. I
21	was leaving to come here, and, imagine, it's nice
22	and hot outside and warm. It was packed in the
23	park. It was nobody, no violence, no fighting,
24	no gangs, no anything that would bring shame in

Г

1	the community. But yet it takes two people of a
2	car ride to come by and shoot up the whole park,
3	so then anyone look like they are criminals. And
4	that's not the case.
5	So I wanted to make sure that you
6	guys remember the people also in those
7	communities are also victims, and they also need
8	our help, our prayers. Thank you.
9	(AUDIENCE APPLAUSE.)
10	PRESIDENT COOPER: Pastor Doyle.
11	PASTOR DOYLE: Good evening, Board and
12	individuals in the audience. I want to add to
13	what Mr. Lindsey said and provide context on what
14	I just handed the Superintendent. So role model.
15	The black men who grew up with Superintendent
16	Snelling is what I took away from that day who
17	couldn't believe that the Larry who they grew up
18	with because I was listening that day is
19	now leading the Police Department. So you have a
20	great opportunity, and I want to direct my
21	attention, my comments to both of you as
22	attorneys. Everything that Mr. Lindsey just
23	said, and we're now in the thick of the summer.
24	If you remember my comments from last Police

1	Board meeting, they haven't changed. The problem
2	is us, meaning African Americans, because that
3	shows we're the ones pulling the triggers, and
4	also the solution is us.
5	So it is my hope that we remember,
6	again, the mothers of those who have been killed
7	who were not doing anything but being human.
8	Today's the anniversary and I
9	need to say this. Today is the third-year
10	anniversary of the youngest child of Charles and
11	Lurie, L-U-R-I-E, Eason. Their youngest son was
12	killed three years ago today in the lower end of
13	79th Street for simply asking, "Do you play
14	basketball?" Google it, because, again, the pain
15	doesn't go away for mothers who send their
16	children out to play and their children don't
17	come home.
18	So it is my sincerest hope we start
19	having authentic conversation about why that
20	happens, because at the end of the day, that is
21	the deepest of pain when you don't know who to
22	blame. Thank you very much.
23	PRESIDENT COOPER: Thank you, Pastor Doyle.
24	The next speaker is Mr. Terrance

Link.

1

MR. LINK: Good evening. I'm from the 37th 2 3 Ward, the 15th District. I'm here asking for some assistance, some help. On May the 2nd, they 4 5 opened up a drug spot on the corner of 5147 West They moved from there to 1141 North Division. 6 Laramie, which is four doors from my house. They 7 put a dining room table on the sidewalk. Kids 8 9 can't ride. My granddaughter was riding her 10 bike. She can't get past. I go down and ask the 11 quys to move. We get into a confrontation. She 12 asked me, "Papa, let me just ride in the alley so 13 they don't shoot you." 14 As of today, I made 97 calls to 911 15 and to the 15th District. I spoke with 16 lieutenant -- I'm sorry, a sergeant and a 17 lieutenant. I've been asked to stop calling and 18 tell my neighbors to call. I can't worry about 19 my neighbors. I'm worried about me and my 20 granddaughter. I need some help before it 21 escalates to something it shouldn't. Thank you. 22 SUPERINTENDENT SNELLING: Stick around. 23 MR. LINK: Yes, sir. 24 PRESIDENT COOPER: Thank you, Mr. Link.

Γ

-	
1	Next speaker is Mr. Bobby Kennedy.
2	MR. KENNEDY: I got some handouts here. I'll
3	let this young lady who said she will help me.
4	If you can start with Mr Officer Snelling.
5	And I got these. I mailed this to you, and it
6	came back. USPS, no address. I think you're 2
7	LaSalle Street.
8	PRESIDENT COOPER: 209.
9	MR. KENNEY: I've been here. The rainbow car
10	led me to an affinity program, which I looked
11	into, and I talked to people. I'm going to read
12	this and somebody tell me where I'm wrong
13	afterwards. Okay. And I have nothing against
14	the affinity program. I think it's pretty good.
15	All right. Subject: Chicago affinity policing
16	program, CPD special order S02-03. The history I
17	outline for this program comes directly from
18	talking to people. The rainbow car was used by
19	Mayor Rahm Emanuel, was put in service in 2016
20	after the pride parade. Okay. In 2020, the
21	affinity program was started and made part of the
22	community policing. The rainbow car was rolled
23	out was rolled into this program. That's what
24	I'm assuming. Any group that has been

1 disenfranchised -- because whenever I talk to 2 people, that's the buzzword I've been hearing --3 disenfranchised by CPD was entitled to get an affinity police care. They could identify with 4 their community. The cars have to be approved by 5 CPD affinity program first. As of June 24th, 6 only one car is approved, the rainbow car, the 7 pride car. 8 9 A Chinese car was in the works to 10 be approved but never made it out of review but 11 was also never rejected. Where can I get answers 12 on this car that was not approved? 13 There are two rainbow cars which 14 are currently assigned to CPD headquarters and 15 work all over the City. The original parade car is assigned to the 19th District. Now we have 16 17 another one on the street. Not sure where it's 18 assigned to. Based on any group that had been 19 disfranchised by CPD was entitled to get an 20 affinity police car. Should you add the Chinese, 21 the black and Hispanic communities, and, of 22 course, the pride car groups disenfranchised by 23 CPD, you will have a minimum of five CPD cars. 24 Okay. My sources tell me they are real police

1	cars and can pull a car over, give a ticket, and
2	any other normal police engagement.
3	My suggestions because everybody
4	knows I hate seeing the rainbow car with the CPD
5	or that shield on the side. My suggestion two
6	suggestions. Should only be one affinity police
7	card designed for the CPD that would look very
8	similar to the regular white car with blue
9	decals. Affinity cars would have would be
10	blue with white decals. So to the normal citizen
11	it is a police car. CPD would know the
12	difference between the two. All right.
13	Number two. The defund the police
14	crowd on separate programs run by civilians, that
15	sounds very much like the affinity program except
16	people working in the program are police
17	officers. I say roll it into a civilian program
18	run by CPD of CPD headquarters, keeping the
19	same people in place should they wish to stay on
20	as peace officers or whatever would work to allow
21	concealed carry for self protection. They would
22	be able to keep their pensions in place as City
23	employees.
0.4	

24

Last, if you keep it this way --

1	last, keep the same program, allowing all
2	communities that were disfranchised by CPD and
3	allow all communities that are entitled to get an
4	affinity police car that will identify their
5	community. This will add lots of confusion as to
6	who are the police. And I was asking aldermen
7	are aware of this program. And the people I talk
8	to still think that the rainbow car is not a
9	police car. Other people say, I wouldn't pull
10	over for that damn car.
11	So I'm just saying if you are going
12	to put all these cars out there, it is going to
13	create confusion. Can you help me on anything
14	here anything I've been wrong on?
15	PRESIDENT COOPER: We can talk afterwards.
16	Thank you, Mr. Kennedy.
17	MR. KENNEDY: The Chinese police car, was it
18	still in the affinity program?
19	PRESIDENT COOPER: Thank you, Mr. Kennedy.
20	The last speaker on the list is Mr. Robert More,
21	who I'm told is not here.
22	So it appears all members of the
23	public who have signed up to speak have been
24	called. Is there a motion to adjourn today's

1	meeting?
2	VICE PRESIDENT WOLFF: So moved. Paula
3	Wolff.
4	BOARD MEMBER CUSACK: Second, Mareilé Cusack.
5	PRESIDENT COOPER: All in favor, raise your
6	hand and say aye.
7	(CHORUS OF AYES.)
8	PRESIDENT COOPER: Any opposed?
9	(NO RESPONSE.)
10	PRESIDENT COOPER: The motion passes and the
11	meeting is adjourned.
12	(WHEREUPON, the meeting was
13	adjourned at 8:50 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	STATE OF ILLINOIS )		
2	) SS: COUNTY OF C O O K )		
3			
4	MAUREEN A. WOODMAN, C.S.R., being first		
5	duly sworn, says that she is a court reporter		
6	doing business in the City of Chicago; that she		
7	reported in shorthand the proceedings had at the		
8	meeting of said cause; that the foregoing is a		
9	true and correct transcript of her shorthand		
10	notes, so taken as aforesaid, and contains all		
11	the proceedings of said meeting.		
12			
13	Main Frehm		
14			
15	MAUREEN A. WOODMAN,CSR		
16	License No. 084.002740		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
	June 2	0, 2024	
-----------------------------	--------------------	---------------------	---------------------------------------
	18th	68:6	
(	26:21	25th	5
(11)	1991	63:3	5147
(11) 27:2	20:14,18,24	27	<b>5147</b> 66:5
	19th	2:9	
(21)	68:16	2nd	542
27:3		66:4	34:24
(4)	2		6
27:2		3	O
	2		6,000
1	11:12 67:6	30	43:19
1	2(c)(1)	53:5	6-fold
11:9 16:18	27:2	3026	11:13
100	2011	30:10	60
60:15	51:6,11	3027	7:4
	2016	28:23	
<b>11</b> 32:15	67:19	340	<b>624</b> 53:1
	2017	47:22	
1141	9:4		6th
66:6	2020	<b>346</b> 48:13	47:16 50:7, 20 51:15
11:00	67:20		52:24
48:3		35th	52.21
12	<b>209</b> 67:8	26:22	7
8:12 11:10		37th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12th	20th	66:2	7
3:12	2:3,6	3rd	30:8 31:19
13	23	4:14 47:16	71st
32:13	28:23 30:10	50:7,20	61:20,21
14	24	51:15 62:12	73-year-old
50:6	32:13,15		39:12
15	24-01	4	
32:14 44:4	38:3	400	<b>7400</b> 47:14
	24-02	63:4	
<b>15th</b> 35:8 66:3,15	37:3	41st	7500
	24-03	4:1	53:1
16th	36:11		75th
24:22	24-04	<b>45th</b> 4:1	47:16,21,22
18	35:23		48:4,6,13 49:4 50:9
26:4,7		4th	51:18 52:10
	24th	62:13	55:24 57:9

	oune z	0, 2024	
76th	accomplish	2:18 57:18	aerial
55:24 56:20	13:8	additional	53:6
57:9	account	40:1 62:16	Affairs
7901	18:20 22:11		3:3
51:4		address	
<b>FOL</b> 1:	Accountability	39:22 40:11	affected
<b>79th</b>	2:23 35:15	45:21 55:17	39:24
65:13	43:6	58:15 67:6	affects
7:30	accountable	addressed	45:21
26:21	40:17 42:7,8	48:8	affinity
	accounting	addressing	67:10,14,15
9	11:22 22:6	7:7 57:15	21 68:4,6,2
			69:6,9,15
90	accounts	adequate 7:6	70:4,18
36:2,15	7:16	/.0	- <i>EE</i>
911	accrual	adjourn	affirm 32:12
50:22 66:14	16:4,24	70:24	32.12
	accumulating	adjusting	African
97	5:20	40:14	65:2
66:14		_	afterwards
	accused	administrative	67:13 70:15
A	28:3	9:6,21 13:19	a man da
	across	14:21	<b>agenda</b> 3:9 4:22
AA	53:4	Administrator	12:8 24:20
32:13,15	act	2:22 35:14,	27:21 28:13
able	25:13 26:2	24 36:14	32:1 34:23
17:4 42:23	27:3,9 28:20	37:4 38:4	35:13 47:5
46:10,19,21	32:9 39:5,14	42:19,21	55,15 17,5
54:4 58:18		47:2	ago
61:15 69:22	action	Administrator'	26:7 65:12
aboard	18:14 28:22 32:11 37:24	s	agree
39:1	42:15 50:8	36:10,22	35:16 36:4,
	51:10	37:16,18	17 45:15
absolutely		38:15	agreed
59:18	activities	admired	25:17 29:6
acceptable	48:15	63:11	30:16
39:9	activity		
200055	9:24 48:10	adopt	agreement
access 33:23 57:5	51:17	34:9	29:9 30:20
	add	adults	50:8 51:5
accessibility	64:12 68:20	63:16,17	52:12,13,14
57:8	70:5	advance	agreements
accompanied		8:20 25:24	51:6
46:14	addition	0.20 23.24	

U.S. Legal Support | www.uslegalsupport.com

#### ahead

17:19 60:2

alderman 53:9

aldermen 70:6

Alerts 35:5

**align** 53:13

**allegation** 36:19 43:24 44:1,2,20

**allegations** 21:21 36:6 44:6

**alley** 66:12

**alleys** 56:3,22

**allow** 41:24 42:10 69:20 70:3

**allowed** 28:4

allowing 70:1

amazed

Americans 65:2

**Amir** 39:4

amount 20:8 56:11

amounts 6:8

analysis

19:22 analytics

19:15 Andre

2:17 Andrea

2:23 42:19

**Andrew** 54:5

Angeles 13:22 46:13

anniversary 65:8,10

announced 33:20

announcements 35:12,13 37:1

annual 6:6

answers 68:11

**anyone** 14:15 64:3

apartment 62:3

Apologize 47:6

**app** 2:11

appeal 28:8 32:8,15

appeals 32:2,11,13 33:16

**appears** 70:22 **APPLAUD** 24:18

**APPLAUSE** 42:17 64:9

applicants 32:2

applies 32:4

appointed 38:24

appointments 3:13

**appreciate** 19:14 24:17 41:7 46:7 55:14

appreciated 45:12

appreciative 54:13

appropriate 37:24

approve 24:21 26:5 30:19

**approved** 3:13 68:5,7, 10,12

arbitration 27:23

arbitrator 28:5

area
 47:20 50:12,
 17 51:21
 61:22 62:5,
 11,12
around

40:9 41:13,

18 43:19 44:3 49:11 55:15 56:5 59:8 66:22

arrest 9:23 12:18, 19 20:3

arrested 24:5

artifact 13:18

**asked** 53:6 58:16 66:12,17

**asking** 39:2 58:20 65:13 66:3 70:6

aspect 21:14

aspirational 12:24

assessed 61:18

**assigned** 21:16,17 22:7 68:14, 16,18

**assist** 56:19

assistance 66:4

assisting 50:11

assuming 67:24

attempts 24:2

attendance

#### June 20, 2024 47:13 63:4 axis 4:3 best 10:16,19 16:1,7 attending bars 2:13 50:8 better aye 25:4 26:13 15:7 43:11 attention Based 45:17 46:17 27:15 29:17, 7:23 45:22 68:18 19,21,23 58:18 55:6 64:21 basic 30:1,3 31:4, Betty attire 9:14 6,8,10,12,14 4:23 63:11 32:23 33:1, basically biq 3,5,7,9 53:17 54:19 attorney 13:3,13,16 34:17 4:2,16 5:15 61:16 8:23 big-picture AYES basis 8:21 25:5 26:14 56:12 attorneys 27:16 34:18 52:13 64:22 bike basketball 66:10 audience 65:14 в 24:18 42:17 bit beat 64:9,12 3:23 12:24 53:1 back 21:4 58:21 authentic 16:11 42:4 begin 65:19 black 51:1,6,11 39:2 64:15 68:21 55:8 58:6 authorized begins 59:8,21 67:6 27:2,8 28:19 Blake 59:14 32:9 55:21 background behavior 32:7 avail blame 9:9 14:19 53:11 59:2 65:22 backwards 22:2 23:6,7 10:10 24:10 42:11 available blast 25:21 40:1,9 48:16 BACP behavioral 50:6 51:7 10:11 15:22 avenue block 52:12 16:2 22:16 26:22 47:23 33:13,14 48:14 55:8 36:12,20 bad behind 47:14,15 7:8,9 17:5 average 9:15 17:9 53:2 56:3 46:8 51:9 7:5 11:12, Badillo 57:1,3 14,20 2:15 3:13, beings blocks 15,17,18 4:9 5:21 awaiting 48:5 29:18,19 56:15 believe 30:7 31:5,6, blue aware 18:9,10 21:6 18 32:24 13:22 25:23 28:11 48:21 64:17 33:1,13 69:8,10 70:7 below-average Ball Board awareness 11:21 38:5,10 2:3,5,13,18 40:8 Bergman 3:6,10,14, bankruptcy 4:24 17,19,20

Police Board Public Meeting

	June 2	0, 2024	
4:11,13 19:13 21:11	47:16 62:15 <b>boy</b>	3:2 54:7 burning	<b>CAN-TV.ORG</b> 2:10
25:1,12,16,	14:9	53:5	capita
21 26:10,20,			20:2,15
23 27:12	Brandon	bus	
28:6,14,19	58:2,3 60:21	59:12,14	Caproni
29:12,15,19,	break	60:10,20	3:7
21,23 30:1,	57:19	business	car
3,6,24 31:3,	breakdown	47:24 48:12,	48:17 59:6,
6,8,10,12,	44:23	20,21 49:7	8,22 60:1
14,17 32:8,		businesses	64:2 67:9,
9,18,21	brewing	47:21 49:3	18,22 68:7,
33:1,3,5,7,	56:14		8,9,12,15,
9,12,22	bridge	buys	20,22 69:1,
34:3,14 35:3,6,19,21	4:17	52:15	4,8,11 70:4,
36:12,20,24	brief	buzzword	8,9,10,17
37:2 38:2,	28:10	68:2	card
23,24 42:23			69:7
43:1 47:12	briefly	С	care
50:2 52:23	55:22		41:8,19 68:4
58:4,7 61:1	brilliant	call	
64:11 65:1	62:6	6:15 17:17	career
Deendle	bring	29:14 31:2	39:15
Board's 2:6 24:21	41:3 56:8	32:20 38:18	carry
25:24 28:12	60:14 63:24	42:14,18	69:21
31:24 33:18	broadcast	49:15 52:20	cars
34:11 35:5,	2:9	53:8 55:19	56:1 57:4
22		58:2 60:22	68:5,13,23
	broadening	66:18	69:1,9 70:12
Bobby	40:3	called	
67:1	building	70:24	<b>case</b> 23:9 28:23
bodies	22:14 53:7,	calling	29:6 30:10,
26:3	14,15,17	2:6 66:17	17 64:4
body	54:24		
5:20	builds	<b>calls</b> 20:1 45:7	Cases
haalt	46:5	50:19,22	15:9,10,12
<b>book</b> 25:23 59:7		66:14	28:4,5,14,21 31:22 35:12
23.23 37.1	built 9:7		31.22 35.12
born	9.1	Calumet	caveat
3:24 4:6	burden	47:15,23	56:11
bottom	36:9,21	48:14	Cece
42:6	37:15 38:14	CAN-TV	49:18 50:3
boundaries	Bureau	2:8,10	
	1		·

	oune z	0, 2024	
Center 63:2 certain 16:2 48:1 50:18 certainly 18:1 20:10 62:6 challenge 15:5,6 challenging 61:22	28:2,17 29:2 30:13 32:3,5 35:1 37:6 38:5 39:13 40:6 41:12, 16,18 43:3, 14,20 44:6 45:9 54:6,20 55:1,9 61:6 62:5 67:15 Chicago.gov/ police 35:6 Chicagoan	<pre>citations 50:16 cities 14:7 20:18 21:5,8 citizen 12:16 69:10 citizens 7:10 48:23 50:18 city 2:19 3:12 6:2,24 9:5,</pre>	<pre>clearly 7:6,8,9 24:9 59:12 clever 18:5 clientele 49:5 clock 20:13 close 27:7 59:11 closed 24:22 25:15</pre>
<pre>change    41:13,14    61:3 changed    65:1 changing</pre>	Chicagoan 40:1 Chief 2:21 3:2,4 35:14,24 36:9,14,22 37:4,15,18	16 21:16 28:2 39:3,15 40:7 41:12, 13,14,18,20, 24 42:9 44:15 46:16 52:15 55:5	24:22 25:15, 19 26:4,6 27:1 28:20 32:10 <b>closed-meeting</b> 25:22
7:21 Channel 2:9 charges 28:15,24 29:5 30:11, 16	38:3,14 42:19,21 47:1 child 39:8 65:10 children 42:9 56:4	62:7 68:15 69:22 City's 9:21 Civilian 2:22 35:15	<pre>closings 51:3 closure 51:8 Club 47:15 53:2 colleagues</pre>
Charles 65:10 Chatham 50:4 Chatty	65:16 Chinese 68:9,20 70:17 chooses	43:5 69:17 <b>civilians</b> 69:14 <b>clarify</b> 22:13	5:11 collectively 24:12 combination 14:10
54:8 Chicago 3:2,23 4:7 5:1,2,6,13, 14,17 6:3,24 7:3,9,10 8:13,22 9:5, 9 13:2,8 14:9 20:12, 15 22:15	19:2 CHORUS 25:5 26:14 27:16 34:18 Christmas 13:1 circle 56:5	<pre>classification   22:8 classified   36:6 Claudia   2:15 3:13,18 clear   8:2 46:3</pre>	<pre>come     39:9 41:3,16     52:11 56:3,     6,18 57:3     58:7 60:24     63:21 64:2     65:17 comes     6:15 67:17</pre>

Police Board Public Meeting June 20, 2024 58:23 60:7, concealed 14 63:7 64:1 69:21 67:22 68:5 concepts 70:5 43:12 comparative concern 19:19 58:13 compared 20:12 concerned 52:6 54:1 comparing 23:17,19 concerns

comparison 19:23

commander

60:16

50:10

comment

58:18

comments

commanders

51:9 54:6

33:21 34:4,8

35:11 47:4

3:16 34:4

64:21,24

54:10,12

commercial

committed

45:17

23:22

21:5

44:24

54:5

commonality

communication

communications

39:20 40:12

41:9 63:15,

68:21 70:2,3

6:4,9,11 8:7

13:10 14:2,5

17:5 40:7,23

45:1,7 46:2

47:13 48:22

51:24 53:9

56:11 57:13

49:7 50:5,14

communities

19 64:7

community

4:18 5:16

common

35:7,8 57:20

49:11 55:14

comparisons 20:9

complainants 46:2

complaint 15:16,18 16:21 21:22 43:22,24 44:22 54:15 58:22 59:19

complaints 7:17 9:18,22 14:23,24 15:15,20 16:4,9,13,15 17:1 21:18, 20,24 22:2, 22,23 43:7, 17,19 44:9, 12,18

compliance 46:15,18

comply 51:12

compounding 6:20 comprehensive

57:10

41:10 47:20 48:12 54:14

49:14

concluded 44:4

conditions 52:16

conduct 9:9 14:20 28:18 29:3 30:14 37:23 45:23

confidence 14:6

confidential 25:18 confidentialit

У 25:16

confrontation 66:11

confronted 42:1

confusion 70:5,13

congratulation S 38:24 42:24

consent

5:13 7:2  $12:22 \ 13:2,$  4,12,24 34:5 35:1 46:15, 18

consequences 6:23 7:14 17:7

consideration 22:4 49:2

considered 25:14

considering 36:7 37:13 38:12

consistent 51:21 52:1

constantly 55:8

consumer 4:2

consumption 62:9

contact 56:17

contest 60:20

context 64:13

continue 40:10,19 41:22,24 42:10 46:16

continued 50:14

continues 25:17

continuing 40:15

control 48:14

	1	1	
convention	50:8 51:10	crimes	Custom
52:5	correlated	39:17 40:16	47:22
conversation	12:13	63:18	customers
65:19		criminal	48:15
	Cottage	21:1	
Cooper	50:9 51:4,19		cut
2:1,4 4:9,20	52:2	criminals	62:17
14:13 23:11	Council	64:3	
24:15,19	3:12	critically	D
25:3,6,8	counsel	43:21	
26:12,15,17	3:5 17:14		daily
27:14,17,19	3.5 1/.14	cross-city 20:9	56:12
29:14,18,20,	country	20.9	dais
22,24 30:2,4	14:8	Crossing	14:15
31:2,5,7,9,	course	50:5	11.12
11,13,15	6:19 68:22	crowd	Dalcason
32:20,24		69:14	36:2,13
33:2,4,6,8,	covering	09.14	damn
10 34:16,19,	2:8	cul-de-sac	70:10
21 38:1,17,	CPD	57:2,4,9	,0,10
22 42:16,18,	19:18 37:7,	cul-de-sacs	data
22 47:1,10	11,23 38:7,	51:18	8:17 9:6
49:10,18	10 39:21		11:2 12:11
52:8,18	40:7 67:16	Cultural	13:19 14:2
55:13 57:21	68:3,6,14,	63:2	15:6,14
58:1 60:21	19,23 69:4,	cumulative	16:17 18:18
61:10 62:19,	7,11,18 70:2	6:6	22:19 23:8,
23 64:10	7,11,10 70.2		21 45:4
65:23 66:24	CPD's	curious	date
67:8 70:15,	28:17	19:16,21	31:22 33:17
19	Cpdtip.com.	20:1,6	51:11
	41:2	curve	
COPA 42:19 43:15	11.2	11:16,18	day
42:19 43:15	create	17:19	45:6,8 48:4
45.22	70:13	a 1	61:20 64:16,
COPA's	creating	Cusack	18 65:20
44:4	61:14	2:15 25:1,2,	days
corner		3 26:10,11	36:2,15
66:5	creation	27:12,13	
	35:2	29:12,13,20,	deadly
corners	crime	21 30:7,24	37:6,11
43:10	5:2 6:2	31:1,7,8,18	deal
correct	39:22,24	32:18,19	6:10,12
37:19	40:4,12 41:5	33:2,3,13	
	42:7 43:3	34:14,15	Deborah
corrective	57:19		2:24

Police Board Public Meeting

# decals

69:9,10

decision 32:14

**decisions** 32:13 33:15

## decree

5:13 7:3 12:22 13:2, 4,13,24 34:5 35:1 46:15, 18

dedicated 57:11

deepest 65:21

**deeply** 45:20

**defense** 17:16

defining 19:3

definitely 22:5

**defund** 69:13

densities 20:21

## **deny** 29:9

department

3:2 5:14 8:23 11:10, 24 12:23 14:3 17:14 18:19 28:17 29:2 30:13 37:6 38:6 43:14 44:7, 17 45:9,20 46:14 54:20 55:2 57:2 61:15 64:19

**Department's** 9:6

departments 19:24

**Deputy** 3:1,2 33:22

Deputy's 34:1

described 19:10

**designed** 69:7

**desk** 22:10

**destroy** 40:19 42:11 54:24 55:11

destruction 56:15

details 46:8

detectives 40:15

**determine** 25:15 37:22

determined 15:4

difference 69:12

**different** 20:19,20,21 36:16

dining 66:8 direct 53:6 64:20 direction

46:10

**directly** 53:13 67:17

**Director** 3:6 5:1

**directs** 55:8

**disagreed** 37:9 38:8

disagreement 35:12,20

**disappointed** 58:8

disappointment 60:18

discharged 28:16 29:2 30:13 37:5 38:5,10

discharging 38:6

**disciplinary** 8:6 18:14 28:14,21 37:24

discipline
 35:17 36:10,
 23 37:16
 38:15

discretionary 34:1

**discuss** 26:24

discussed 27:4 28:20 32:10 discussing 44:21

discussions 27:1

disenfranchise d 68:1,3,22

disfranchised 68:19 70:2

**display** 53:11 54:16

displaying 55:11

displays 53:7

disposal 26:5

dispose 26:3

disqualificati on

32:12,14

disqualified 32:2,5

disruptive 48:5

Distinguished 4:24

distress 4:5 24:11

**distribution** 10:16 11:6,7

district
 18:7 22:7
 47:16 48:9,
 11 50:7,10
 51:15 53:1
 59:2 62:13
 66:3,15

68:16 districts 47:17 50:21 Division 66:6 documents 49:20 doing 5:10,11 7:6

8:22 24:3 40:20 65:7

**DOJ** 13:4

domain

8:9

domestic 12:18 24:6 29:3

Doorley
 2:16 29:22,
 23 30:7
 31:9,10,18
 33:4,5,13
 36:24 38:1,
 2,17

**doors** 66:7

**Doyle** 64:10,11 65:23

**draft** 33:21 34:5 35:4,7

**drafts** 24:21

**draw** 20:12

**Drive** 51:20 52:2

driving
 24:5,6
drop
 18:20
drug
 66:5
drugs
 24:6

**drunk** 24:5

drunk-driving 12:19

**due** 24:10 32:6 35:8 37:21

**duty** 30:15

**Dyke** 7:15,18

**Dylan** 5:12

dynamics 43:12

Е

earlier 25:12 39:12 43:23 51:3

**easily** 23:3

**Eason** 65:11

East 47:22 48:13

easy 20:12 Eberhart

53:2,12 55:5

**Edward** 30:12 **Edwards** 49:18,19

50:3 52:8,9, 19

**Edwin** 4:23

effect 46:4

Effective 57:6

effectively 57:14

**effects** 5:21 6:20,22

**effort** 40:24 42:3

efforts 47:18

either

45**:**3

**elderly** 42:9

**elders** 57:1

**elected** 40:22

elements

61:18 elevated

> 10:5,24 11:8 12:4,17 16:20

eligibility 32:6

**email** 53:8 54:4

67:19 embedding 18:6 emergency 57:3

emailed

Emanuel

53:10

**emitted** 53:14,23

employees 69:23

**EMS** 57:3

encouraged 46:12 49:5 58:12

end 23:16 28:7 39:9 65:12, 20

**ends** 45:19

endure 55:23 56:13

**enforce** 50:15

enforcement
 49:12 51:21
 52:1,2 54:9,
 17 57:6,11

enforcing 56:16

engagement 57:13 69:2

Englewood 6:4,6,18 63:2,3

		Public Meeting 20, 2024	
enjoy	64:11 66:2	48:24	19:12
4:5	event	experiences	favor
enjoyment	63:4,6	45:5	25:4 26:12
48:24			27:14 30:4,6
	eventually 16:20	exposure	31:15,17
entered 31:22 33:16	10:20	5:23 6:6,12,	33:10,12
31:22 33:10	everybody	17,21 7:7	34:16
entertain	2:1 3:18	61:17	faar
23:12	4:11 50:1		fear
entire	69:3	F	48:9
39:14	everyone		features
	13:7 37:3	faces	7:13
entities	38:22 39:2	56:12	feel
44:16	40:21 41:7	fact	52:3
entitled	40:21 41:7	7:16 8:5	52.5
68:3,19 70:3	42.13 55.20	43:18 46:12	feels
-	evidence	48:21	14:2
environment	37:10 38:9	10.21	fellow
57:18	exactly	factor	41:1
Epps	14:5	20:4	11.1
54:7	_	fair	FEMA
	examination	20:8	47:12
escalates	32:7		Feme
66:21	excessive	fall	47:6,14
escalating	36:3,16,19	53:15	-
23:7		fall-off	Field
	excited	13:14,16	36:1,13
essential 57:7	46:6		fighting
5/•/	Excuse	falls	63:23
essentially	33:14	11:16	
9:16		familiar	figure
establishments	executive	43:13,18	6:5 16:18
49:4	3:6 26:23	families	file
49.4	27:4,7		28:9
estate	exercise	40:19 41:4	filed
4:16	33:24	42:11	7:17 9:19
evaluations	archangead	family	
57:13	exhausted	39:3,10,11,	28:24 30:11
	55:7	18 54:3	files
evening	exists	famously	28:10,15
2:1,14 4:11,	25:16	8:15	final
23 37:2	Exonerated	C1.0	12:6 28:22
38:2,21,22	36:6	fatalities	32:11
42:21 47:12	30.0	61:23	
50:2 52:22	experience	fault	finalize
55:20 63:20	11:11 24:1	Laurt	50:7

#### Police Board Public Meeting June 20, 2024 68:23 finally four gangs 51:14,22 35:13 43:10 63:24 five-year 50:7 66:7 financial 10:2 gap 4:4 fourth 4:18 flat 54:23 find 53:7,19 garage 10:7 fraction 56:6 flattens 10:19 finding 11:18 gather 62:13 12:9 37:10 framework flexible 38:9 45:13 40:13 gathered findings frequent 9:5 focus 14:21 36:18 56:21 13:4 15:15 gathering fine 49:6 friends 54:21 62:24 54:4 following gave fire 2:13,19 7:19 59:23 front 54:6,7,20 32:13,15 39:8 47:24 gem 55:2 56:24 59:4,17 food 62:5 57:2 60:19 59:22,23,24 general firearm 60:10,12 fulfill 2:24 3:1,4 63:5 38:6,10 52:17 14:17 15:2 fired footnote fuller 16:1,7 18:1 33:23 58:5 13:17 34:7 46:17 firework General's FOP fun 53:6 54:16 5:15 8:23 28:1,9,10 55:11 46:7 fireworks force future 53:11,13,16, 12:15 15:20 9:8 10:5 geographies 21,22 54:10, 16:16,22 11:1,4 13:1 20:20 11 55:4,11 36:3,16,19 14:17,18 getting 37:6,11 15:24 16:5 firm 12:17 24:5 17:3 18:16 52:14 59:15 60:11 forecast 23:2 27:7 8:19 first ghost 3:10,20 8:1 foresighted 12:24 G 17:16 43:22 18:5 give 47:5 58:3 38:20 42:20 forget qain 68:6 63:14 57**:**5 61:10 69:1 Fitzpatrick forming given games 5:12 22:15 17:6 43:10 63:5 five 58:8 forward gaming 9:16 10:11 10:12 41:3 13:18 giving 15:22 16:3, 5:3 17 62:20

12

		Public Meeting 0, 2024	
goal	grade	50:9 51:5,19	happy
4:17	54:10,12	52:2	3:20,21 4:7,
			15 23:11
goes	Grand 50:5	guess 5:6 22:15	49:13 51:4
8:7 58:22	50:5	5:6 22:15	52:12 55:16
going	granddaughter	gun	57:23 61:10
2:2 5:10	66:9,20	39:14	harm
10:4 11:3	grandfathered	guy	40:19
12:3 14:18	52:15	4:16	40.19
18:23 19:18			harming
24:1 27:23	grant	guys	40:12
35:11 39:11	30:20	64:6 66:11	hate
41:9 43:12	graph		69:4
44:18 49:17,	10:9,17,19,	н	
21 51:18	22 13:11,21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	hazards
52:6 54:1,5	14:8	habitation	56:24
55:10,21		62:8	headline
59:17 60:1,	great	half	13:5
16,17,18,19,	23:11 24:16		
20 67:11	41:23 44:15	58:23,24	headquarters
70:11,12	51:23 52:18	Hamilton	26:22 68:14
Gomez	58:19 63:6,	63:1	69:18
37:5,11,22	10 64:20	hand	health
57.5,11,22	Greater	25:4 27:15	5:24 17:23
good	50:4	34:17	18:6 21:14
2:1 4:11		54.17	23:23
11:15 13:9,	greatly 11:8 14:11	handed	hoord
10 15:13		64:14	heard
37:2 38:2,	16:19	handling	4:13 28:4,6
21,22 42:21	Green	21:17	hearing
47:12 48:20	54:8		26:17 27:19
49:6 50:2	Greg	handouts	34:21 35:3
52:22 55:20	5:11	67:2	37:20 68:2
61:12 64:11	5.11	hands	hears
66:2 67:14	grew	26:13	28:14
Google	3:23 4:1	hannan	
65:14	64:15,17	happen 11:3 13:2	heart
	ground	56:15 57:19	7:12
government	8:12	50.12 57.19	heart-breaking
20:23 40:22		happened	7:13,14,22
governmental	group	55:7	
20:22	67:24 68:18	happening	heavy
	groups	8:1 13:13	48:17
governor	68:22	41:10	height
53:10	Crow		54:15
	Grove		

		Public Meeting 0, 2024	
<b>held</b>	<b>historically</b>	62:8 65:7	<b>impacted</b> 53:20 57:4
24:22	16:3	humanity	
<b>Hello</b>	<b>history</b>	63:7	impacts
3:17	62:4 67:16		5:23
help	hold	humbled 4:19	implemented
4:3,17 18:11	40:16 42:7,8	hundred	13:24
40:24 48:19	home	13:23	implementing
54:3 55:16	12:18 49:1	Hutchinson	57:10
58:15 62:16	53:5 56:4,6	29:1,7	important
64:8 66:4,20	65:17	Hyde	3:22 12:10
67:3 70:13	homeowner		21:1 43:21
helped 24:12 58:11	53:3	5:8 hydrants	44:3
<b>helpful</b> 5:16 46:24	homeowners 48:23 52:4	56:24	<pre>impossible 48:5</pre>
helping	<b>homes</b>	hypothesis	<pre>imprint 39:20</pre>
4:5	55:12	21:10 23:4	
<b>Hey</b> 59:21	hope 5:15 18:11 21:8 65:5,18		<b>improve</b> 47:19 57:16
<b>high</b>	hopeful	<b>i.e.</b>	<b>improvements</b>
12:4		19:24	46:20
high-risk	46:19 54:21,	<b>identical</b>	incarceration
11:17	23	20:16 21:2	20:3
high-violence	<b>hopes</b>	<b>identified</b>	inches
6:3	55:10	24:12 59:13	8:12
<b>higher</b>	<b>hot</b> 63:22	<b>identify</b>	<b>incidents</b>
7:5 11:1		10:3 68:4	29:3 45:19
highest	<b>hours</b>	70:4	<b>include</b>
	49:6 56:5	illegal	20:5 50:23
10:14,15,18	<b>house</b>	50:24 56:22	<b>included</b>
12:2	56:7 66:7	57:7	25:23 27:5
<b>highly</b>	houses	<b>illegally</b>	<b>includes</b>
12:4,13	18:7	56:5,23	9:18 44:16
hindsight	Howell	<b>Illinois</b>	57:11
7:22	52:21,22,24	5:14 8:23	
<b>hiring</b> 61:16	55:13	25:13 27:3	<b>including</b> 5:24 56:21
Hispanic	huge	<pre>imagine    6:19 8:7    12:19 16:12,</pre>	incorrect
68:21	12:1 45:18		37:20
00.77	human 5:21 23:23	14 63:21	incorrectly

47:7	instance	involved	jobs
	5:22 15:21	45:1	21:17
increase	int out i ou		Taha
11:13 45:17	intention	involves 36:13	John
50:21	61:1	36:13	36:1
increased	interact	irony	July
18:10	45:9	60:8	26:20 28:9
increasingly	interactions	issue	35:8
56:9	18:8	50:16,23	June
indicated	interesting	51:10 52:23	2:2,6 3:12
54:8	43:4	55:17 56:10	28:7 60:22
		57:15 58:22	68:6
indication	interfere	issued	justice
53:24	33:24	31:22	12:23 21:1
individual	Interim		41:4
47:5	37:8	issues	
individuals	Internal	4:4 55:22	Justin
63:17 64:12	3:3	56:21	2:16 3:14
		item	4:12
inference	intervene	24:19 25:10	
20:13	7:24	27:21 28:13	K
informal	interview	32:1 34:23	
18:8	7:19 46:1	items	keep
information	interviews	3:8 4:21	25:18 39:3,
8:17 9:5,17,	45:23		18 69:22,24
23 10:2		J	70:1
15:14 19:19	introduce		keeping
27:4 33:23	3:15	Jai'mani	69:18
35:6 41:3	introduction	39:4	Kelly
54:22 58:17	3:19		47:10 49:16
61:11,13	intuition	Jai'mani's	Verneder
initially	9:14	39:5,10	Kennedy 67:1,2
21:6	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	Jason	70:16,17,19
	investigate 40:16	7:15	
innocent	40.10	Jeffrey	KENNEY
39:7	investigation	61:20	67:9
inside	43:11	Jens	Kersten
63:15,19	investigations	4:23 5:5	2:23 42:19,
inspector	44:5		21 58:5
2:23 3:1	investigatory	job	key
14:17 15:2	15:8	3:22 6:14,15	7:14 58:9,10
16:1,7 33:22		7:6,21 9:24	
46:7 54:6	involve	22:8 44:15	kicked
58:5	44:5	46:4	8:15

kickoff	Lab	leaving	line
63:3	5:2 43:3	63:21	13:22 14:1
kids	lady	led	17:16 41:2
63:5,9,16	67:3	7:2 67:10	lines
66:8	Tanglas	left	21:24
killed	Langley 51:19 52:11	18:19 61:8	link
39:13 65:6,	55:24 56:20	62:21	28:12 66:1,
12	57:9		2,23,24
		left-hand	
kind	LAPD	16:14	liquor
12:24 17:11	12:22 13:18	Leighton	49:4
21:24 24:7	14:6 17:18	30:12,18	Liquors
39:6	19:20	lessons	51:4 52:12
King	Laquan	45:3	list
51:20 52:2	7:15		32:6 47:5,1
know	Laramie	letting	70:20
3:23 4:4,17	66:7	41:1 49:8 52:23	listen
5:22 15:7	Larry	52.23	54:14
17:20,23	2:21 38:19	level	
19:3,17	39:13 64:17	8:21 20:23	listening
20:22 21:3		54:9	64:18
27:24 41:1,	Lasalle	libraries	literally
19 49:22	67:7	40:6	8:10
60:15 61:22	lasting		
62:4 65:21	39:19 46:4	license	litigation
69:11	lata night	50:24	28:1
knowledge	late-night	lieutenant	little
5:20	48:15	60:4 66:16,	3:23 12:24
	latest	17	21:4 51:13
Kyle	22:18	life	58:8,21
2:4	law	4:3 8:9	60:13
	49:12	39:5,7 48:24	live
L		57:17 61:17	3:24 5:7
	laws		41:21,22
L-U-R-I-E	56:16	<b>life-long</b> 50:3	52:24 53:4
65:11	leading	50.3	live-streamed
L.A.	64:19	light	2:10
13:3 14:1,4	learn	56:9	
17:11 20:1,	45:3 46:6	limited	lived
11 46:21		6:1 56:22	8:7
LA	leave		local
20:15	13:20 19:2	Lindsey	48:9 54:9
20.13	39:19	62:22,24	
		64:13,22	located

Police Board Public Meeting

	o une 2	0, 2021	
48:22 51:4	15:11 17:4	major	38:12
52:10 63:2	43:5 45:3	19:23	
1	46:20 48:18		<b>matters</b> 26:24 27:4
location	57:1	make	-
52:16	1	3:16 17:3	35:14,18
loitering	lots	28:11 35:11	Max
48:18 49:6	18:2,8 70:5	37:1 41:15	3:6
long	loud	42:5 44:19	mayor
15:9,11 51:6	48:3	45:24 49:20	53:10 67:19
13.9,11 51.0	love	50:18 61:3	55.10 07.19
Long-time	41:16,20	63:13 64:5	mayors
4:13	63:7	makes	8:13
longer	03.7	22:20 25:21	Mcdonald
40:18 42:10	low-level	making	7:15
	9:21	42:3 43:23	
look	lower		Mcgill
6:1,3 8:18	65:12	50:19	54:5
10:6 14:8		Manor	meaning
15:16,17,19	lower-risk	50:4	65:2
16:12,17	11:22	Mareilé	
21:4,18	lucky	2:15 25:1	meaningful
23:14 41:11	59:16	26:10 27:12	46:17
51:14 62:2		29:12 30:24	means
64:3 69:7	Ludwig	32:18 34:14	12:14 48:21
looked	4:23 5:3,5		measuring
21:2,13 59:9	14:14,22	Margarita's	14:20 46:13
67:10	15:5 16:6,11	48:13	14.20 40.13
	17:15 18:21	markers	meet
looking	19:5,9 20:8	58:10	36:8,21
10:10,12	22:5 23:18		37:15
14:19,22,24	24:16 61:8	Marlin	meeting
15:3,17	Lurie	52:21,24	2:3,6,9 3:9,
16:14 20:7	65:11	Marquetta	11,20 4:21
21:15,20,22		55:19,21	24:22 26:1,
22:3 23:14,	M	57:21	19 28:20
17,20 24:4		maggina	32:10 33:19
43:9 45:3,4	made	massive	40:7 49:12
looks	13:3 46:11	5:23 6:7,20	55:15 57:22
9:13	66:14 67:21	62:2	65:1
<b>T</b>	68:10	math	
Los	00.10	6:5	meetings
13:22 46:13	mailed	Matt	25:13,15,19
losing	67:5	58:2	26:2,4,6
15:11	maintaining	50.2	27:3,5,8
lot	57:8	matter	28:20 32:9
100		36:7 37:13	41:8 61:1

<b>meets</b> 26:23
<pre>member 2:15,16 3:17 4:11 19:13 21:11 25:1 26:10 27:12 29:12,19,21, 23 30:1,3,24 31:6,8,10, 12,14 32:18 33:1,3,5,7,9 34:14 35:18 36:12,20 37:2 38:2 44:24 49:12 53:1</pre>
<b>member's</b> 35:21
<pre>members 2:13,19 3:10 25:16 29:14 30:6 31:2,17 32:20 33:12 35:3 36:24 38:23 39:1 40:8 43:1 44:7 45:8 46:2 47:12, 13 50:2 58:4 70:22</pre>
<b>men</b> 64:15
<pre>mental 5:24 17:23 18:6 21:14 24:11</pre>
<b>mention</b> 12:9,22
<b>mentioned</b> 21:14 23:6 51:2

**merit** 44:23 55:5

**Merrill** 61:21

**met** 25:13 38:13

methodology 46:9

**methods** 22:18

Michael 62:21,22

Michigan 26:22

**mid** 28:9

**middle** 53:12,16

**miles** 5:7

**mind** 61:4

**minimum** 68:23

**minor** 34:6

minutes
24:20,21
25:11,14,20,
22,23 27:5

misconduct
5:4 9:2,8
10:5,6,7,14,
21 11:1,11,
23 12:12,14
13:15,17
14:18,21,23,
24 15:3,4,17
16:5,9,10
18:16 23:1,

13,16,20 28:3 43:7,10 44:6,11

**missed** 58:9

mistakenly 19:10

mistrust 60:14

mitigate
22:16

model
 22:13 61:13,
 14 64:14

Monday 35:8

**monitor** 34:6 49:5

monitored 48:10

**month's** 33:19

months
 26:4,7 50:6
 55:24

Moore 47:10 49:16, 17

**mothers** 65:6,15

motion
24:20 25:8
26:5,18
27:6,20
29:8,9 30:5,
8,19 31:16,
19 32:12
33:11,15
34:9,22
70:24

5:19 move 12:8 44:2 59:21 60:1 66:11 moved 24:23 26:8 27:10 29:5, 10 30:15,22 32:16 34:12 66:6 multi-unit

motivation

62:3

multiple 22:1

**murder** 13:22 14:4 20:14

**music** 48:3,16

N

name
 2:4 3:18
 4:12 47:7,13
 50:3 52:24
 55:21

Nanette 2:16

**narrative** 41:12,14,17

national 7:5

near-southside 4:14

necessarily
16:9 22:22

necessary	nights	40:16,17	51:15,24
25:18 37:21	56:4	42:7,8	58:15 69:17,
need	Noah	office	20
18:13 23:2	38:5	2:22 5:15	officials
25:15 28:5		8:15,24	2:20 40:22
41:2 48:23	Norfleet	35:15 43:5	
51:21 62:15	60:23,24	53:9	okay
	61:12 62:19	53.9	9:3 16:16
64:7 65:9	normal	officer	19:8 27:23
66:20	4:3 69:2,10	6:15 7:18	49:21 51:13
needs		9:17,19 10:2	59:16 61:9
39:8 40:1	north	11:3,14	67:13,20
42:14 54:16	53:19 66:6	12:17 13:4,7	68:24
neighbor	noted	15:24 16:18	on-duty
48:20	7:3	17:6 18:14	10:6,20
10.20		20:2 21:22	
neighborhood	noticed	22:23 24:4	12:12 15:16,
6:2,18	51:7	28:16 29:1,7	18
wedelah kecelar	number	30:14,18	once
neighborhoods	11:17 13:14,	32:5 35:17	62:5
39:17 40:11	16 18:22	36:1,13	
neighbors	22:23 28:23	37:5,10,22	one
49:7 56:13	30:10 34:24	38:4,9 39:13	5:18 7:12,
66:18,19	35:23 36:11	43:6 59:4,10	13,14 8:15
	37:3 38:3	60:6,17 67:4	11:6 14:9
Neuman		00.0,17 07.4	16:6,22
39:13	43:7 69:13	officer's	19:16 20:9
never	numbers	10:15 59:1	23:16 29:7
44:19 68:10,	43:17 50:21	officers	30:18 34:4
11		5:17 6:17	35:9 39:7
	0	7:1,4,8,9,10	45:15 46:11
newest			56:18 59:13,
42:24	- h - h	9:10 10:4,	14 68:7,17
newly	obstructing	12,13,17,20,	69:6
38:24	56:2,23	23 11:10,13,	onog
	obviously	18,20,22	ones 44:18 65:3
news	4:2 19:17	12:15 13:10,	44.10 05.3
7:16 11:15	20:5 21:14,	12,14,16	ongoing
13:5 15:13	20 43:4	16:19 17:13,	28:1 57:13
nice		18,20 18:2,	
63:21	occasion	4,9,11,18	Open
	36:16	21:15 22:6	25:13 26:2
Niena	off-duty	24:1,8 28:3	27:3,8 28:19
47:6,14	10:7 12:13	32:3 35:3	32:9
night	29:3	40:10 43:20	opened
56:13,18		45:1,5,21,24	66:5
50-15,10	offenders	46:3 50:17	
	1	1	1

oponing		narticular	Baula
<b>opening</b> 28:9,10	overlooked 56:10	particular 11:4	Paula 2:14 24:23
20.9,10	50.10	11.4	26:8 27:10
opinion	oversight	parties	
14:1 35:21	34:1 44:16	29:6 30:16	29:10,16
36:8 37:14		31:23 33:17	30:22 31:3
38:13	Р	37:21	32:16,22 34:12
opportunity		partly	51.12
64:20	p.m.	24:10	pay
opposed	26:21 48:3	partners	7:23 36:2,1
25:6 26:15	packed	40:22,23	49:1 60:19
27:17 34:19	63:22	10.22,23	pays
27.17 24.17		party	45:22
optimistic	pain	47:24 48:4	PB
46:19	65:14,21	pass	28:23 30:10
order	panacea	49:17,23	
2:7 59:3,6	12:3	51:5	peace
67:16	Papa		63:6 69:20
andana	66:12	<b>passes</b> 25:9 26:18	penalty
orders 31:21 48:16	00.12	27:20 30:8	57:12
31.21 40.10	paper	31:19 33:15	pensions
original	7:17	34:22	69:22
68:15	parade	54.22	09.22
out-of-policy	67:20 68:15	past	people
15:20 16:15,	Deve much	9:10,17	4:3,5 41:2,
22	Paragraph	16:24 17:1	15,23 53:4,
	34:24	22:24 25:14,	21 63:15,18
outcomes	parents	19 26:4,6	64:1,6
14:10	39:8	47:18 48:3	67:11,18
outdoor	park	55:6 66:10	68:2 69:16,
47:23	5:8 50:4	Pastor	19 70:7,9
outline	56:6 63:1,	64:10,11	percent
67:17	20,23 64:2	65:23	7:4 11:7,9,
			10,12 16:18
outreach	parked	patrol	44:4 60:15
40:4	56:23 59:3	22:10	percentage
outside	parking	pattern	<b>percentage</b> 44:12
41:14 59:10	48:18 55:22	10:1 15:22	
63:22	56:16,22	16:3 18:15	perfect
overarching	57:7,16	22:2 23:5	57:18
45:13	part	patterns	period
	5:13 13:3	9:9 10:3,11	10:3,21
overcoming	21:16 62:6,	15:1 20:19	11:11 13:6,
36:9,22	12 67:21	21:23 22:16,	23 14:5
37:15 38:14		19	

		•	
permanent	planets	17:6,13,18,	25:19,22
51:8	20:12	20 18:2,4,8,	posing
permit	planning	10,14 19:23	56:24
48:1 57:6	54:18	22:6 26:20,	
		21,23 28:3,	position
permits	plans	6,14,17,21,	30:21
26:2	51:11	24 29:2	positive
perpetrate	plate	30:13,14	10:22 45:10
63:18	59:9,18	32:3,5,8	possible
person	60:19	33:22 35:2,	44:21
2:13 7:21	play	15,16,17,19	
32:4,7 49:15	65:13,16	37:4,6 38:4,	possibly
55:18		5,19 39:13	48:19
	plays	43:6,14,20	post
perspective	45:18	44:6,17	35:4 61:17
15:7 21:2	please	45:9,19	page of
23:23 45:4	25:4 26:12	46:13 48:11	<b>posted</b> 25:24 27:6
photographs	27:14 34:16	50:6,10	31:23 33:17,
52:10	39:18 51:10,	51:23 53:8	20 34:10
photos	14	54:20 55:1,	35:21
49:24 51:15,	pleased	6,9 56:18	
16,17 52:11	4:22	58:15,23,24 59:4 60:6,	posting
56:1		14,15 61:1,	34:3
	plummeting	15,17 64:19,	pot
pictures	14:4	24 68:4,20,	56:14
49:24	point	24 69:2,6,	potential
Pizza	21:21 28:8	11,13,16	5:16
48:13	43:22 46:10,	70:4,6,9,17	
place	21 47:3		potentially
2:24 8:1	51:16 55:9	policing	13:1 21:9
26:6,20	police	35:1 53:9	power
53:15,22	2:3,5,18,21,	67:15,22	40:20
69:19,22	22 3:2,6,10	policy	practice
	4:12,18 5:4,	12:16 23:22	4:2 61:16
places 20:10	14,17 6:15,	33:21 34:5,	
20.10	17 7:1,4,8,	8,10,24	practicing
Placing	9,10 8:22	35:2,4 37:7,	4:16
55:4	9:1,6,8,10,	12 38:7,11	prayers
plaguing	17,22 10:11,	population	64:8
39:17	20 11:9,12,	20:1,21	predict
nlan	14,23 12:14	portion	9:8 10:17,24
<b>plan</b> 40:13 50:8	13:7,9,12,16	44:8	23:24
54:24 57:11	14:3 15:23		
	16:18,19	portions	predicted

June 20, 2024				
11:5,7 16:8	31:2,4,5,7, 9,11,13,15	Pritzker 5:1	promote 63:6	
predicting	32:16,20,23,	2.1	03.0	
5:4 15:3,10		problem	prompted	
predictive	24 33:2,4,6,	17:24 24:9	61:6	
10:4 11:2	8,10 34:12,	48:8 58:24	promptly	
14:19 19:15	16,19,21 38:1,17,22	59:1 65:1	31:23 33:17	
22:17,20	42:16,18,22	problems		
23:1 46:9	47:1,10,15	5:24 7:7	pronounced	
58:10 61:14	49:10,18	8:17 9:1	47:7	
	52:8,18		proof	
predictor 17:2	55:13 57:21	<b>proceed</b> 3:8 4:21	38:14 54:11	
	58:1,5,6	3.8 4.21	property	
predictors	60:21 61:10	process	53:23	
16:23 61:18	62:19,23	15:8 37:21		
predicts	64:10 65:23	44:19	proposed	
16:3,4	66:24 67:8	processes	36:5,18	
	70:15,19	8:6	protect	
preponderance	presumably		42:8 52:3	
37:9 38:8	15:2	productive 45:11	54:1	
presence	10.2	43.11	protected	
63:12	pretty	professor	52:7	
present	18:5 67:14	4:24 5:3,5		
52:23	prevent	14:13,16,22	protection	
	7:24 23:2	15:5 16:6,11	69:21	
presentation 5:4 17:9	39:21	17:15 18:21	protocol	
19:14 23:11	prevented	19:5,9 20:8	17:12,21	
24:16 43:2	8:2	22:5 23:18	provide	
61:5		24:15 61:8	19:19 27:22	
	preventing	profile	28:11 33:22	
presented	53:3	19:24	35:7 54:19	
45:14	prevention	program	55:1 64:13	
president	23:15,19	67:10,14,16,	providers	
2:1,5,14	54:6,7	17,21,23	18:6	
4:9,20 14:13	preventive	68:6 69:15,		
17:8 18:17	8:20	16,17 70:1,	provides	
19:1,8 23:11		7,18	12:23 37:21	
24:15,19,23	previous	programs	providing	
25:3,6,8	22:21	69:14	40:5	
26:8,12,15,	pride		proxy	
17 27:10,14,	67:20 68:8,	projecting	24:8	
17,19 29:10,	22	53:17		
14,15,17,18,	prioritize	projectory	PTSD	
20,22,24	12:2	17:5	6:1	
30:2,4,22				
	I	I	I	

		Public Meeting 0, 2024	
public	23:12 46:7	reach	26:3,5
2:6 3:10	52:9	42:3,4	records
14:1 23:12,	quick	reactive	9:23
22 24:22 26:1,3,19	21:12 35:11	8:5,8,14	recruits
27:1,22	quickly	read	50:22
28:11 33:20,	9:12 11:19	7:16 67:11	recurring
23 34:4 35:7	18:17	real	18:15
40:6 45:17	quiet	4:16 68:24	
47:4,18,19	48:24	real-time	14:1
48:2 50:11,	quite	40:14	
15,19 52:3	61:23		reduce
70:23		12:21 18:1	57:16
publicly	R		reflect
25:21		reasonable	44:10
pull	Rahm	23:4	regain
69:1 70:9	67:19	reasons	50:11
pulled	rainbow	18:3	regarding
59:4	67:9,18,22	receive	28:23 30:10
pulling	68:7,13 69:4	43:8,18	35:16
60:9 65:3	70:8	44:9,13	regular
purely	raining	received	26:19 69:8
8:8,14	8:10	34:4	reject
	raise	recently	29:8
<b>push</b> 13:3	25:4 26:12	20:14,24	rejected
	27:14 34:16	recognizable	68:11
<b>put</b> 66:8 67:19	raised	62:7	
70:12	3:24 4:6	recommendation	related 7:7
70.12	raising	36:5,10,18,	
Q	40:8	23 37:16,18	relative
×		38:15	11:13
qualitatively	randomly 35:19	recommended	relief
15:1 45:5		36:1,14 37:4	55:3
quality	ranking 10:15	38:4	remain
48:24 57:16	10.12	recommending	52:6,16
question	rate	28:16 29:1	remember
21:13 22:12	7:3 11:1	30:12	20:17 64:6,
23:18 58:19	13:22 14:4 20:3,14	record	24 65:5
61:7 62:10	58:13,16	28:7 63:13	removed
questions			32:6
12:7 14:16	11.0	<b>recordings</b> 25:11,14,18	
	11:8	23.11,14,10	

Police Board Public Meeting June 20, 2024			
Repair	researchers	17:7	39:8,11
47:22	22:14	results	41:11 42:2
repeat	resident	16:13 32:7	59:18 60:2
42:8	4:14 5:7		67:15 69:12
-	47:14 50:4	retaliated	rights
repeated		50:19	53:3
6:20	residential	retired	<b>D</b> <sup>1</sup> <b>1</b>
repeatedly	48:22	19:7,11	Rindsey
24:5	residents	39:12	62:21
report	6:7,9,12	retirement	risk
7:2 8:18	41:1 47:20	39:16	5:23 10:5,
25:10 38:20	48:6 52:4		14,15,16,18,
42:20 43:16	56:20 57:17	retraumatizing	24 11:5,7,8,
58:8,11,14,	resigned	6:21	15,21 12:2,
17	19:7,11	reverend	4,5,12,13,
		39:16	15,17 16:20
reported	resources		17:13
56:21	40:5,9 57:12	<b>reverse</b> 32:14	Rivera
reporting	respect	32.14	39:4
7:20	28:2 43:6	review	
reports	resplendent	35:20,23	road
9:23	62:6	36:11 37:3	8:19 9:1
		38:3 68:10	15:23
representing	respond	reviewed	Robert
3:4	45:7	35:24 36:12	70:20
represents	response	maniand	Roberto
44:23	9:4 25:7	<b>revised</b> 34:7,10	37:5
roquoat	26:16 27:18	34.7,10	
<b>request</b> 9:4 35:23	34:8,20	revisions	role
36:11 37:3	37:19 50:22	34:6	45:18 64:14
38:3 50:20	responsibiliti	rewind	roll
	es	20:13	69:17
requests	34:2	Dishandran	rolled
50:14		Richardson 3:1	67:22,23
require	responsibility	3.1	
33:21	40:21	ride	roof
required	responsible	64:2 66:9,12	53:8
25:13	20:23	riding	roofs
	rest	66:9	53:19
requires	9:11 48:6		room
35:1		right	52:13 66:8
research	restaurant	8:4,11,18	
17:9	59:2	10:18 12:19	rooted
-1	result	14:7 15:4	40:13 45:20
		26:21 32:8	

Police Board Public Meeting June 20, 2024			
roughly	57:8,17	seeing	sessions
63:4		25:8 26:17	27:5,7
	sat	27:19 34:21	
rug	59:7	40:14 41:19	set
56:17	saying	69:4	15:18 57:18
rule	37:17,20		settle
35:20	70:11	selected	29:6 30:17
1 - 3		3:21 35:19	
ruled	says	send	settlement
36:20	59:13,14	65:15	29:8 30:20
rules	scene		seven-year-old
28:18 37:23	6:16	senior	39:3
ruling	Scott	48:23	several
37:17	3:5	seniors	32:10 61:23
37.17	3.5	52:4	32.10 01.23
rulings	script		severe
35:13	27:23	<b>sense</b> 17:3 22:20	51:8
run	second	1/:3 22:20	severity
69:14,18	25:1 26:10	senseless	15:19
0,11,10	27:12 29:12	39:6	13.12
	30:24 32:18	separate	shame
S	34:14 35:10	69:14	63:24
	48:12	09.14	share
S02-03	10.17	separately	47:16 59:1
67:16	section	10:6	
Safakas	27:2 35:5	sergeant	sharply
2:17 19:13	47:4	30:11 66:16	11:16
21:11 29:24	sections		She'll
30:1,7	27:8	series	47:8
31:11,12,18		27:7	abiald
33:6,7,13	see	serious	shield 69:5
36:24 37:2	7:19 8:12,	16:24 17:2	09.5
	17,19 9:1,7	28:3	shifts
safe	10:3,13,22,		56:4
63:3	23 11:4	serve	Shoe
safeguarding	12:11 13:5,	7:11	47:22
39:15	13,15 14:3	service	11.22
anfolu.	15:1,21 17:4	4:24 40:5	shoot
safely	18:15,21	67:19	64:2 66:13
41:23 48:6	19:6,8 21:6	services	shooting
safety	22:1 41:16	12:2 39:24	6:16 7:15,18
33:23 40:13	42:12 46:20		
45:18 47:18,	48:17 51:6,	serving	shootings
20 50:11,15,	10 56:1	6:18	39:19 61:23
20 52:3	58:17 60:7,8	session	shortly
56:16,24	63:10	26:24	47:9
		20-21	

		Public Meeting D, 2024	
<b>shot</b> 41:17	<b>simply</b> 65:13	23:1,5,22 24:8,9,10,11	5
<b>show</b> 10:19	sincerest 65:18	46:8 sorts	5
<b>showing</b> 51:17	<b>single</b> 6:19 13:6	5:24 <b>sounds</b> 69:15	5
<pre>shows 13:11,21 41:7,8,9 65:3</pre>	sir 66:23 sit	sources 68:24	£
<b>side</b> 6:4 16:14 53:18,20 59:5 60:9 69:5	60:17 <b>situation</b> 48:19 <b>slope</b> 10:23	<pre>south    6:4 51:4    53:18 south-side    5:7</pre>	2
<b>sidewalk</b> 48:1 56:2 57:7 66:8	small 11:17 12:5 44:8,12 56:10	<b>sparks</b> 53:14,23 <b>SPARS</b> 9:21	5
<b>sign</b> 23:7 <b>signage</b> 48:19 <b>signal</b> 11:2	<pre>Snelling    2:21 21:12    23:10 38:19,    21 62:14    64:16 66:22    67:4</pre>	<pre>speak     46:22 47:6     49:9,13     57:23 70:23 speaker</pre>	5
signals 7:23	<b>snow</b> 8:12	23:13 24:14 46:23 47:8, 11 52:20 58:1 60:22	5
signed 47:6 70:23 significant	snowplow 8:11 snowstorms	62:20 65:24 67:1 70:20	5
44:11 significantly	8:14 Solomon	speakers 62:21	5
57:15 <b>signs</b>	47:21,22 solution	<b>speaking</b> 39:16	£
15:21 59:13 similar	65:4 <b>son</b>	Spears 3:5	5
15:1 19:24 21:23 69:8	65:11 sort	special 67:16	£
<b>simple</b> 56:16	15:6,18 19:22 21:1	<b>specific</b> 24:1	

1 specifically 58:11 spent

39:14,15 61:19

**spoke** 66:15

**spot** 66:5

**stage** 53:12

**stand** 42:5 51:9 62:24

**standard** 56:16

start
7:1 43:9
49:19,21,23
65:18 67:4

started
2:2 58:11
59:9 67:21

starting 9:3

**State** 50:9

statements 41:15

**States** 17:24

**stating** 37:9 38:8

station 18:7

statistical
 9:7,15 15:6
 22:13,18

U.S. Legal Support | www.uslegalsupport.com

## 26

statistically	strongest	23:21	60:15
23:20,24	16:23	summer	supports
statistics	strongly	40:3,4,12	18:12
6:2 46:9	51:3	51:2 52:5	
		63:3 64:23	supposed
stay	structures	a	28:9
57:22 69:19	20:22	Sunday	sure
Steven	study	56:14	42:5 45:20,
36:12	46:20	Supe	24 49:11,20
stick	Subject	58:19	55:15 57:23
49:11 55:15	67:15	super	62:1,13,14
66:22		12:4 58:6	63:13 64:5
	submitted		68 <b>:</b> 17
stigmatized	28:8	Superintendent	surging
17:24	subsequently	2:20 3:5	14:6
Stoddart	29:4 30:15	21:12 23:10	
5:12	a.h.a.h	28:15,24	survey
<b>a</b> k a	subset 12:5	29:4,7	14:2
stop	12.5	30:11,15,17	suspect
59:12 60:10, 20 66:17	substantial	35:16 36:4,	14:9
20 00.17	54:11	8,17,21	gugpond
stops	substation	37:8,14	<b>suspend</b> 29:7 30:18
59:14	62:11	38:7,13,19,	29.7 30.10
story		21 42:16	suspended
18:24	success	43:13,23	13:15 36:2,
	46:12	49:22 50:15	15
street	successful	62:14 63:8	suspension
47:17,21,23	46:14	64:14,15	44:20
48:4,7,13	suggest	66:22	
49:4 51:19	54:15	Superintendent	suspensions
53:4,12,16		's	13:12
59:3,5 60:9	suggested	29:9 30:21	sustained
65:13 67:7	34:6	35:10 37:19	9:20 14:20,
68:17	suggestion	supervisor	23 15:10,12,
streets	69:5	63:1	15 16:13,15,
40:18 41:11			21 21:19
55:5	suggestions	supervisors	36:19 44:1,
strict	69:3,6	17:21	5,20
57:12	suicide	support	swept
	7:3 13:7	8:6 12:2	56:17
striking	23:14,19	14:6 17:17,	
34:7	24:1,2	20 37:10	systems
strong	58:13,16	38:9 39:23	9:6
17:2	suicides	40:2 50:14	
		51:3,24	

	oune z	0, 2024	
	Tate	text	think
Т	51:9	34:6	3:21 5:18
	taxes	thank	6:14,17 8:9,
<b>I-SHIRTS</b>	49:2	2:8 3:17,18	11,13,24
63:5		4:7,9,19,20	10:13 12:10
table	team	14:13 17:8	13:7 14:7,9
45:16 66:8	20:7 22:9	19:13 21:11	15:13 17:16,
	technical	22:12 24:14,	18,22 18:1,
tables	19:6	15 25:3	3,9 19:6
48:2		37:24 38:1,	20:4 21:3,4
tact	techniques	16,17,23	23:5 43:12,
22:9	46:1	42:15,16,22	20 44:2,3,14
taga	technology	46:22 47:1,	45:2,14
tags	57:12	17 49:8,9,10	48:18 55:4
50:24	toong	50:10 52:7,	61:24 67:6,
take	teens	8,18,22	14 70:8
9:16 12:7	63:5,10,16	55:12,13	thinking
15:8 18:13	tell	57:21,24	17:19 58:19
26:20 32:11	7:20 9:12	59:19 60:20,	17.19 20.19
40:17 51:14	12:7 22:19	21 62:16,19	third-year
54:14,19	42:2 60:5,6,	63:9,12 64:8	65:9
56:2 59:1	18 66:18		thought
taken	67:12 68:24	65:22,23	43:2,8 58:9,
39:5	telling	66:21,24	14
59.5	16:16 60:10	70:16,19	
takes		Thanks	thoughtful
39:7 64:1	terminated	5:8 14:12	17:23
taking	19:7,10,11	23:10	thoughts
22:3 28:22	termination	thick	39:4,18
	18:24 19:2,3	64:23	
talk	44:22		thousand
5:9,10 10:8		thing	13:23
55:16 60:17	terminations	7:22 12:6	three
68:1 70:7,15	18:22	13:20 19:16	65:12
talked	terms	20:9 22:24	threshold
43:4 58:12,	19:4,23	45:15 46:11	15:19
13 60:4,16	20:22	things	13.13
67:11	Temperat	8:14 9:18	throw
	Terrance	18:5 20:4,6,	61:2
talking	65:24	24 21:6 22:3	Thursday
67:18	Terry	23:1 24:4	26:20 56:14
Talley	2:16 3:14	43:9 56:12,	
3:4	4:10,11,12,	15 58:20	ticket
	20 30:2,3,7	60:13	59:7,9,11,
tasked	31:13,14,18	00.10	15,20,23
19:17	33:8,9,14		60:2,3,11
			1

	0 01110 1	, =•==	
69:1 <b>tied</b> 48:4 <b>time</b> 3:14 7:21 9:12 13:23 14:4,5 15:9, 12 16:6 18:9,23 35:9 38:18 46:5 50:22 54:14	tool 9:7 top 11:5,6,9 tow 50:24 Traci 3:3 traffic 48:3	triggers 65:3 trivial 8:16 trucks 50:24 true 20:10 try 7:24 8:20,24	<pre>two-year    10:21 11:11    13:6 tying    23:15 type    21:17 39:22    41:24 42:11,    12 54:17    55:2,6</pre>
58:18 60:24	tragedy 8:5	43:11 trying	U
times 48:17 Times' 14:1 tip 41:1	<pre>tragically 39:5 trail 7:17</pre>	trying 12:1 17:22 43:15 44:17 45:17,20 Tuesday 39:6	<b>U.S.</b> 12:23 <b>UIC</b> 58:9 <b>umbrella</b>
Tobara 3:1	<b>training</b> 34:24 35:2 36:1,13	turn 47:4 turned	8:10 unanimously 25:17
<b>today</b> 25:12 39:12 47:19 50:13 53:2 56:8 65:9,12 66:14	transformed 62:4 trauma 5:21 6:8,9 7:7 39:20 42:12 45:14,	23:3 turns 12:11 17:1 22:20,24 23:8	unbecoming 30:14 underneath 56:17
<b>today's</b> 31:22 33:16 65:8 70:24	18,21 trauma- informed	<b>two</b> 3:9 10:12 11:6 14:18 16:21 28:21	<pre>understand   6:7 16:2,8   42:13 43:11,   21 44:3,17</pre>
<pre>told    59:19 70:21 tonight    2:20 40:23    42:23 46:22,    23 58:7</pre>	46:1 traumatizing 6:21 travel 48:6 treated	31:21 35:11 37:1 42:24 47:21 53:19 58:4 59:13 61:24 62:1 64:1 68:13	52:14 understanding 19:18 understands 42:14 UNIDENTIFIED
tonight's 43:2 tons 56:1	60:7 treatment 17:12 18:6 Tribune 7:20	69:5,12,13 <b>two-flat</b> 53:7,18 <b>two-flats</b> 53:19	23:13 24:14 47:8 Unit 40:5

0 UIIE 20, 2024				
United	vice	violent	51:22 56:8	
17:24	2:14 12:20	39:17,22	58:17 59:22	
	17:8 18:17	40:11,17	60:11 63:8,	
units	19:1,8 24:23	41:5 42:6,7	14 64:12,20	
62:3	26:8 27:10	-		
University	29:10,15,17	visit	wanted	
5:1,2,6,12	30:22 31:4	48:10	12:21 46:21	
22:15 43:3	32:16,23	vote	60:7 63:12	
61:5	34:12 58:5	30:4,8	64:5	
unsustained		31:15,19	wanting	
14:24	victim	33:10	45:24	
14.24	39:24 40:5		Mand	
untreated	victimized	votes	Ward	
6:9	41:4	29:15 31:3 32:21	4:1,15 66:3	
update	victims	32.21	warm	
27:22	63:17 64:7	Voting	63:22	
	03.1/04./	30:6 31:17	warmer	
use-of-force	video	33:12	55:23	
9:22 22:1	54:24		55.25	
USPS	videos	w	warrant	
67:6	54:19		51:8	
		wait	warranted	
v	viewed	15:11	50:16	
	54:16 56:1			
value	violated	waiting	washing	
12:1	37:22	48:16	48:17	
12.1		walked	way	
Van	violating 28:17	59:3,5,6,8,	3:24 5:18	
7:15,18	28:17	10	16:1,7 23:3,	
various	violation	Walker	21 45:23	
26:24 40:6	37:7,11	3:3	51:20 69:24	
48:17 61:17	38:7,10		ways	
	50:16	walkway	15:1 45:10	
vehicles	violations	48:3	57:14	
50:18 56:23	51:7 57:16	walkways		
verbally		56:23	weather	
19:10	Violators		8:16,18	
versa	50:23	want	20:5,19	
12:20	violence	3:9,22 9:11	website	
12.20	5:23 6:12,20	12:6,9	25:24 27:6	
version	12:18 24:6	15:14,16,19	28:12 31:24	
16:12 21:9	39:6,7,14	17:17 23:2	33:18,20	
versus	41:24 56:11	39:2 41:17,	34:11 35:5,	
20:3 22:9,10	63:23	21,22 42:4	22	
		49:8,20		
		50:9,17	1	

	June 2	0, 2024	
Wednesday 40:4	27:10,11 29:10,11,16, 17 30:7,22,	41:12,18 write	65:10,11 <b>youth</b>
<b>week</b> 6:19	23 31:3,4,18 32:16,17,22,	59:17 60:1,3 writing	63:9,16
<b>weeks</b> 62:1	23 33:13 34:12,13	59:9,10 written	Z
wellness 13:5	Wonderful 19:13	31:21 33:15 35:21	<b>zero</b> 30:9 31:20
<b>went</b> 13:6 17:9	wondering 61:7,12	<b>wrong</b> 59:5 60:9	
59:2,6,20 <b>West</b>	work 5:9,10 8:22	67:12 70:14	
66:5 white	9:15 12:10 42:5 43:5,14	Y	
69:8,10	45:13 46:16 51:23 56:4	Yeah 20:8 22:5	
<b>wife</b> 7:19	57:14 62:17 68:15 69:20	59:11 <b>year</b>	
William 54:7	<b>worked</b> 50:6	29:8 30:18 43:8,19	
Williams 55:19,20 57:24	<pre>working 5:19 21:7 22:7,10</pre>	47:24 53:10 54:18,22,23 55:10	
willingness 18:10	39:21,23 40:10 51:23 58:23 69:16	<b>yearly</b> 53:8,22	
wind 10:20,24 11:22 15:10	works 61:3 68:9	years 9:16 10:11, 13 14:18	
<b>winds</b> 12:12	world 8:8	15:22 16:3, 17,21 53:5 55:6 65:12	
<b>withdraw</b> 29:5 30:16	worried 66:19	<b>yesterday</b> 20:18	
Witzburg 2:24 14:17	worrisome 23:7	Yolanda 3:4	
15:2 16:1,7 Wolff	<b>worry</b> 13:17 66:18	<b>York</b> 20:11,15	
2:14 17:8	worth		

Police Board Public Meeting